# ED 024 061

ERIC

By-Eifermann, Rivka R. School Children's Games. Final Report. Hebrew Univ., Jerusalem (Israel). Dept. of Psychology. Spons Agency-Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Bureau of Research. Pub Date 20 Jun 68 Contract-OEC-6-21-010 Note-276p. EDRS Price MF-\$1.25 HC-\$13.90 Descriptors-\*Childhood Interests, \*Childrens Games, Sex Differences

During the two stages of the investigation (1964-66), observations of children in freely formed playgroups were conducted in 27 Israeli schools, Jewish and Arab. The cumulative record of play participants throughout the complete period amounted to over 120,000 units. Among the variables recoreded with reference to each play group were its size, its composition by grade and sex, ethnic or religious affiliation of the participants. length of play, incidence of quarrel, play area and surface, and the name of the game. An Encyclopedia of Games has been compiled, in which some 5,000 descriptions of games and their variants have been systematically categorized and encoded. An analysis of the extent of interaction in play between boys and girls has revealed that about one quarter of them play in mixed groups, with considerable variability in this respect depending on age and culture. In all cases, however, more girls play with boys than vice versa. Indeed, girls have fewer games which they call entirely their own. Typical boys' games have been distinguished from typical girls' games, on such characteristics as extent of interdependence between players, outcomes of winning or losing (both more characteristic of boys' games), and precise prescription of action sequences (typical of girls' games). The data has theoretical implications related to child development and to the nature of play and games. (Author)

CG 002 954

### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

### Final Report

**Contract** No. 0E-6-21-010

SCHOOL CHILDREN'S GAMES

Rivka R. Eifermann

Department of Psychology The Hebrew University of Jerusalem Jerusalem, Israel

June, 1968

The research reported herein was performed pursuant to a contract with the Office of Education, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Contractors undertaking such projects under Government sponsorship are encouraged to express freely their professional judgment in the conduct of the project. Points of view or opinions stated do not, therefore, necessarily represent official Office of Education position or policy.

ERIC

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

> Office of Education Bureau of Research

I would like to thank the Israel Ministry of Education for making this research possible by giving me access to schools throughout the country.

I think I can state without hesitation that R a y a K a l i n h o f f, G i o r a K r o n g o n, A m i r a R a v i n and E l c h a n a n B l u m e n t h a l are some of the most comprehensive "living encyclopedias" of games in the world today and could compete in their expertise even with children. It is only through their patient and meticulous work in sorting and comparing the thousands of game descriptions in our possession that this vast collection is turning into a useful source of information. Raya, Giora and Amira participated throughout the main stage of the observations in almost all the varieties of tasks which were involved -- observers and recorders, "contact-men" and supervisors in schools, game describers and data analyzers, and thus constituted part of a team with which to work was pure delight.

There were others and even earlier members of the team who, during the main stage of the investigation and partly already during the first stage, fulfilled all these roles. They were observers in the Jerusalem schools, and besides, took upon themselves the arduous task of travelling every week for over one year, by bus, car, plane or bike to the various schools that were involved in the main stage -- so as to ensure active presence on each observation day in every school, and smooth and sound running of the research. In particular, I would like to mention Sami Mari, who was responsible for much that was achieved in the Arab schools and Muhammad Habib-Allah who took over at a later stage, as well as A w n i H a b a s h, who was contact-man in one of the schools. The major burdens of responsibility in all other schools were divided amongst many (besides those already mentioned), but a major share of the burden was carried by Y o r a m B i l u, who was responsible throughout for one Jerusalem and one Tel Aviv school as well as by Rita Aloni, Reuven Gal, Elkan

- II -

ERIC

Gamzu, Amos Goor, Talya Naftali, Michal Neuman, Ronit Shemer, Avigayil Yinon, Tsafrira Yourgrow and Sehavit Weiser.

I r i s L e v i n has been with me on the project through the first and main stage. With her enthusiasm and optimism, she has been a pillar of strength which I could not have done without. While I was abroad, in the summer of 1966, Iris took over the general management of the research.

The first stages of the research were, as usual, particularly demanding and put a heavy strain and responsibility on those of my associates -- R u t h F e d e r, S h a l o m H e r m o n, R i v k a L a n d a u and A r i e l M e r a r i, in addition to I r i s L e v i n -- who were in it from the beginning. It was a real privilege to have their enthusiastic cooperation in those pioneering times.

In the process of analyzing the data, the editing and styling of game descriptions was expertly done by S h m u e 1 M e 1 t z e r and also, in part by N a ' a v a S h l o m o w i t z. For the computer analyses, I could not have found a more effective programmer than D a v i d S a l o m o n.

I would also like to thank the many teachers and head-masters who considered play an important activity of their pupils, welcomed us to their schools and provided us with all required information and more. Unfortunately, we had to reject much of the proffered help because their schools did not fit the standard requirements of our sample (a minimum number of children, no subdivision of the school yard, etc.).

The schools selected for the first stage of the investigation should be thanked especially since it was "on their back" and with the aid of their teachers and pupils that we tried out our methods of investigation, making them the victims of our trials. The participating schools were the following:

1.	Beit-Remes, Haifa	8.	Narkisim, Kiryat Tiv'on
2.	Doresh Tsion, Jerusalem	9.	Rimonim, Kiryat Tiv'on
3.	Elyakim, Elyakim	10.	Sarigim Mam., Sarigim
4.	Hasorea, Hasorea	11.	Sarigim, Mam. Dati. Sarigim
5.	Ma'ale, Jerusalem	12.	Spitzer, Jerusalem
6.	Ma'alot, Hanevi'im, Haifa	13.	Yavne, Kvutzat Yavne
7.	Nahalal, Nahalal	14.	Yalag, Haifa
	T am most thenkful to	uha w	

I am most thankful to the teachers of the main stage of the investigation for their perseverance and readiness to work in the service of play. The local co-ordinators in each school, were an essential part of our supervisory team and had a major share in the description of games. The following are the schools which participated in the main stage, and their local co-ordinators:

- III -

ERIC

```
Be'eri, Yaffo-Tel-Aviv
 1.
          Yehoshua Lev
    Beit Hachinuch Hameshutaf Be'emek Hayarden, Deganya
 2.
          Utza Rol
 3.
    Dubnov, Tel-Aviv
          Zehava Moses
 4.
    Ein Ma'hel, Ein Ma'hel
          Muhammad Kabib-Allah
          Mustafa Habib-Allah
 5.
    Eisori, Nahalal
          Malka Golan
    Giv'at Brenner, Giv'at Brenner
 6.
          Lea Shamban
    Ma'alot Hanevi'im, Haifa
 7.
          Ofra Golan
    Mevo'ot Be'er Tuvia
 8.
          Dalya Hanin
 9.
    Ochuwa, Haifa
          Bassam Safadi
          Adib Shami
10.
    Sprinzak, Kiryat Gat
          Orna Galstein
          Yehudit Reznik
   Sichron-Moshe (Achusa), Haifa
11.
          Tamar Neiger
   Tel-Hai, Kiryat Shmona
12.
          Yehudit Bein
```

The two Jerusalem schools, in which students served as observers, were:

13. Metzada

14. kehavia

ERIC

I would also like to express my thanks to Prof. P a t r i c k S u p p e s, of the Institute of Mathematical Studies in the Social Sciences, Stanford, Calif., for giving me the opportunity to have a glimpse at an American play scene, at Brentwood School, East Palo Alto.

Finally, I must admit a deep gratitude to the thousands of children who obliged and played, undisturbed by our presence. It is their so often single-minded devotion to play and games that has made this a worthwhile enterprise.

- IV -

# CONTENTS

O

8

ERIC. Pullbace Provided by ERIC

٨

S	U	M M A R Y 1
I	N	TRODUCTION
S	Α	MPLES AND PERIODS
0	$\mathbf{F}$	OBSERVATION
		THE SAMPLES 8
		OBSERVATION PERIODS 1/
М	Ε	THODS OF OBSERVATION 18
		PARTITIONING OF THE OBSERVATION AREA 19
		STANDARDIZING THE RECORDING PROCEDURES 21
		OBSERVERS AND COORDINATORS 28
R	Ε	SULTS
		A. ENCYCLOPEDIA OF GAMES 35
		B. QUANTITATIVE ASPECTS 75
		SECTION I: PREVIOUS RESEARCH AND THEORY
		DATA FROM FIRST
		RESEARCH STAGE AND HYPOTHESES
		DERIVED
		A. THE STRUCTURE AND MODES OF ORGANIZATION OF
		PLAY GROUPS: INDICES OF CAPACITY AND
		TENDENCY TO INTERACT
		B. THE NATURE AND FUNCTION OF PLAY AND GAMES 83
		C. PERIODIC GAMES: EXPLORATION OF NEW PHENOMENA 90
		SECTION II: ANALYSIS OF MAIN
		STAGE DATA
		FARTICIPATION IN PLAY GROUPS
		LONE PLAYERS AND NON PLAYERS
		PARTICIPATION IN STRUCTURED AND UNSTRUCTURED
		GAMES IN TWO SCHOOLS DIFFUCTION 110
		THE LIFE-SPAN OF GAMES AND THEIR DIFFUSION . 110
		PREDOMINANT GAMES IN TWO SCHOOLS 127
		BOYS AND GIRLS PLAYING TOGETHER AND APARI 145
		A. PLAYING TOGETHER
		<b>B.</b> PLAYING APART $\dots \dots \dots$
		I. TYPICAL BOYS AND GIRLS GAMES 149
		2. SEX-TYPED CHARACIERISTICS OF
		$GAMES \qquad \qquad 153$
		QUARREL DURING PLAY
		LENGTH OF GAME AND PLAT IERMINATION . 100 DIAN ADDA AND DIAN CUDEACE 176
		PLAY AKEA AND PLAY DUKFAUE
		AGE GROUPD PLAIING IUGEINER AND AFARI 100 A DIAVING TOCETHED 120
		$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{A}} $
		D. FLAIING AFARI
		GAVIED LIFTUAL FOR DIFFERENT AGE 109

V

GROUP STRUCTURE	193
GROUP SIZE	198
COMPETITIVE GAMES (WITH OUTCOMES)	207
PLAY MATERIALS AND OBJECTS	210
MATERIAL GAIN	215
THE RULES OF THE GAME	218
PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS	221
REFERENCES	226
A P P E N D I C E S	
A, A/1. FIRST STAGE RECORD SHEETS	229
A/2. MAIN STAGE RECORD SHEETS	232
B. EXAMPLES OF QUESTIONNAIRES FOR STRUCTURED AND	225
UNSTRUCTURED ("SPONTANEOUS") GAME DESCRIPTIONS	200
C. ILLUSTRATED GLOSSARY OF CODE TERMS FOR THE	246
MOTION COMPONENTS OF GUMMI (JAPANESE ELASTIC)	440

### LIST OF FIGURES

### FIRST STAGE

ERIC

- 1. PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE OUT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF PUPILS AND PERCENT OF BOY-AND GIRL-PLAYERS OUT OF THEIR RESPECTIVE TOTALS 76
- 2. PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE WHO PLAY CON-TINUOUSLY FOR UP TO FIVE MINUTES, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE 78
- 3. PERCENT OF PLAYERS IN THE LOW, MEDIUM AND UPPER SCHOOL GRADES WHO PLAY IN GROUPS OF 2, 3, AND UP TO 15+ PARTICIPANTS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN THESE GRADES 79
- 4. PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE WHO PLAY COMPETITIVE GAMES AND PERCENT OF BOY-AND GIRL-PLAYERS WHO PLAY IN SUCH GROUPS OUT OF THEIR RESPECTIVE TOTALS

80

81

5. PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE WHO PLAY IN SEX HETEROGENEOUS GROUPS AND PERCENT OF BOY-AND GIRL-PLAYERS WHO PLAY IN SUCH GROUPS OUT OF THEIR RESPECTIVE TOTALS 6. PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE WHO PLAY IN AGE HETEROGENEOUS GROUPS AND PERCENT OF BOY-AND GIRL-PLAYERS WHO PLAY IN SUCH GROUPS OUT OF THEIR RESPECTIVE TOTALS

82

90

7. NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN ONE JERUSALEM SCHOOL PLAYING SPECIFIED GAMES, BY DATE

### MAIN STAGE

1.

2.

Ð

ERIC

ALLHIGH LEVEL SCHOOLS (MEAN SOCIO-ECONOMIC LEVEL = 5.32) (MEAN LEVEL OF SCHOOL ACHIEVEMENT = 77.2) PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE AND SEX OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN EACH GRADE

A L L L O W L E V E L S C H O O L S (MEAN SOCIO-ECONOMIC LEVEL = 1.38) (MEAN LEVEL OF SCHOOL ACHIEVEMENT = 64.0) PERCENT OF P L A Y P A R T I C I P A N T S BY GRADE AND SEX OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOYS, GIRLS AND ALL CHILDREN IN EACH GRADE

- 3. PERCENT OF LONE PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS AND KIBBUTZ SCHOOLS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN EACH GRADE
- 4. PERCENT OF ONLOOKERS BY GRADE IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN EACH GRADE
- 5. PERCENT OF C O N V E R S E R S BY GRADE IN A L L S C H O O L S AND THE A R A B S C H O O L S, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN EACH GRADE
- 6. PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN A H I G H-L E V E L SCHOOL, WHO PLAYED S T R U C T U R E D G A M E S OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE
- 7. PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL, WHO PLAYED STRUCTURED GAMES OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

108

107

94

95

99

100

101

### - VII -

8.	PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF HAND CLAPPING AND COORDINATION GAMES OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAYERS, ON EACH DAY OF OBSERVATION	115
9.	PERCENT OF GRIL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF JACKS (FIVE STONES) OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CIRL PLAYERS, ON EACH DAY OF OBSERVATION	116
10.	PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF JUMP ROPE OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAYERS, ON EACH DAY OF OBSERVATION	117
11.	PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF HOPSCOTCH OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAYERS, ON EACH DAY OF OBSERVATION	118
12.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF APRICOT-PIT ("AJU") GAMES OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, ON EACH DAY OF OBSER- VATION	119
13.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF MARBLE GAMES OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, ON EACH DAY OF OBSERVATION	120
14.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF TOPS OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, ON EACH DAY OF OBSERVATION	121
15.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF SOCCER OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, ON EACH DAY OF OBSERVATION	122
16.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF TAG OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS, ON EACH DAY OF OBSERVATION	123

C

*.*,

0

ERIC Full first Provided by ERIC - VIII -

•

•

17.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS OF VARIANTS OF TAG INAHIGH-LEVEL AND ALOW-LEVEL SCHOOLAS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES, SEPARATELY FOR EACH SEX	129
18.	PERCENT BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS WHO DANCED IN AHIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW- LEVEL SCHOOLAS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES, SEPARATELY FOR EACH SEX	130
19.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS OF VARIANTS OF LEAP-FROG IN A HIGH- LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES, SEPARATELY FOR EACH SEX	131
20.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS OF VARIANTS OF APRICOT - PIT GAMES ("AJU") IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES, SEPARATELY FOR EACH SEX	132
21.	PERCENT OF B O Y P L A Y E R S WHO PLAYED V A R I A N T S O F M A R B L E G A M E S IN A H I G H - L E V E L AND A L O W - L E V E L SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES	133
22.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OFSOCCER INA HIGH-LEVEL ANDALOW- LEVEL SCHOOLAS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES	134
23.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF BASKET BALL IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES	135
24.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS IN AHIGH-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PLAYED GAMES WHICH ARE COMBINATIONS OF HIDE-AND-SEEKAND TAG IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES	136

Ð

.

ERIC "fill the Possibility with - IX -

·

SCHOOL WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF TOPS IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES 137 PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS 26. OF JUMP ROPE IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS **OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES** 138 PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS 27. FIVE STONES (JACKS) IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES 139 PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED HAND 28. CLAP, PING AND COORDINATION GAMES IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL 140 PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS 29. OF THE GAME OF "JAPANESE ELASTIC" ("GUMMI") IN A H I G H - L E V E L AND A L O W - L E V E L SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF 141 GIRL PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES PERCENT OF G I R L P L A Y E R S WHO PLAYED V A R I A N T S 30. OF HOPSCOTCH INAHIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF 142 SUCCESSIVE GRADES 31. PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS INHIGH-LEVEL AND LOW-LEVEL SCHOOLS, IN SUCCESSIVE SCHOOL GRADES, WHO PLAYED IN SEX-HETEROGENEOUS GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE, AND PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED IN SUCH GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE 146 32. PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS BY GRADE, IN THE LOCAL K I B B U T Z SCHOOL, WHO PLAYED IN S E X-H E T E R O G E -N E O U S GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS IN

PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS IN A HIGH-LEVEL

25.

147

- X -

EACH GRADE, AND PERCENT OF GIRLPLAYERS WHO

ERS IN EACH GRADE

PLAYED IN SUCH GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAY-

33.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN THE HIGH-LEVEL SCHOOLS, WHOQUARREL- ED DURING PLAY, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE	154
34.	PERCENT OF B O Y P L A Y E R S AND G I R L P L A Y E R S BY GRADE IN THE L O W - L E V E L SCHOOLS, WHO Q U A R R E L- E D DURING PLAY, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE	155
35.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN THE KIBBUTZ SCHOOLS, WHOQUARRELED DURING PLAY, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE	156
36.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS ANDGIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHO QUARRELED WHEN PLAYING INSEX-HOMOGENEOUS GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN SUCH GROUPS IN EACH GRADE	157
37.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHO QUARRELED WHEN PLAYING IN SEX-HETEROGENEOUS GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN SUCH GROUPS IN EACH GRADE	158
38.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHO QUARRELED WHEN PLAYING IN AGE-HOMOGENEOUS GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN SUCH GROUPS IN EACH GRADE	159
39.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHO QUARRELED WHEN PLAYING IN AGE-HETEROGENEOUS GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN SUCH GROUPS IN EACH GRADE	160
40.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHO QUARRELED WHEN PLAYING IN AGE-AND SEX-HOMOGENEOUS GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAY- ERS IN SUCH GROUPS IN EACH GRADE	161

- XI -

ERIC Fail fast Provided by ERIC

Ē

41.	PERCENT OF B O Y P L A Y E R S, G I R L P L A Y E R S AND A L L P L A Y E R S BY GRADE IN A L L S C H O O L S WHOSE P L A Y W A S T E R M I N A T E D BY I N T E R N A L Q U A R R E L, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE	162
42.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL HIGH-LEVEL AND LOW-LEVEL SCHOOLS, WHO PLAYED LONG (5-10 MINUTES) GAMES, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE	167
43.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL HIGH-LEVEL SCHOOLS, WHOSE PLAY WAS TERMINATED BY ITS NATURAL END OR BY FADING OUT, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE	168
44.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL LOW-LEVEL SCHOOLS, WHOSE PLAY WAS'TERMINATED BY ITS NATURAL END OR BY FADING OUT, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE	169
45.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS ANDALL PLAYERS IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHOSE PLAY WAS TERMINATED BY STARTING A NEW GAME, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE	170
46.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS ANDALL PLAYERS BY GRADE INALL SCHOOLS WHOSE PLAY WAS TERMINATED BY THE SCHOOL- BELL, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE	171
47.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRLPLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHO PLAYED LONG (5 - 10 MINUTES) GAMES, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE	172
48.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL HIGH-LEVEL SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED ON PAVED GROUND OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS	177

. ...

ERIC Afull Taxt Provided by ERIC

1

•

**Q** 

. D

49.	PERCENT OF BOYPLAYERS, GIRLPLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL LOW-LEVEL SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED ON PAVED GROUND OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS	178
50.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL HIGH - LEVEL SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED ON SEMI-PAVED ("KURKAR") GROUND OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS	179
51.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL LOW - LEVEL SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED ON SEMI-PAVED ("KURKAR") GROUND OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS	180
52.	PERCENT OF B O Y P L A Y E R S, G I R L P L A Y E R S AND A L L P L A Y E R S BY GRADE IN ALL H I G H - L E V E L SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED ON N A T U R A L S O I L OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS	181
53.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL LOW-LEVEL SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED ON NATURAL SOIL OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS	182
54.	PERCENT OF B O Y P L A Y E R S, G I R L P L A Y E R S AND A L L P L A Y E R S BY GRADE IN ALL H I G H - L E V E L SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED I N D O O R S OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS	183
55.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS ANDALL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL LOW-LEVEL SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED IN DOORS OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS	184
56.	PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHO PLAYED IN AGE-HETEROGENEOUS GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS RESPECTIVELY, IN EACH GRADE	190
57.	PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PARTICIPATED IN GAMES INVOLVING ONE OR MORE GROUPS OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED CAMES IN EACH GRADE	194

ERIC Pruit Front Provided by ERIC

2

N.

Ð

()

3

58.	PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN'A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PARTICIPATED IN ONE-TASK GAMES WITH INDIVIDUAL AIMS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES	195
59.	PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A L O W - L E V E L SCHOOL WHO PARTICIPATED IN M U L T I P L E - T A S K G A M E S W I T H I N D I V I D U A L A I M S, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES	196
60.	PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN A L L S C H O O L S IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE SCHOOL GRADES, WHO PLAYED IN G R O U P S O F S P E C I F I E D S I Z E S OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES	201
61.	PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN ALL H I G H - L E V E L AND L O W - L E V E L SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED IN G R O U P S O F S P E C I F I E D S I Z E S, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES	202
62.	PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN A L L S C H O O L S, WHO PLAY- ED IN G R O U P S O F S P E C I F I E D S I Z E S OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS	203
63	<b>PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A HIGH-LEVEL</b> AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PLAYED COMPETITIVE GAMES (WITH OUTCOMES) OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH GRADE	209
64 .	PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PLAYED GAMES REQUIRING OBJECTS OR MATERIALS OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH GRADE	212
65.	PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A H I G H - L E V E L AND A L O W - L E V E L SCHOOL, WHO PLAYED GAMES INVOLVING N O M A T E R I A L G A I N OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES	216
66 •	NUMBER OF V A R I A T I O N S OF GUMMI (JAPANESE ELASTIC) AND OF K O N - S AND R O U N D S IN THE GAME AS PLAYED IN SUCCESSIVE SCHOOL GRADES	220

<u>.</u>

ERIC Autochoused by the - XIV -

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

	MAP OF DISTRIBUTION OF SCHOOLS IN THE FIRST STAGE AND MAIN STAGE OF THE INVESTIGATION	9
	DISTRIBUTION OF MAIN STAGE SAMPLE BY SOCIO-ECONOMIC LEVEL, GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION, COMMUNITY STRUCTURE AND CULTURE	15a
	MAIN STAGE RECORD SHEET I	23
	MAIN STAGE RECORD SHEET II	24
	MOTION COMPONENTS OF GUMMI (JAPANESE ELASTIC)	246
	LIST OF TABLES	
1.	BASIC DIMENSION VALUES FOR SELECTED SCHOOLS FIRST STAGE	10
2.	NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN EACH SCHOOL AND CUMULATIVE TOTALS OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS RECORDED DURING THE COMPLETE OBSERVATION PERIOD <u>FIRST STAGE</u>	11
3.	CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC LEVEL AND BETWEEN EACH CATEGORY AND THE TOTAL SE SCORE	13
4.	BASIC DIMENSION-VALUES OF SELECTED SCHOOLS MAIN STAGE	15
5.	NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN EACH SCHOOL DURING TWO SEPARATE SCHOOL YEARS AND CUMULATIVE TOTAL OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS RECORDED DURING COMPLETE MAIN STAGE OBSERVATION PERIOD	16
6.	NUMBER OF GROUPS RECORDED DURING INTER JUDGE RELIABILITY TESTING, MEAN PERCENT OF OVERLAP BETWEEN PAIRS OF OBSERVERS IN GROUPS RECORDED AND MEAN PERCENT AGREEMENT ON ITEMS OF OVER-	
	LAPPING GROUPS	33

3

5

6

- xv -

·

7.	CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN THE NUMBER OF PLAYERS WHO WERE RECORDED AS PARTICIPANTS IN SPECIFIC GAMES AND THE NUMBER OF CHILDREN REPORTED TO HAVE PLAYED THESE GAMES IN THREE SCHOOLS	34
8.	TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY AGE AND SEX AND PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS OUT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN BY GRADE AND SEX	96
9.	NUMBER AND PERCENT OF NON-PLAYERS AND LONE PLAYERS IN THE LOWER AND UPPER GRADES OF ALL SCHOOLS, NUMBER AND PERCENT OF CONVERSERS IN EACH GRADE IN THE ARAB SCHOOLS AND IN ALL SCHOOLS, OF SOLITARY PLAYERS IN THE KIBBUTZ SCHOOLS AND IN ALL SCHOOLS AND OF ONLOOKERS IN THE HIGH-LEVEL AND LOW-LEVEL JERUSALEM SCHOOLS	102
10.	PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS OF STRUCTURED GAMES OUT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS, BY GRADE AND SEX	109
11/1:	2. NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF SPECIFIED PERIODIC GAMES AND SUPERGAMES OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS ON EACH DAY OF OBSERVATION	124
13.	TEN MOST FREQUENTLY PLAYED CLASSES OF GAMES IN ONE HIGH-LEVEL AND ONE LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL	127
14.	NUMBER AND PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS WHO PLAYED GAMES FALLING INTO THE SPECIFIED BROAD CATEGORIES OUT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES, BY GRADE AND SEX	143
15.	NUMBER AND PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE WHO PLAY IN SEX HETEROGENOUS GROUPS OUT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE AND PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAY IN SUCH GROUPS OUT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE	148
16.	PREDOMINANT CLASSES OF BOYS' AND GIRLS' GAMES PLAYED IN TWO SCHOOLS	149
17 <b>.</b>	TOTAL NUMBER AND PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE WHO QUARRELED DURING PLAY IN THE HIGH-LEVEL, THE LOW-LEVEL, AND THE KIBBUTZIM SCHOOLS, AND IN ALL SCHOOLS IN THE GROUPS SPECIFIED, AND NUMBER AND PERCENT PARTICIPANTS WHOSE PLAY WAS TERMINATED THROUGH "INTERNAL" OR "EXTERNAL" QUARREL	163

\_ **t** 

۰.

· . .

aline a bate i a tai

- XVI -

18.	NUMBER OF PLAYERS WHO PLAYED "LONG" GAMES AND WHOSE GAMES TERMINATED FOR SPECIFIED CAUSES AND THEIR PERCENTAGES OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS	173
19.	NUMBER OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS WHO PLAYED IN SPECIFIED PLAY AREAS AND THEIR PERCENTAGES OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS	185
20.	NUMBER AND PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED IN AGE-HETEROGENEOUS GROUPS OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE	191
21.	NUMBER AND PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PLAYED GAMES REQUIRING SPECIFIED GROUP STRUCTURES, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH GRADE	197
22.	PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS OF "SIMPLE TAG" IN TWO JERUSALEM SCHOOLS, WHO PLAYED IN GROUPS SIZED 2, 3,, 16	199
23.	TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN PAIRS OF SUC- CESSIVE SCHOOL GRADES WHO PLAYED IN GROUPS SIZED 2-3 46-47 AND THE PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN GROUPS OF THE SIZES SPECIFIED, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES	201
24.	NUMBER OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN HIGH-LEVEL AND IN THE LOW-LEVEL SCHOOLS, WHO PLAYED IN GROUPS SIZED 2-3 46-47 AND THE PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN THESE SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED IN GROUPS OF THE SIZES SPECIFIED, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH TYPE OF SCHOOL	202
25.	NUMBER OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS WHO PLAYED IN GROUPS OF 2, 3, 47 AND THEIR PERCENTAGE OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS	203
26.	NUMBER AND PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PLAYED	

t

ERIC.

- XVII -

COMPETITIVE GAMES (WITH OUTCOMES) OUT OF THE TOTAL

NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH

GRADE

- 27. NUMBER AND PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PLAYED GAMES REQUIRING OBJECTS OR MATERIALS OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH GRADE
- 28. NUMBER AND PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PLAYED GAMES INVOLVING NO MATERIAL GAIN OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH GRADE

.

Ð,

63

Û

217

213

- XVIII -

### SUMMARY

The research described in this Report forms the major part of an extensive investigation on the play and games of children in freely formed, unsupervised play groups. The Report deals with school children at play during recess.

During the two stages of our investigation, over ten thousand children were observed and recorded at play. The records of play participants amounted to a cumulative total of over one hundred and twenty thousand units. An Encyclopedia of Games has been compiled, containing some five thousand descriptions of games and their variants.

SAMPLE AND METHODS. The observations were conducted in two scages. Throughout each stage, observations were carried out in 14 schools, spread throughout Israel. The complete study comprised a total of 27 schools, with one school participating in both stages. The schools were selected so as to constitute a balanced design of the following variables: geographic location (North, Center, South), socio-economic level and level of school achievement ('high', 'medium' and 'low'), community structure (town, new-immigrant town, village and kibbutz) and culture (Arab and Jewish).

The observations were conducted regularly, three times per week (first stage) or once per week (main stage) during recess, in the schoolyard and building. The team of observers in each school consisted of an average of 9 observers, including a local coordinator. They were teachers or students, who underwent a period of training in their research task. A supervising contact-man, from our Center in Jerusalem, was present in every school on each day of observation.

-

1

FRĬC

The method of observation stipulated that the area observed in each school be subdivided so that each observer covered a defined section, recording, on average, five play groups. Amongst the variables recorded with reference to each group were its size, its composition by grade, sex, ethnic or religious affiliation, length of play, incidence of quarrel, play surface and the name of the play or game. Descriptions of all play activities were also collected, mainly in separate interviews. They included unstructured play as well as structured games.

During the <u>first stage</u> of our investigation (April to June, 1964), observations of some 20,000 play participants were recorded over 18 observation periods. Although this was in many ways a feasibility study, the data collected proved considerably reliable, and formed the basis for the design and predictions

- 1 -

of the main stage. The <u>main stage</u> of the research lasted from December, 1964 through April, 1966. During this stage a total of over 100,000 play participants was recorded, and the Encyclopedia of Games compiled.

Our general strategy of analysis assumes RESULTS. that children's play activities reflect their needs and capacities, and that through an intensive analysis of children's games it will be possible to differentiate those characteristics of play behavior that change "with age" from those which remain constant through different age levels; and that an extensive analysis, over a wide population sample such as ours, will enable a definition of the culturally variant aspects of play as against those which do not thus vary. Our approach to the analysis of the data aims therefore to combine description, analysis and hypothesis-testing. Rather like the comparative ethologist, we aim to examine games and behavior in play groups which turn out to be characteristic of a particular age, sex, socio-economic level, community structure and culture, so as to define their "species specific" attributes as well as attributes they possess in common.

The major lines along which our data has been analyzed thus far include the following:

<u>Participation in Play Groups</u>. Low-level children tend to play less, and reach their peak of play (in terms of percent play participation) at an older age than high-level children. Participation in structured games, as against unstructured play, increases with age but decreases again in the upper school grades. Major activities of non-players during recess are onlooking and conversation. Few children play alone, except in kibbutzim.

The Life Span of Games and Their Diffusion. "Supergames", which never disappear from the play scene, have been distinguished from "periodic" and "sporadic" games, and characteristics that determine the life span of a game have been defined.

19

ERIC

Boys and Girls Playing Together and Apart. About one quarter of the boys and girls play in mixed groups, although there are considerable variations dependent on age and culture. More girls play with boys than vice versa. There are more exclusive boys' games and over one third of the boys participate in such games. There are few exclusive girls' games and few girls participate in them. Many more boys play in predominantly girls' games than girls do in predominantly boys' games. Typical boys' as against typical girls' games are characterized by greater interdependence between players, and definite cutcomes of winning and losing; whereas girls' games can often be played

- 2 -

alone and their interdependence is often only of instrumental character. Their play activities tend to be sequential and predefined by the rules of the game. Girls' games also tend to last longer than boys', to require less space, and to be played on hard surfaces.

Age Groups Playing Together and Apart. In spite of the school set-up which encourages age separation, about one quarter of the children play in mixed age groups. Games played only in the four lower school grades or in the four upper grades, are either boys' games or are played by both sexes. Games played exclusively in the middle school years are all girls' games. Boys quarrel more than girls, and there is more quarrelling in mixed groups than in age- or sex-homogeneous groups. The size of play groups increases with age. There are more players in even-sized than in odd-sized groups and more children of all ages play in groups sized two than in any other group size. Children in low-level schools tend to play in groups of smaller size than children in high-level schools.

The extent of participation in competitive games (with outcomes) increases with age amongst low-level children. More young high-level children participate in such games than do young low-level children. Kibbutz children play highly competitive games to a greater extent than town children. Only about ten percent of all players play games involving material gain, and there is little difference in this respect between ages or between high-level and low-level schools. There is an increase with age in the percentage of children who make use of materials and objects in their games. The number and variety of toys used, as well as the percent participants in games requiring toys is by far greater amongst low-level than amongst high-level children. However, these children use fewer "bought" materials (other than toys) and more "available" or "found" materials than do high-level children.

An analysis of the rules of a game as verbalized and as practiced at different age levels, demonstrates how the dialectic strain between a rigid attitude towards ideal rules and their liberal interpretation in practice is resolved through the use of meta-rules.

- 3 -

ERIC

Our data provides norms for the extent and types of play behavior of school children of the two sexes, at various ages. It contains information of direct relevance to rational planning and decisions in the field of education, such as the design of playspace and of suitable play equipment for children. It provides a sound empirical basis for the invention of educational games -- which will be recognized by children truly as games.

A crucial test of whether our analyses have significantly furthered our understanding of the processes involved, would be in the invention and planting of games "to measure" amongst non-captive, appropriate clients. We should also be able to predict the rate of diffusion of these games and their life span. Similarly, we should be able to introduce planned changes into existing games, and transform "sporadic games" into "periodic games" or "supergames", while retaining their essential ludic quality.

The present research project, as proposed, conducted and reported herewith, is a step in the direction of such a test. However, the vast and rich amount of data at our disposal holds promise for further exciting analyses from which, we believe, a comprehensive picture of child's play and its functions will eventually emerge.

2

ERIC

- 4 -

### INTRODUCTION

During the two stages of the investigation described in this Report, over ten thousand children were observed and recorded at play. The records of play participants over the extensive observation period, amounted to a cumulative total of over one hundred and twenty thousand units. To the best of my knowledge, the present research is by far the largest ever undertaken on school children's freely organized play and games. Group play and games are almost universally the major self initiated social activity of childhood. Because of the variety of children's play activities and because of children's great involvement in games, these activities reflect their level of maturity -- physical, cognitive, emotional and social -- from the small group of two toddlers who play side by side in the sand, to the intricate and changing structures required in the game of "thieves and robbers" (a complex form of tag, not to be confused with "cops and robbers") -- are a rich source of evidence not only for the level of development of children, but also for the major role of the peer group in directing this development; while the various game styles -of physical skill, intellectual skill, imitation, strategy or chance -- provide an invaluable source for the study of enculturation processes.

1

.

ERIC

The obvious diversity of this area of activity, its availability at every street corner, the fun inherent in its study -none of these, strangely enough, have proven forces powerful enough to make fun and games a popular research area with investigators over the last thirty years. Indeed, the opposite seems to have been the case: Perhaps it is true, as some have argued, that games have not been intensively and extensively studied because they were not considered as serious activities but ra sr as peripheral, external to the serious business of living, neither affecting it, nor affected by it. More likely, the almost overwhelming richness of children's play activities was the more powerful deterring factor: because of the enornous variety in the material involved, only a very large scale study could possibly do it justice. Moreover, while it is true that children at play are not far to seek, a valid and reliable method for observing them while playing, has not been readily available.

- 5 -

However, the last decade has seen some surge of interest in the area of play and games as witnessed by various directions of research which have opened up: though educational games have been available in the market and in the school room for some time, their invention has been rather a hit-or-miss affair, depending on the intuitions of the inventor rather than on any systematic attempt to understand what features make it a game. Recent work on "simulation games", (Inbar, 1966, Schild, 1966), has been much more oriented towards an understanding of the basic processes involved. Furthermore, Inbar (1967), who has pointed out the almost total neglect of reference to the peer group as a force of influence in the development of the individual child (and the consequent distortions of this neglect), is at present engaged in observations of play situations in an attempt to trace just such influences. In this connection, he has developed the theoretical concept of peer-interaction-density along with appropriate operational indices of the extent and intensity of interactions of the individual child with his peers. The increasing prevalence of the use of play as a diagnostic and therapeutic tool has offered many opportunities for clinical observations of children at play. Nonetheless, understanding the play of a specific child, of typical as against deviant play behavior, would be considerably furthered by the availability of defined norms of such behavior, with which it could be compared. Attempts in this direction have also been made in recent years (Roberts and Sutton-Smith, 1962, Sutton-Smith, 1961): these two investigators have analyzed available anthropological records (The Human Relations Area Files) with the aim of defining the game styles typical to specific cultures and deriving the correlates of such styles in prevalent child training practices. However, since the data on games available in anthropological literature, as the investigators themselves say, are neither systematic nor comprehensive, only little of the basic work of obtaining such information on play behavior had been done thus far.

Ð

....

3

ERIC

The aim of our research was to fill this gap to some extent. Our approach assumed that children's play activities reflect their needs and capacities and that through a broad yet intensive analysis of children's games it would be possible to differentiate those characteristics of play behavior that change "with age" from those that remain constant through different age levels; and that an extensive analysis, over a wide population sample and over a considerable time stretch, would enable a definition of the culturally variant aspects

- 6 -

of play as against such that do not thus vary. We were, therefore, not concerned with the play behavior of the individual child, but rather with establishing the generally applicable norms of such behavior. In order to arrive at reliable conclusions, which would at the same time be of as general validity as possible, certain conditions had to be fulfilled:

- (a) <u>A large and varied population</u> had to be selected for study, (see, The Sample).
- (b) Because of the variability in games played during different seasons of the year, the study had to be conducted <u>over</u> <u>an extensive period of time</u>, (see, Observation Periods).
- (c) An effective method of observation of play behavior had to be devised and standardized methods for the collection of game descriptions had to be worked out (see, Methods of Observation).
- (d) The data had to represent such aspects of play activities, viz. the structures and compositions of play groups, modes of organization in play, and game contents, as were regarded to be central for our aims (see, The Record Sheets and Game Descriptions).
- (e) The strategy of analysis of the data obtained had to be flexible enough to allow for the thus far almost complete ignorance of any facts concerning this area of activity (see, Results).

The Summary preceding the Introduction presents in brief the ways and means through which we attempted to attain these aims. The complete Report represents a rather detailed elaboration of this summary.

Ű

ERIC

# SAMPLES AND PERIODS OF

### OBSERVATION

### THE SAMPLES

The schools included in the two stages of our research did not overlap, except for one school, in which observations were carried out in both stages.

### First Stage

¢

U)

ERIC

Since this was defined as a preparatory stage, we were interested in selecting a large variety of schools, situated in various parts of Israel so that our study would uncover features of particular interest related to the differences in the school population, their locations and the community structures of which they were a part. We also aimed to test during this stage the practicality of management and supervision of research of the planned scope.

All 14 school selected were State Schools. They varied along the dimensions specified in Table 1 and further explicated below,following Table 2. The geographic distribution of the schools is shown in the attached map. Table 2 gives the number of boys, girls, and all children in each of the 14 schools and the cummulative total of play participants recorded during the complete observation period.

- 8 -



[D]

Ð

ERIC

DISTRIBUTION OF SCHOOLS IN THE FIRST STAGE AND MAIN STAGE OF THE INVESTIGATION

- 9 -

# Table 1

# Basic Dimension Values for Selected Schools --

# First Stage

			A second s		and the second s	and the second se
Serial Number of School	Geographic Location	Comm- unity Struc- ture	Socio- Economic Level (0-7)	School Achieve- ment Level (40-100)	Coed- O ucational	rthodox
7	North	<sup>m</sup> orm	4 17	77 0	Voc	No
2	North	Town	4.17	69 5	Vec	No
2	North	Town	2.00	63.0	Voc	No
5	North	Small_	2 10	82 0	Voc	No
4	NOLCH		3.10	02.0	162	NO
5	North	Small- Town	3.33	72.5	Yes	No
6	North	New Immi- grant Village	0.72	60.5	Yes	Yes
7	North	Village	5.28	74.5	Yes	No
8	North	Kibbutz	5.20		Yes	No
9	South	New Immi- grant Village	2.35	70.5	Yes	No
10	South	New Immi- grant Village	0.92	61.5	Yes	Yes
11	South	Kibbutz	3.12	79.0	Yes	Yes
12	South	Town	4.10	77.0	Yes	Yes
13	South	Town	1.52	63.0	No(bovs)	Yes
14	South	Town	1.57	62.0	No(girls	) Yes

\* The two new immigrant villages (9 and 10) were established after the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948.

- 10 -

ERIC FullTaxt Provided by ERIC

÷

1

•

•

\$

# Table 2

9

.

Number of Children in Each School and Cu...ulative Totals of Vlay Participants Recorded During The Complete Observation Period

Serial Number of	N	of Child	ren	N of Play Participants						
School	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total				
1	218	233	451	417	815	1232				
2	279	257	536	731	853	1584				
3	197	215	412	246	333	579				
4	125	133	258	928	614	1542				
5	224	237	461	933	641	1574				
5	103	83	186	498	290	788				
7	131	116	247	702	415	1117				
8	1 <b>6</b> 6	64	230	339	186	525				
•	<b>49</b>	36	85	328	265	593				
LO	157	131	288	266	246	512				
11	119	110	229	337	160	497				
12	341	315	656	2223	2277	4500				
13	299	-	299	1415		1415				
14		371	371		2005	2005				
lotal 2	2408	2301	4709	9363	9100	18463				

<u>First Stage</u>

- 11 -

a few of the variables presented in <u>Table 1</u> deserve further comment. Except for the comments on orthodox schools, they are all pertinent to the main stage of the investigation, as well as to the first stage.

### Socio-Economic Level

.

۲

Æ

ERIC

The mean socio-economic level of each school was calculated on the basis of a random sample of 200 children in every school. (In schools with about 200 pupils, the whole population was rated.) The sample taken from each grade was proportional to the size of that grade relative to the total number of children in the school. The following five variables were included in the ratings: father's occupation, parents' educational level, size of family and number of rooms occupied by it, parents' country of origin, and their year of immigration.

A. All occupations were first graded by three judges into categories high, medium and bw and were then rated on a 0-2 point scale.

B. Parents' education was similarly rated on a 0-2 point scale.

C. Size of family and number of rooms occupied by it were rated as: 3 or more people per room (0); between 1.1 and 2.9 people per room (1); one person or less per room (2).

Since the children in our kibbutz samples live mostly in children's homes, this variable could not be directly applied to them. Considering living conditions in our kibbutz samples, they were rated in category (1).

D. Parents' countries of origin were divided into Israeliborn or European-American (1), and Oreintal-North-African (0). This division is based on an analysis performed by Bar-Yosef and Fadan (1963).

E. Date of immigration was also classified in two categories -- before (1), and (2) after the establishment of the State of Israel (1948). This classification is supported, though indirectly, by Hanoch's (1961) data on the relationship between level of income and year of immigration.

In the final calculation of the socio-economic scores each of the last two categories was given only half the weight given to the other categories. For the socio-economic level (SEL) we used, then, the formula: A + B + C + 1/2 D + 1/2 E. (It should be noted that the last two variables did not apply to the two Arab schools of our main stage sample).

- 12 -

The correlation coefficients shown in Table 3 between the different categories and between each category and the final score, indicate that they all relate to a common index.

### Table 3

### Correlation Coefficients Between Different Categories of Socio-Economic Level and Between Each Category and the Total SE Score

	SE Score	Country of Origin	Year of Immi- gration	Family Size/ N Room	Parents' Educa- tion	Father's Occupa- tion
SE Score	ينى كىدار					
Origin	.90	.,				
Immigration	:90	•96				
Family/ Rooms	.90	.81	•84			
Education	• 95	<b>.</b> 92	.91	. 85		
Occupation	•95	:84	.87	.91	.93	

### Level of school achievement

V

77

The level of school achievement for each school was the mean of the scores obtained on State School Examinations by all eighth grade pupils of the years during which our investigation took place.

- 13 -

### Orthodox schools

.

Ð

:3

ERIC

Israel has two systems of state schools. The pupils of one system come mostly from orthodox families and more attention is paid to religious instruction in the schools belonging to that system than in the non-orthodox system. But by and large the curricula in both systems are the same.

### <u>Main Stage</u>

All 14 schools selected were again, state schools. They varied along the independent variables specified in Table 4. Their precise geographic distribution is shown on the attached map. Table 5 gives the number of boys, girls, and all children in each of the 14 schools of the main stage, separately for the two school-year in which the research was conducted, as well as the cummulative total of play particpants recorded " during the complete observation period. Altogether, 56 schools were visited and the 14 selected out of them were chosen in accordance with the following criteria, aimed to maximize the reliability of the interpretation of the observations:

- a. All selected schools were co-educational, had all eight grades of primary school, with no less than 200 children attending them.
- b. The school playground was open to all children, with no restrictions by grades.
- c. The main recess period was the same in all schools and lasted 10 minutes, 9:50 - 10:00 a.m., simultaneously for all grades.
- d. There were no restrictions on the activities in which the children could engage within this recess period.

Our insistance that the sample should be as balanced as possible with regard to the socio-economic level of the schools, resulted in the rejection of many schools otherwise suitable. We believe that the final selection was as adequate as could be attained under the mentioned restrictions.

In addition to these restrictions, particular care was taken to avoid systematic differences in the size of the population of the high-level as compared with the low-level schools and in the size and general facilities available in the high-level and low-level school grounds.

- 14 -

### Table 4

### Basic Dimension-Values of Selected Schools --

Ma	in	St	a	ge	
				<b>C</b>	

Serial Number of School	Geographic Location	Community Structure	Socio- Economic Level (0-8)	School- Achieve- ment Level	Culture	
"High"						
1	South	Town	6.11	83.0	Jewish	
2	North	Town	5.40	80.0	11	
3	Center	Town	5.20	78.0		
4	North	Village	5.53	74.5	89	
5	South	Village,	5.41	75.0		
6	6 North		5.06	76 <b>.5</b>	11	
7	South	Kibbutz	4.53	73.5	11	
"Low"						
8	North	Town	1.61	64.0	11	
9	Center	Town	1.41	66.0	11	
10	South	Town	1.28	66.0	11	
11	South	New Immi-	2.83	66.0 "		
		grant Town	**			
12	North	New Immi-	1.11	61.5	11	
		grant Town	**			
12	North	Town	0.94	64.0	Arab	
14	LO North		0.47	61.0	Arab	
T4	MOLUII	TOMI	U • T /	01.0	***	

\$

.

and a

ERIC

\* The two kibbutz schools of our sample (6 and 7) differ in an important way: The school in the North is a regional day-school of the kibbutzim situated in the area. The school in the South is a local kibbutz school, attended exclusively by children of the kibbutz.

- \*\* The two new immigrant towns (11 and 12) are small towns which were established after the establishment of the State of Israel (1948). The Southern town has a population of 16,000 and the Northern town has a population of 15,000, as against the populations of towns 1, 2, and 3 which amount to 190,000, 200,000 and 400,000 respectively.
- \*\*\* The two Arab schools were not included in our research proposal and support for their investigation was obtained from other sources.

- 15 -

Table 5

\*

1

ÿ

ł

z

Number of Children in Each School During Two Separate School Years and Cumulative Total of Piay Participants Recorded During Complete <u>Main Stage</u> Observation Period

cipants	Total	12911	6850	8951	4258	10448	4553	3804	5282	8246	11362	6575	7443	6780	7632	104854
lay Parti	Girls	5332	3284	4128	1915	5112	1630	1535	2503	4218	5031	3162	4219	2564	2257	46857
N of P	Boys	7579	3566	4819	2328	5336	2808	2213	2779	4028	6331	3398	3221	4216	5375	27997
	Total	769	389	506	227	559	188	<b>182</b> <sup>.</sup>	228	481 <sup>.</sup>	526	602	498	489	567	6211
	1965/6 Girls	369	188	273	118	275	89	88	111	241	281	291	264	207	222	3017
<b>Children</b>	Boys	400	201	233	109	284	66	94	117	240	245 <sup>.</sup>	311	234	282	345	3194
N of	Total	788	450	522	239	457	239	222	265	505	506	610	500	455	484	6242
	1964/5 Girls	377	224	277	116	241	110	109	126	258	250	289	261	200	200	3038
	Boys	411	226	245	123	216	129	113	139	247	256	321	239	255	284	3204
Serial Number	of School		7	n ·	4	ιΩ ·	Q	2	00	6	10	11	12	13	14	Total

- 16 -

### **OBSERVATION PERIODS**

### First Stage

The first stage of the observation lasted from about mid-April to the beginning of June, 1964. The observations were carried out during a ten minutes' recess period on Sundays, Tuesdays and Thursdays. 18 observations on the average, were conducted in each school.

This number of observations turned out to be adequate for making the following methodological decisions as to the conduct of the main stage:

- 1. Some changes in the structure and content of the record sheet were introduced (See The Record Sheet).
- 2. Changes were also introduced in the method of obtaining game descriptions (See Game Descriptions).
- 3. It was decided to employ only local teachers and psychology students as observers (See Observers and Coordinators).
- 4. A local coordinator was added to the team of the observers.
- 5. It was decided that the contact-man from the center in Jerusalem should be present in every school on each day of observation (See Observers and Coordinators).
- 6. An analysis of the data revealed that no significant loss of information would be incurred if observations were limited to one observation period per week instead of the original three periods.

### <u>Main Stage</u>

ſ

ERIC

It was clear from the start that only an investigation that was carried out throughout at least one calendar year would allow for a complete and unbiased coverage of all the games played during the various seasons, since a significant number of games are played only during certain well defined periods. The period of observation in each school thus lasted for at least one calendar year and up to 18 months, excluding school holidays. The school years during which the research was carried out were 1964/5 and 1965/6.

- 17 -
# METHODS OF OBSERVATION

Our unit of observation was the group (of two or more participants) engaged in a particular play activity. The activities recorded ranged from structured games, governed by rules (e.g. varieties of hopscotch, jacks, tag, rope skipping, ball games, tic-tac-toe, dominoes), to unstructured, spontaneous modes of ludic activities, such as "whirling about", "horseback carrying", "flying like aeroplanes", "staging a strike march". On the other hand, the following activities going on during school recess were not recorded in general: individual play, conversation, going to - or coming from - looking at - , eating, writing or reading (unless as part of a game), doing home work, quarrelling, carrying out school duties (e.g., tiding up the classroom). These activities formed the exclusive subjects of observation during the last recording sessions.

We were interested in recording not only the number of games played, but also the number of groups and of children engaged in such games. (Obviously, no direct relationship need exist between these different indices, yet previous research has been primarily based on the first index alone and has indeed led to misleading conclusions, as our analysis will indicate.) In their final form, recordings of each group included the following items of information:

<u>Items of information specific to each group:</u> Number of participants according to grade and sex, their ethnic or religious affiliation, their manner of play (incidence of quarrel), the name of the play activity, physical features of the play surface, the length of the game and the reason for its termination.

<u>Items of general information for each observation day</u>: Name and code number of recorder, name and code cf school, grades missing, weather (wind, precipitation, temperature), and information lacking (e.g., because of absence of observer).

In some cases recordings were also made of groups of onlookers, according to their grade and sex. Descriptions of all play activities recorded were also obtained.

It was necessary first of all to develop a workable method by which as nearly as possible all groups engaged in play

- 18 -

during the period of observation would be recorded in a standardized manner. Furthermore, it was necessary to keep track of the play activities themselves, both structured and unstructured.

The methods that were worked out were based on the following principles:

- (a) Partitioning of the observation area into sections.
- (b) Recording information about (i) each play group, and
  (ii) every play activity, in a standardized manner.
- (c) Conducing the recordings by means of trained observers, each responsible for one section within the observation area.
- (d) Supervising of the observations through a contact-man from the research center as an integral part of the observation procedure.

The first stage of the investigation proved the method that was devised along these principles to be workable. Some improvements, though, were introduced to the main stage of the investigation. The following is an elaboration of our guiding principles as applied in the field, together with conclusions drawn from the experience gained throughout the investigation.

# PARTITIONING OF THE OBSERVATION AREA

The school yard and building(s) were divided into sections, one section to each observer. The observer's task was to record all groups of players within his section. The sections were mapped out so as to ensure that all players could be within the observer's field of vision from any point within his section. The size of the section was determined by the number of children generally playing in it, with the intention to avoid over-populated sections. As far as possible, the area was divided into 'natural' sections (paved surface, lawn), in order to minimize the occurrence of groups playing in more than one section.

Our experience in the preliminary stage proved the suitability in principle of this method of area-division; nevertheless, some additional precautions were introduced in the main stage of the investigation: We found that the maximum number of groups that could be recorded within a section without loss of accuracy in recordings varied between 7 and 11,

- 19 -

depending on group size. This was fully taken into consideration in the subdivision of areas in the main stage when, indeed, observers' recordings did not usually exceed this number of groups, and was most commonly between 4 and 5. The first stage also taught us that the area observed could not be subdivided into too small sections if such sections were crowded. Otherwise, there was a risk of overlap in recordings between observers, because of some locomotion during play and because of difficulties in defining the precise borderline between such sections. Rather than introduce this bias into our recordings, we chose to view our method of observation as analogous to that of a surveying camera which would, indeed, miss some very short-term activities occurring in the area surveyed. We also found that certain sections sometimes had to be re-divided: thus, on occasion, a particular section would become unusually crowded with children normally playing in an adjoining section (for example, a fight would attract onto one section many onlookers who would stay on after the fight broke up). Besides these rather sudden concentrations of population there were also some slow shifts in popularity of sections, depending on such factors as changes in the seasons of the year (e.g., seeking shade in the summer) and changes in periodic games (which would require, for example, a different type of play surface, or more, or less, space). It was evident that there had to to be someone whose task it would be to coordinate between observers in cases of shifts in the relative congestion of different sections. It was found that such a coordinator was also needed in order to avoid a certain risk of overlap in recordings between observers: a few games, by their very nature, involve extensive locomotion (e.g., tag). Children playing such games were completely oblivious of our partitions! The obmarvers learned to pay particular attention to such groups and then to compare their recordings with those of other observers who might have recorded the same groups. The coordinator, introduced in the main stage, wandered from section to section throughout the recess period, watching out for such possibilities of overlap and warning observers if any group in their section had already been recorded. (This meant, of course, looking at recordings already made by observers, and gave opportunity for constant supervision.)

١

4

æ

ERIC

It should be noted that these tasks of coordination were in general by no means overdemanding; the occasions for active interference by the coordinator were the exception rether than

- 20 -

the rule. The role of coordinator could thus be expanded to include additional functions which will be described in due course.

# STANDARDIZING THE RECORDING PROCEDURES

# Recording of playgroups

Э

÷.

ERIC

Each observer would position himself in his (or her) section at the beginning of the observation period, so that as nearly as possible all incidents of formation, relevant fluctuation, changes and termination of ludic activities should come to his notice. The observer was equipped with a board with record sheets attached and a pencil with an attached rubber. He would note down, one after the other, the specific items for each group, asking the children for the name of the activity engaged in. There was a special sign marking nameless activities which were given names by the observer; many of these names were standardized in time (see Game Descriptions). When not definitely known to the observer, the children were also asked what grade they belonged to. Naturally, the items 'manner of play', 'length of game' and 'reason for termination' were completed last. In the relatively few cases where the size of the group increased after it had already been recorded, the observer erased the original numbers and recorded the maximal size. Reduction in group size was not recorded, the size recorded thus always being the maximal. (During the first stage increases and decreases in group size during play were recorded. These items were then eliminated in the main stage recordings.) Detailed definitions, with examples of occasions of beginning and termination of play activities, were given to the observers as part of their general instructions.

The appearance of observers in the field was bound to steer at least some children out of their normal activities. Instructions to all observers emphasized that they should let interested children look at the record-sheet during recordings and answer their questions briefly. The stated purpose of the observations was, "to write a book about all games played by children". Indeed, quite a few children showed some interest in the observers at first. But it cannot be emphasized too much that this curiosity subsided surprisingly quickly.

- 21 -

After as few as two or at most four observations, the children were once again more involved in their own activities than in those of the observers. By then everyone knew what the observers were about, they realized that the recordings were anonymous and often volunteered the information required before asked, "so as not to be disturbed".

Extensive checks on the information provided by the children proved it to be reliable at all ages. Information on grade was checked by following some of the informers unobtrusively to their classroom on termination of the recess period. Information on 'name of game' was checked by internal consistency between the different children (within the group or between groups engaged in the same activity), by consistency between various groups of players, by consistency from observation to observation and between schools.

#### The record sheets

ø

s

ERIC

Appendix A reproduces (in translation from Hebrew) the general information sheet, in use during the preliminary stage. Appendix A/lreproduces the translated record-sheet for one group of players, used during the same period. It can be seen that questions in these record sheets refer both to school observations and to observations after school hours. The next page reproduces an English translation of the general information frame and a frame for the information on one group of players, used during the main stage. Another type of record-sheet had space for recording onlookers which were recorded in two schools. This record-sheet is The record-sheets were reproduced on page 24. available in Hebrew and in Arabic, for the respective schools. In AppendixA/2examples are given of the original record-sheets used.

The changes in structure and content of the sheets from the first to the second stage of the investigation were based on the experience gained during the first stage on the basis of which we re-designed the sheets along the following principles: (a) Make recording as simple and easy as possible for the observer, thus securing maximum time for observation proper and demanding minimum time for actual recording. (b) Structure the record-sheet so as to make it nearly impossible for the observer to overlock items to be recorded. (c) Tailor the record-sheet so that the results should be transferable directly onto punch cards for computer analysis.

- 22 -

MATN STAGE RECORD SHEET I

12

ERIC

Frame 1: General information Frame 2: Recordings for one play group



The number of boy and girl participants by grade were written within the appropriate frames. The name of the game was written where specified. All other characteristics were marked by encircling the appropriate numbers. MAIN STAGE RECORD SHEET II

Ø

\*

£

ERIC \*FullText Provided by ERIC Frame 1: General information Frame 2: Recordings for one play group Frame 3: Recordings of onlookers on the play group

	LACK OF LACK OF FORMATION 28 28 observer dobserver dobserver missing other reason	GRADE 6RADE 4546-47 777-79 6IRLS	8 00 1 1 1 00 9 1 1 1 1 00 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		A NTS BY	s - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
¥	R 1 - 2 2 warn 3 hot 4 hams	PARTICI	k e 7 12-13 140-41 14
RUSALE	1 H E cipitation 26 0ne rizie rizia now	CM BER 07 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Y OF JE Arch	× v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v	A ME OF 33-10	U 0
IVERSIT S RESE	21 wind	ANNER N. F PLAY N. 20 play guarrel both	7 8 30-31 -61 63-63
EW UN GAME		F GAME OM 19 19 19 3 1 10 19 3 1	r 5 7 5 7 - 11 7 5 8 - 110 7 5 - 110 7 5 - 110 7 5 - 110 7 5 -
EHEBR	16 - 23 16 - 23	G A M E MINATION O 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	21.13 21.13 24.55 54.55 54.55 54.55
₹	СНООГ 21 СНООГ 21 СНООГ	17 HNIC 7 OUP TER 17 OUP TER 17 Out TER 0 shken- - azi b oth 3 0 of 6	0 n l 2 3 1-19 20-21 6-51 32-51
> # Z 5 4 0		URFACE 16 paved 16 paved 16 paved 10 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	
NAME OF	NUMBER D A 055ERVER Day M 1 = 4 5-6 7	GROUP 5 GROUP 5 GRO	dub dub

- 24 -

The original record-sheet contained recordings on one group. In its final form the sheet contained a frame for general information and four frames for four groups, or three frames for three groups and an additional two frames for each group's possible onlookers. Thus the observer could conveniently shift back and forth from one group to another while recording.

On the basis of the data gathered in the first stage we were able to give all but two items ('name of game' and 'number of players') the form of two or multiple choice lists. The numerals appearing next to each sub-item were designed to enable direct coding of the relevant information by encircling the appropriate numeral. By comparison with the original record sheets, a number of items of information were added and others were excluded: Three items of general information were added, namely, the observer's code number, grades missing on specific days (because of a school outing, for example) and lack of information (because of an observer's absence, for example). The addition of these items provided the possibility of making appropriate corrections in processing the data. To the information on specific groups two items were added: 'manner of play' and 'reason for termination'. After our preliminary experience it seemed of importance to us to obtain direct information on the first item, since we realized that the game descriptions alone could not always give a correct impression of the spirit in which a particular game was played. A most innocent game may be played wery aggressively, whereas a game which requires the use of force, for example, may be played with the greatest apathy. An item on 'manner of play' was therefore added. The item on 'reason for termination' of the play activity was introduced mainly in order to Le able to refine our analysis of 'length of game'. An activity could last for five minutes or less ('short') for internal reasons (the game was finished, the children quarreled or got bored with it) but also for external reasons (the bell calling back to class). We found in the analysis of the data obtained in the first stage, that there was a systematic increase with age in the percentage of children persisting at one game for more than five minutes. The additional information provides a basis for a more detailed discussion of the various causes of play termination.

- 25 -

A number of items were excluded from the main stage record-sheet because their occurrence was relatively infrequent and their recording too difficult for the gain in information incurred. These were the items on changes in group size (and related item f) and the item on transfer of children from one group to another (item a). The questions on socioeconomic level and religious practice or non-practice within a school were also excluded because they could not be reliably recorded. Through our selection of schools in the main stage, socio-economic level was turned into a major independent variable.

#### Game descriptions

.

÷

v 🖡

ERIC

The information contained in the item 'name of game' was insufficient for purposes of identification, since some play activities that went by the same name were in fact completely different, whereas games differently named were sometimes the same. Further, 'nameless' activities, which were given names by the observers, could be identified by the observers alone. A recorded description of each activity was evidently required.

During the first stage of the investigation all observers were provided with brief questionnaires and instructions for game descriptions and each observer had to describe every activity on first encounter. We realized that in this way we would obtain many overlapping descriptions, but we hoped to select the clearest and most comprehensive of these for our purposes. As a matter of fact, we were swamped with rather poor descriptions, which could not all be checked during the observation phase, and were left with some activities which were named but not described at all.

Consequently descriptions were obtained in a different manner during the main stage of the research:

Immediately following each observation, two coordinators listed all names of games not so far recorded that appeared on the record-sheets collected from the observers. The list was divided according to, (a) structured and/or well known play activities (e.g., 'chocolate hopscotch', 'puppet hopscotch', 'hospital tag'), to be termed 'structured' for the sake of

- 26 -

brevity, (b) spontaneous and/or unstructured ludic activities which had no standard name and/or were played in varying quite diverse styles (e.g., 'friendly fights', 'horseback carrying', 'running match'). In case of doubt, the names were always placed in category (b), to be termed 'spontaneous' or 'unstructured'.

The observers themselves described all unstructured activities, if the nature of the activity was not simple and self-evident. The descriptions were usually produced during the recess period following the observation period, except by students and by those teachers who were free to do so immediately on termination of the observations. These descriptions were examined by the coordinators on receipt and returned for clarification whenever necessary. Because of our previous unhappy experience with most observers' descriptions, our questionnaires on spontaneous activities were simple and undemanding. Examples were provided for the kind of description required. Appendix B includes a sample of unstructured game descriptions and answers obtained to the full questionnaires. Further descriptions are contained in the Sample Translations from the Encyclopedia of Games included in the Section under that heading (pp. 58-73).

The bulk of activities was of type (a), i.e., 'structured'. All activities in this category, but also activities originally classified as 'spontaneous' which later appeared to have a standaridized style and to be known amongst the children, were described by the coordinators. The descriptions, based on questionnaires, were obtained through interviews accompanied by demonstrations of children of the school, age group(s) and sex(es) recorded as having played the game. Examples of such descriptions with the attached questionnaire, are also given in Appendix B, and additional descriptions are presented in the translations from the Encyclopedia of Games, pp. 38-57. In addition to these descriptions, highly detailed descriptions were obtained of the main seasonal games. We have developed special codes for describing the moves characteristic of each game. One example of such a code is presented in Appendix C, pp. 246-250. At the center in Jerusalem there were four 'examiners', two male and two female, whose task was to go carefully through the descriptions. Descriptions of ludic activities in which predominantly boys engaged were checked by at least one male examiner, and, mutalis mutandis, for activities by girls. Inadequate descriptions were returned with questions for clarification, or for re-writing.

- 27 -

### OBSERVERS AND COORDINATORS

### Selection and supervision

. 1

Ē

In the first stage we intended to make a rough comparison of the relative quality of three types of observers: schoolteacher (n=45), 8th grade pupils (n=9) and psychology students (n=15). During that phase, seven supervisors (including the principal investigator) visited all schools on approximately 50% of the observations. The supervisors often came unannounced and these surprise visits enabled us to determine whether unsupervised observations started on time and whether the observers were equipped for the task as required.

While in the field, the supervisor would pass from observer to observer and take note of the comprehensiveness and accuracy of the recordings made. It is likely, of course, that the poor observer made extra efforts while being watched. But the supervisor could still make an indirect judgment of the quality of the work: The observer who made most of his recordings while the supervisor was around, or the one who had hardly started recording in a populated section towards the end of the recess period, was obviously suspect. On occasion, the supervisor made a rough count of number of players in all sections. This was taken as a minimum number, and was compared with the total recorded by observers. Sample inter-judge reliability tests between observer and supervisor were also taken.

We found that the recordings of the pupils were in no discernable way better than those of the teachers, and in fact it even appeared that their motivation for the task was rather unstable. The use of pupils as observers was in any case problematic since they were thus excluded from our sample. We therefore decided not to employ this category of observers in our main stage. For practical reasons, students could only be employed in the city of Jerusalem. In the two Jerusalem schools selected for our sample <u>the same</u> students served as observers. There were 13 students-observers during the main stage, who were selected after a trial period with about double that number.

- 28 -

and the second second

In order to secure as reliable data as possible in the main stage, we put every effort into the selection and training of observers, getting observers involved in the study and maintaining their interest during the long period of data collection. Two supervisors-coordinators worked with the <u>students</u> and meetings were held to discuss problems connected with the work. A local coordinator from amongst the <u>teacher</u>-observers in each school and a permanent studentrepresentative was present on each observation day in every such school.

Prior to the selection of observers, 56 schools were approached (with the backing of the Israel Ministry of Education) and the headmasters were visited and consulted about the practicability of carrying out the research in their schools. Information on the socio-economic level of the school and other relevant details were gathered. Each of the fourteen schools finally selected for teachers' observations was again visited by the principal investigator or one of her aids. A meeting with a select number of teachers (which was always beyond the number of observers required) was held. In this meeting teachers were given background information on the study and the problems it posed, relating these to the actual school situation. Teachers were told that their school would be included in the study if they were interested in participating. They were warned that the study would extend over a long period of time and that participation would require persistance. A sufficient number of interested collaborators was easily gathered in every school. These were given detailed instructions in print concerning their task. Each observer was provided with a kit with all necessary equipment. The record-sheet was carefully worked through with the observers and a period of supervised training followed. It was understood that the first 6-8 training observations would at the same time constitute a mutual trial period. The 128 observers who finally took part in the research, were mostly those with whom we had started.

Ð

ERIC

We realized from our previous experience that after the first wave of enthusiasm subsided, some effort would have to be put into keeping up the observers' motivation. Observers were paid for their work. We tried to keep alive a genuine interest in the research by such means as letters sent to

- 29 -

each teacher, reporting on the progress of work in the various schools and about plans for continuation. The principal investigator visited the schools from time to time during observations and also gave talks related to the subject of the investigation. We tried to encourage something of a team spirit amongst the observers of each school. Also, we were lucky in finding in all schools (but one), a real enthusiast, both able and willing to serve as local coordinator, responsible to the Jerusalem center for the work in his (or her) school. Together with the contact man -- a permanent student-representative from Jerusalem, who was present on each observation day -- the local coordinator served during recess in a semi-supervisory capacity, at the same time also preventing overlap in recordings and organizing repartitioning if necessary. The local coordinator and the Jerusalem contact also saw to it that observers were on time for their task, that all record-sheets were returned after observations, and that all spontaneous games were described by the observers; to them a teacher would turn with any problem connected with the work and general communication with the Jerusalem center was channeled through them. The constant live contact with Jerusalem through the contact man was an important factor in the observers' morale. This representative also transmitted all new instructions from Jerusalem and saw to it that they were carried out. Because he was on the spot there was never a delay in transfer of the newly collected data. The representative also provided running written reports on every observation, in which he specified points of special interest, problems that arose, unusual occurrences at school and other qualitative remarks which make for more complete understanding of such data as ours. Altogether, the local coordinator and the Jerusalem representative proved almost indispensable links in our chain of communication and control necessary to keep work going at the appropriate level.

# Reliability of the observations

Ë,

ERIC

# a. Inter-observer reliability

i. <u>The method of testing reliability</u>. On the basis of our experience during the preliminary stage, we took various measures in order to secure as reliable results as possible. We redesigned our record-sheets, excluding items of doubtful

- 30 -

validity, defining others with greater precision and organizing them so as to make the act of recording as standardized and as easy as possible. We also introduced the roles of local coordinator and contact-man between the center and the school. (In the Jerusalem schools, in lieu of the contactman there was an additional coordinator.) Thereby constant supervision during observations as well as smooth and direct communication with the Jerusalem center was established. Prior to observations proper there was an intensive training period.

To test the degree of reliability actually obtained, inter-judge ' reliability testing was used. During the test period, two observers were placed in a section normally covered by one observer. Both recorded independently all groups they observed in the complete section. In order to preserve during the testing conditions as nearly as possible similar to those of a regular observation, observations were carried out during the usual ten-minute recess period. Further, there was one practice and four test observations, which were divided as follows: In the first three observations, (one 'practice' and two 'test'), one of each pair of observers worked in the section assigned to him during regular observations while the other observer, if at all possible, was taken from a neighbouring section. The third and fourth test observations were carried out in the sections not covered in the first two observations, so that each of a pair who previously observed in an unfamiliar section, now worked in their own section. The pairs of observers were, however, kept constant throughout the test period. This may have made our test not as searching as ideally desirable; but re-pairing of observers would have multiplied the number of observations to an impracticable extent since, as explained below, these observations of necessity caused some interference with the regular school work. On the other hand, it must be noted that familiarity with the section observed increases reliability: the observer learns to recognize both the children and many of the games played, which increases his facility at the task. Since one of each pair of observers always worked in an unfamiliar section, our test scores probably show an artificial decline in reliability and thus understate the accuracy of our procedures. The instructions distributed amongst teachers were worked through with the Jerusalem

A:

ERIC

- 31 -

representative; those distributed amongst students, were worked through with the principal investigator. In explaining the purpose of the task, emphasis was put on the necessity to determine the relative reliability of the various <u>items</u> recorded. This point was found to interest the observers, since they differed intheir opinions about the relative reliability of the various items. Thereby the real function of these operations, which was to test the observers' qualifications, was effectively disguised.

There were cases in which some doubts arose as to whether groups recorded by pairs of observers were identical or not. In all such cases the two observers met with one of the coordinators immediately after the observation, in the section observed and compared notes: With their memory still fresh, observers could then specify where exactly within the section each game took place and could also describe and identify some of the participants and thus determine in most cases whether the groups in question were identical or not. (The experienced reader will by now appreciate that our tests occasionally introduced a good amount of disorder in the school schedule and thus could not be indefinitely extended!)

Ð

3

E

ERIC.

ii. <u>Calculating of test-reliability score</u>. The first step was to calculate the percentage of overlap in <u>groups</u> covered by each pair of observers. The number of all groups recorded by both observers was divided by the sum or the number of groups recorded by each observer. The average percentage 'overlap' in groups (i.e., averaged for each school over all pairs of observers in that school and over four test period) is shown in the third column of Table 6 (pg. 38).

The second step was to calculate the percentage agreement in the <u>items</u> recorded for 'overlapping' groups. There were nine items of information recorded for each group, for which the average percentage of agreement was computed. It will be realized, of course, that for each of the items of information the observer had a choice of possible answers depending on the subject (e.g., for 'name of game', there was a large number of possibilities; for 'length of game' the choice was only binary: 'short' for up to five minutes and 'long' for over five minutes). The percentages of observations that were in agreement on each item (for each school over all pairs of observers in that school and over the four test periods),

- 32 -

			Recor	ded and	Mean Per	rcent Agre	ement on	I Iteas	of Overl	apping Gre	oups.		
School	Numbe	er of Groups	Mean	Mean P	ercent /	Agreement	on Nine	Items o	f Overla	opine Grou	an D		
	total	overlapping	Percent of Overlap in Groups*	boys/ girls	name of gane	number of <b>*</b> players	grade*	manner of play	length of play	termina- tion of play*	ethnic (or re- ligious ifiliation	play sur- face <sup>‡</sup> n <sup>‡</sup>	Mean Percent Agreement on All Ten Items
North:													
Town-Low	39	90	82.1	100	96.9	84.4	2 00	0 70	0 00	0 00	0,10		:
Town-High	114	88	77.1	96.6	7.79	85.2	2.00	20.7 2 2 2 2		93.0 7 F	6 97 5	BI	91.7
Village	36	31	86.1	100	93.5	61.3	87.1				1.00	1.16	82.5
Kibbutz	38	37	97.4	9776	97.3	9 70			ST ST	O O O	BT	8/.1	90.8
Development		1					C.1C	24.0	0.00	89.2	1	86.5	92.2
Town	95	72	75.7	98-6	90.3	R/: 7	6 00	001	5 7	, , ,			
Arab Village	124	100	80.6	0.99	100					01.J	74.4	20°1	86.5
Arab Town	107	86	90.4	96.5	100	97-7	36.5	100	7.75	7 LY	1 00	0.01	89.2
										***	1.co	<b>N</b> T	2.2
Center:													
Town-Low	40	34	85.0	100	100	87.3	<b>88</b> 2	0/ 1	0, 1	0			
Town-High	85	58	68.2	98.3	98.3	79.3	94.8	93.1	93.1	9°96	4-6/ 61.4	24°T	50.2 2, 2
South:													
Town-Low	161	116	72_1	100	0 70	105	•						
Town-High				001	74.0	10.1	73.L	7.24	94.0	87.1	82.8	94.0	88.8
Viliage	98	81	82.6	96.3	95.1	87.7	92.6	96.3	R7_7	01 Å	77 8	00	г 9
Kibbutz	22	21	95.5	100	95.3	66.7	95.2	76.2		1.10	7 14	7.0	00%/
Development										0.TO		7-00	00-00
Town	109	82	75.2	100	98.7	90.2	96.3	97.5	0.09	85_3	73 1	<u>25</u> 2	00 1
	1068	838				1			> - > >		1		T•20
Man Domont													

Table 6: Number of Groups Recorded During Inter Judge Reliability Testing, Mean Percent of Overlap Between Pairs of Observers in Groups Recorded and Mean Percent Agreement on Items of Overlapping Group:

•

- 33 -

In all cases, the strictest definition of overlap was applied: only complete overlap was considered as "agreement" between observers. 88, 3 89.2 83.1 85.6 86.4 85.8 93.8 85.8 98.3 96.9 78.5 Mean Percent over 14 Schools \*

are shown in Table 6. The percentage of incorrect observations is obviously approximately about half that of the percentage of observations that are in disagreement. There is a 4 to 8 percent average error in recording of all 10 items of information in the various schools. In ranking these scores across the schools no relationship can be discerned between socioeconomic level, type of settlement or culture of the schools and the reliability of the recordings.

# b. <u>Correlations between observers' recordings and</u> <u>children's reports</u>

In three Jerusalem schools (the South-Coeducational school of the first stage -- of Medium-level -- and the High-level and Low-level South-Schools of the main stage), reliability was also tested in the following manner: the children in all grades were requested, upon returning into class after one of the recess periods in which observations were conducted, to report in writing which game(s) they had played during the recent recess period. The lower grades were aided in writing their responses. Table 7 gives the correlation coefficients between the number of players who were recorded as participants in specific games and the number of children reported to have played these games, by grade and sex. The correlation coefficients were calculated separately for each school and for the lower and upper school grades.

### Table 7

Correlation Coefficients Between the Number of									
	Playe:	rs Who W	lere Re	corded	as Part	icipant	s in		
	Speci	fic Game	s and	the Num	ber of	Childre	n Repor	ted	
	to hay	ve Playe	d Thes	e Games	in Thr	ee Scho	ols		
	<b>(</b> N of	Schools	; Hig	h, Boys	= 274,	Girls	= 252		
-	Mediu	n, Boys:	293,	Girls:	275, Lo	w, Boys	: 186,	Girls:	145.)
School Level									
Grad	es	Hig	h	Mediu	m@	-		Low	
	Boys	Girls	A11	Boys	Girls	<u>A11</u>	Boys	Girls	A11
1-4	*.43	**.60	**.72	**.90	**.93	**,97	**.71	*.57	**.71
5-8	•60	.29	• 38	**.99	**.96	**.98	*.49	*.86	**.67
Sign	ificand	ce of Di	fferen	ce					
	N.S.	<u>N.S.</u>	p<.0	6 N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
* si	gnifica	int at .	05 lev	el **	signifi	cant at	.01 le	vel	
6 ~h									

.

0 observations in this school were conducted during the first stage and again, during the corresponding period one year later, with the same record sheets used in the other two schools.

It may be seen that with the exception of the upper grades in one school, all correlations are significant.

- 34 -

However, when rank ordering the games, no significant correlations were obtained between observers' recordings and children's reports. Sutton-Smith (1965) has also argued, on the basis of comparisons made between actual play participation and reports on such participation, that there was no correspondence between them, in particular in the case of boys who, according to his interpretation, may assert an interest in "masculine" games even if they do not pursue such games actively, whereas girls appear to enjoy greater sex role flexibility in this respect. An indirect opportunity for testing this interpretation lies in an additional section of our questionnaire, in which each child was asked to specify who he (or she) played with. The relevant comparisons are of the extent of boys' and girls' mutual mention as playmates. However, this comparison has not as yet been carried out.

An additional questionnaire, also relevant in this connection, was given to children in grades 4 to 8 in five different schools, after observations were terminated. In this questionnaire, a list of all major games observed in the schools was given, and the children were asked to check the games they had played at recess during the year. In our analysis thus far, of results obtained from one school, we compared the proportion of boys who checked exclusively~ and predominantly- .girls' games (see pp. 149-150 for a precise definition) and of girls who checked exclusivelyand predominantly- boys' games with the corresponding proportions obtained in actual observations. Interestingly enough, we have found in the case both of girls and of boys, a greater proportion of declared participation than that actually observed. In other words, it appears that children checked even games which they had rarely played (and were thus unlikely to have been recorded playing them, since our observations were conducted only once per week). However, whereas the difference between the declared and observed participation (in favour of the former) averaged only 10 percent for girls with regard to boys' games, this difference averaged 17 percent for boys, with regard to girls' games! This finding appears contrary to that of Sutton-Smith, but its meaning must be understood with reference to our records which have revealed (p. 150) that the percent of boys who played predominantly girls' games is far higher than the percent of girls who play predominantly boys' games. This is, indeed, indicated by the answers obtained in the questionnaire.

.

ERIC

- 34a -

# C. Internal consistencies in recordings and consistencies between schools

In an important sense, the data speaks for itself. On specific items recorded about each play group compare, for example, Figure 46 with Figure 47 (pp. 171 and 172). The shape of the curve of the graph showing the percentage of players by age who played "long games" corresponds almost completely with the shape of the curve which shows the major reason for play termination, viz. the school bell. That is, if a game was "long", the probability that it would be terminated by the bell increased. Other such consistencies can be found between the percent of boys as against girls who played long games (Figure 42, p. 167) and the respective percentages whose play was terminated through its natural end or by fading out (Figures 43, 44, pp. 168, 199).

Besides these and other correspondences between items independently recorded, (the interested reader may make additional comparisons between the Figures presented in the Results), there are many similarities in trends over age, and sex, between the high-level and the low-level schools. This Can be seen repeatedly in the Results and need not be specified here.

#### RESULTS

We think that we can state without reservation, that all the major tasks which we have set ourselves before embarking on our four year project, have been fully carried out. We had, first of all, to reach the facts about play behavior in groups. For this purpose an Encyclopedia of Games has been compiled and information has been gathered which we consider to be of primary importance for the understanding of the behavior of children in playgroups. Our approach to the analysis of the data was primarily descriptive-analytic. Rather like the comparative ethologist, we intended first to reveal those games, play behaviors and modes of organization in playgroups, which turned out to be characteristic of a particular age, sex, socio-economic strata, community structure and culture -with the aim of defining their "species specific" attributes, as well as attributes they possess in common. The deeper theoretical analysis of the data is the task that follows. and<sup>1</sup> this task we shall be engaged in the 3-4 years ahead.

#### A. ENCYCLOPEDIA OF GAMES

An Encyclopedia of Games has been compiled which, to the best of our knowledge, is the most comprehensive of its kind. Some 5,000 descriptions of games and their variants have been systematically categorized and encoded first, as to their structuredness and then for structured and unstructured games separately, in separate alphabetical order, along the following dimensions:

- 1. Name of game, with cross references to all other names of the same game, as well as specifications of variation in the same game as played in different localities.
- 2. School in which the game was observed.
- 3. Age range of players.
- 4. Sex of players.
- 5. Objects used.

ERIC

In addition, the games played in two particular schools have been categorized at greater depth, along a much larger number of further dimensions such as, boys/girls ratio, detailed structure of the playgroups, use of objects or play materials, the existence of outcomes such as winning and whether winning is connected with material gain.

- 35 -

We are convinced that this large amount of carefully collected and categorized data will be of greatest importance to researchers in a variety of fields such as child psychology, small group sociology, social anthropology and folklore. As a matter of fact, the Encyclopedia is already being used in research conducted in the Sociology Department of the Hebrew University. The principal investigator herself is continuing the content analysis of the game descriptions. It already is apparent that significant information will be obtained from this analysis.

• . •.

ŕ

 $\mathcal{Q}_{j}$ 

Attached to this Report is one copy of Vol. 1 and 2 of the 28 Volumes of the Encyclopedia of Games. A sample translation from Hebrew into English, of some of the contents of these volumes are presented in the following pages, 38-73. SAMPLE TRANSLATIONS FROM

۰.

, í

VOLUMES I and II

of

# THE ENCYCLOPEDIA OF GAMES

Vol. I: Structured Games, pp. 38-57

Vol. II: Unstructured Games, pp. 58-73

- 37 -

# STRUCTURED GAMES pp. 36-62

Name of the gameApricot pit hole ("Aju Bor" or "Gogoim")Name of RecorderY.B.Location Jerusalem (Town, Low)Grade of playersIst to 7thParticipantsObjects usedapricot pitsDate13.11.1965

# Game Procedure

С,

N ERIC

A hole of approximately 15 cms. in radius is dug and a line is drawn at a distance of about 2 meters from this hole. From this line the players are required to throw apricot pits toward the hole. Each participant starts with an equal amount of apricot pits, the number of which is decided at the outset of each round of the game. The two opponents then decide who goes on the first round, on the basis of "Anchook". The player who wins the right to go first receives his opponent's apricot pits as well as his own and throws them, one at a time in the direction of the hole with the aim of dropping them into the hole. After he throws them all, the number of pits in the hole is counted. If it turns out to be an even number, then the thrower wins all the pits, including those that have jumped out of the hole. Thus he gets his own pits back as well as those of his opponent. The sole determining factor is the number of pits in the hole; the number outside the hole is inconsequential. If the number of pits in the hole turns out to be an odd number then his opponent wins all the pits.

No matter what the outcome is the turn always passes to the player who has not thrown on the previous round. If this player is afraid that he will lose on his throw he may pass. In this instance, however, the first player must then agree to throw on two successive turns.

The winner of the game is the one who wins all the apricot pits of his opponent.

- 38 -

Name of the game	Dad and M	om			
Number of players	4-20	Participants	boys d	and gir	<u>ls</u>
Grade of players	4th	Location	Terusalem	(Town,	Low)
Name of Recorder	<u>Z.U.</u>	Date4.	<b>3.</b> <i>1965</i>		

() |

1

ERIC

At the outset of the game a "mom" (who also plays the "dad") is chosen and the remaining players take the role of the "children". The different game roles are filled either arbitrarily or according to "choosing" in the form of "odd man out" or "stone, paper and scissors". In the same fashion each child's turn in line is determined.

A line is drawn and the "children" stand behind it in a horizontal row. The player who is chosen to be the "mom" stands facing the row of children at a distance of about 10 meters. The purpose of the game is to try and reach the "mom" and exchange places with her. The "child" who reaches the "mom" first exchanges places with her, and becomes the new "mom". A "child" reaches the "mom" in the following manner: One of the "children" asks: "Mom, mom what's the time?" and the "mom" replies: "Three o'clock" (or any other number that strikes "ther" fancy). The child who asked the question then advances three steps forward trying all the while to take giant strides in order to reach the "mom" first. The remaining children also ask according to their turn in line (as decided at the start of the game) and similarly they advance according to the time that is given as an answer (e.g. if the answer is "four o'clock" they advance four steps, if "five o'clock"/they advance five steps, etc.) One can also ask "Dad, dad what's the time?" In this case, however, the "child" who asks has to retreat backwards according to the number that the "dad" gives as an answer. Generally, however, the children always try to advance, but the "mom" (who also plays the role of "dad") can delay or prevent their progress. For example, to the child who asks "Mom, mom what's the time?" - the "mom" can reply "Dad, three o'clock" and in these circumstances the child has to retreat three steps backwards (or according to any other number which is given as an answer).

-.39 -

# - 2 - Dad and Mom

The children claim that the person who plays the role of "mom" wants to be exchanged eventually because he gets "fed up with being the mom". Thus, the "mom" does her best to let the other children reach her and exchange roles with her. It should be noted that a "child" can exchange places with the "mom" even when he reaches her in a smaller number of steps than that determined by the "mom's" answer (for example when he reaches her on the second step even though the "mom" answered "four o'clock").

When someone exchanges roles with "mom" the game once again starts from the beginning. There is no defined end to the game.

\* J.

€.

Name of the game	Individual	Tag Date <u>13.2.1967</u>
Name of Recorder	<u>A.R.</u> L	ocation <u>Jerusalem (Town, Low)</u>
Grade of players	lst to 8th	No. of players <u>2-6</u>
Objects used	none	Participants <u>boys</u> and girls

The "tagger" is chosen by a form of "odd man out" ("from many a few go out"). He then declares "Ten for all" and the rest of the players flee, (in actuality the "tagger" rarely counts till ten). The "tagger" then tries to catch and tag one of the fleeing children and when he succeeds in doing so the "tagged" child becomes the row "tagger" and again declares "Ten for all". Thus the above process is repeated with the previous "tagger" now fleeing with the rest of the children. There is no formal declaration of a new "tagger"; rather, the declaration "Ten for all" suffices so that no player can subsequently argue that he didn't know of the exchange of "taggers".

In this tag game the players usually try to"drive the tagger crazy" by running in front of him and turning sharply sideways, or by running in a zig-zag fashion. A fleeing player who falls in the process of escaping can't be caught by the "tagger". Instead, the "tagger" must give the fallen player an opportunity to get up and begin to run and only then can he once again pursue him.

A player who wishes to rest or stop participating temporarily may declare "Pus" ("Time!") in which case the "tagger has no right to tag him. However, a player must not yell "Pus" when the "tagger" is in hot pursuit of him and in such a case can only do so if he falls while being chased.

In this tag game there is no defined end.

- 41 -



Name of the gameHeadsNo. of players2, sometimes 4 or 6Name of RecorderY.B.LocationJerusalem (Town, Low)Grade of players4th to 8thParticipantsboysObjects usedball, 4 stonesDate17.11.1965

Game Procedure (when played by two)

The players build two goals with stones at a distance of approximately 8 meters apart. They decide who goes first by "odds or evens" or by "jumping" (in the latter case they throw the ball up in the air between them and the one who jumps and touches it first goes first).

The player who goes first stands in his goal area and butts the ball with his head in the direction of his opponent's goal. He does this by throwing the ball in the air and hitting it firmly with his head. If he succeeds in scoring a goal he wins a point and the ball is then given over to his opponent. If the "goalie" catches or stops the ball or if it is butted off target and rolls outside the goal area, then the ball is given over to the defender without any points being lost.

When the "goalie" succeeds in stopping the butt of the attacking player with his head then the "goalie" receives a "pendal" i.e. the right to butt the ball from mid field while the previously attacking player must now defend his goal. However, if the defendant now returns the butted ball with a butt of his own then the two players both receive "pendals" or the two "pendals" cancel each other out. There is no definite rule, however, in the latter instance and the procedure adopted depends on the decision of the two players.

One always plays for points. Generally it takes either 6 or 12 points to win a game. When either side gains the required number of points the game is over.

- 42 -

– 2 – Heads

Game Procedure (when there are teams of two or three players)

In these circumstances the rules resemble those of the game played with two players only, except that it is now possible and permissible for the team members to "pass" the ball between themselves from head to head. Only one butt at a time, however, is allowed to each player. The players spread out along the playing field in order to be near the opponent's goal on the final butt.

- 43 -

.

Name of the game	New Donkey	Date <u>24.11.1965</u>
Name of Recorder _	<u>Y.</u> B.	Location <u>Jerusalem (Town, Low</u> )
Number of players	4-12	Participants <u>boys and girls</u>
Grades of players	4th to 8th	Objects used <u>none</u>



(**~**)

6

ERIC

The division of game roles ("jumpers" and "donkey"), is decided by a form of "odd man out" called "from many a few go out". The person who goes out first "leads" the rest of the players in jumping, and the order of jumping of the remaining players is determined by the order they went out in the original "odd man out". The last person to go out becomes the unfortunate "donkey". This "donkey" stands a distance from the group of jumpers, bends his back forward and grabs his knees with the palms of his hands; his knees are straight and his legs spread out, (see illustration). The jump itself is performed as follows: the players hop and jump over the back of the "donkey" with spread legs and aided by the palms of the hands which are supported on the "donkey's" back. Usually the jump is accompanied by a certain call (see below). The game is generally played on a flat area. However, the area from which the players hop is sometimes elevated by a rock or a box, thus making the jumping easier.

- 44 -

# – 2– New Donkey

The first round of the game is always the same: the players jump one after the other over the "donkeys" back and cry out "New Donkey!"while jumping. A player who forgets to cry out "New Donkey!" is immediately disqualified and has to exchange places with the "donkey". At the start of each of the following rounds of jumping the "lead" determines the specific activities that the rest of the players in line must perform and the other jumpers must imitate his actions in full. The "lead" demonstrates the different variations which strike his fancy. However, most of the variations introduced are not, in fact, true innovations as they tend to appear over and over whenever the game is being played.

The jump with spread legs, itself doesn't change. The variations introduced are in the different movements of the palms of the hands and/or the fingers, in the cries that accompany the jump, and often in the style of sliding off the "donkey". The following are examples of some of the more popular variations:

- (1) The "lead" jumps over the "donkey" and declares: "A Sherman Tank falls from the sky". He slides on the back of the "donkey" while jumping (trying to impress the poor "donkey" with the heaviness of the "tank").
- (2) The "lead" jumps over the "donkey" and declares: "Forks" at the same time pinching the "donkey" in the back with his fingers.
- (3) The "lead" jumps over the "donkey", declaring: "knives" and makes a cutting motion with his hands. (The palms of the hands are stretched out and parallel to each other and are laid down vertically on the back of the "donkey").
- (4) "There are three things in the food store"... see separate game description. (This variant is also played as a game in its own right.)

As already noted the remaining "jumpers" must imitate the "lead" completely. A player who errs in the execution of the appropriate hand motion or the correct declaration is immediately disqualified and must take the role of "donkey". This exchange of roles incidentally, is called "rescuing the donkey".

- 45 -

# 3 - New Donkey

After a jump, a player is always required to return to his place in line by way of the back of the "donkey" and not by way of his head. If a player returns by way of the head the "donkey" calls out "Stop" and in so doing rescues himself. The player who was "stopped" must then exchange places with the "donkey" and himself become the poor old "donkey". However, if this player manages to say "Excuse me!" before the "donkey" says "Stop" then he is "immunized" and does not have to take the place of the "donkey". There is no defined end to the game.

Name of the game <u>"On the Mark"</u>	
Name of Recorder <u>T.B.</u>	Location Jerusalem (Town, High)
Grade of players <u>6th to 8th</u>	Participants <u>Boys and Girls</u>
Objects used Paper and Pencils	Date 22.8.65

ERIC

Each player has a pencil and a piece of paper. Each player picks a number with 4 figures (e.g. 1275) and writes this number on his piece of paper. (It is forbidden, however, to construct a number in which a particular figure appears twice, e.g. 2321, but it is permissable to construct a number in which the first figure is "0").

The aim of each player is to guess by means of questions the number his opponent chose. The game is conducted in the following manner: Suppose that player A chooses the number 3915. Player B then presents player A with a four figure number and asks him if that is the number which he picked. Let us suppose that player B presents as his question the number 3890. We see that player B has been correct in regards to the figure "3" both with respect to the particular figure and its place. Whereas in regards to the figure "9" he has guessed correctly as to this figure itself, but incorrectly with regard to its place in the number. With respect to the two other figures, his guess is incorrect. The answer of player A will be a bull's eye hit. Bull's eye implies that his guess has been correct on a particular number both as to the number and its place. Hit implies a correct guess, but only as to the number itself.

Player B writes down beside the number that he presented as his question (3890) in the following manner: "+" sign of Bull's eye; "-" sign of hit. He knows now that the number 3890 includes two figures that are in the original number chosen by player A and that he has also succeeded in guessing the place of one of them. His goal is now to discover what these figures are, what the two remaining figures are, and what the order of the figures is in the whole number.

The turn now passes to player A who asks player B about the number he (player B) has chosen. He does this in the same fashion as described above. The winner of the game is the player who first succeeds in discovering the number of his opponent.

Players have different techniques and methods in planning strategies of questions, for example: to try out successively all possible numbers in a given place. When it seems to a player that his opponent has erred in the answer to the question which has been presented him, i.e.: gives him incorrect information on a particular figure, he is permitted to ask his opponent to review with him the questions and answers from the beginning. This inspection is possible because on the paper of each player are listed both the questions and answers relating to his own number and that of his opponent. The paper on which the questions and answers appear is usually divided as follows:

The number chosen by me:

A.	The figure my quessed	opponent has	<b>B</b> .	The answers I have given	,
The	number chosen	by my opponent		co nes guesses	

A. The guesses I have made

B. The answers my opponent has given my guesses

If after such inspection it is discovered that the guesser has been led astray by his opponent's answers, then the missled player is permitted to request the stopping of the game and starting over. However, if the guesser himself has been mistaken in writing down the answers given him, the game can only be stopped by mutual agreement. The game is continued, however, if such an agreement is not reached.

It is also possible to play the game with more than two participants. In this instance, every player must guess what is the number of the opponent on his right and in turn, the number which he chooses must be guessed by the player sitting on his left. In this instance, the winner is the participant who succeeds in guessing the correct number in the minimal

There is a certain variation of this game in which the order of guessing is not maintained, and every player can present his guess as soon as it comes to mind. However, this variation is rarely played.

- 48 -

Name of the game Paper, stone,	or scissors (or: Anchook)
Name of Recorder <u>E.B.; Y.B.</u> Loca	tion Jerusalem (Town, Low
Number of players <u>2</u> Par	ticipants boys and girls
Objects used <u>stakes:</u> marbles, b	ottle tops, apricot pits or
Date	other stakes

ERIC

The respective players first hide their hands behind their backs, then simultaneously shout "Anchook" and thrust one hand forward. It is possible to bring out "a stone" (the fist folded), "paper" (the palm of the hand open), or "scissors" (the index and middle fingers spread out and the rest of the fingers folded).

If one player brings out "paper" and his opponent "a stone" then "paper wraps the stone" and the "owner" of the "paper" wins.

If one player brings out a "stone" and his opponent "scissors", then "the stone breaks scissors" and the "owner" of the "stone" wins.

If one player brings out "scissors" and his opponent "paper", then "scissors cut paper" and the "owner" of the "scissor" wins.

This game is often used to determine one's turn in line in other games, but it is also played as a game in itself. In the latter instance the players decide at the outset what the "stakes" will be (marbles, bottle tops, apricot pits,) and also for "how much they will play" on each round. They continue to play until one of them loses all his "possessions", or until they decide to stop playing.

Name of the	e game _	Rescue Tag		
Name of Rea	corder _	Y.B.; A.G.	Location Jerusalem (Tou	m, Low)
Grade of p	layers _	lst to 7th	Number of players _	5-30
Participan	ts boys	and girls	Objects used	none
Date 24.	l.1965;	28.11.1966		

۵

ERIC

In rescue tag two "taggers" are selected at the beginning of the game by some kind of lottery. The rest of the players then flee while the "taggers" count to ten and then they begin to chase after the fleeing players. When a player is tagged he is brought to a concentration area and one of the "taggers" must guard him while the remaining "taggee(s)" continues to chase after the other players.

It is possible to rescue a "taggee" by approaching him and touching him but only if the rescuing party himself avoids being tagged by the "guard". The "guard" himself is required to stand at a distance of at least 3 meters from the captured player. If there are a number of "taggees", they stand in a chain-like fashion, hand in hand, and thus if someone touches one of the extreme players he thereby rescues everyone, (the children say that "there is a current" in the chain!).

If only one player remains untagged then both "taggers" are required to chase after him thus leaving the remaining "taggees" unguarded. If this player is also tagged then the process starts anew, i.e., a lottery is conducted and two new "taggers" are selected from all the "taggees".

A slightly different version of the game is called "giant tag". In this game there are a great number of players (up to 30). Three or four "taggers" are chosen by lottery with the number usually relative to the total number of players. The game itself proceeds as above except that the division of "chasers" and "guards" is not fixed, but rather determined by the "taggers's" fancy. In this version of the game it is possible, as in that described above, to rescue a "taggee" by touching him, and similarly a group of "taggees" who stand in a chain may be rescued by only one of them being touched.

- 50 -

Name of	the g	zame <u>S</u>	evan sto	nes	No. of pl	ayers <u>6-10</u>
Name of	Recor	der <u>Y</u> .	<u>B.</u>	Location	Jerusalem	(Town, Low)
Grade of	the	players	<u>lst to</u>	<u>5th</u> Part:	Lcipants <u>b</u>	oys and girls
Objects	used	<u>ball, 7</u>	flat st	onee	Date	28.4.1968

ز ز

ERIC

Two players are selected as team captains by general consensus. The captains then decide who will have first choice of players by "odds or evens" or by "Anchook". The winner then begins to choose first and then the captains choose alternately until two teams are chosen up. The captains once again decide either by "Anchook" or "odds or evens" who will get the ball first (the winning team, team A, gets the ball first).

Seven flat stones are then arranged in a pile, one on top of the other. Team A stands at a distance of about 3 to 4 meters from this pile; the internal order of the team being decided by its members. Team B arranges itself on the other side of the pile with the players more or less spread out (see illustration).

The player selected by team A to go first, now throws the ball in the direction of the pile of stones and tries to hit it while at the same time toppling as few stones as possible. If he doesn't score a hit then the turn passes to the player who has been selected to go second. If none of the players succeed in hitting the pile then the teams exchange roles. A team whose player successfully hits the pile immediately scatters in all directions. At the same time the players of team B attempt to grab the ball in order to try to hit the players of team A with it. Now, the members of team A try to return to rearrange the toppled pile of stones. Thus they in fact "tempt" the members of team B to throw the ball at them in the hope that they will avoid being hit and that the members of team B will then have to run after the ball to re-catch it. While team B is in hot pursuit of the ball

- 51 -
- 2 - Seven stones

team A can more easily reach the pile and "rebuild the ruins". However, if a player of team A is hit he is disqualified from the game, and if team B succeeds in disqualifying all the members of team A then the teams exchange roles. If, on the other hand, a player of team A successfully arranges the pile without being hit he wins a point for his team and also "rescues" his friends who have been disqualified.

The number of points required to win the game is usually either six or twelve. This is decided upon at the outset of the game, and the winner is the team who reaches the defined score first.

- 52 -

2

ERIC.

Name of the game	Snail Hop-Scotch	Date <u>24.2.1965</u>
Name of Recorder	Z. U.	Location Jerusalem (Town, Low)
Number of players	2-10	Participants <u>girls</u>
Grades of players	lst to 5th	Objects used



4

ERIC

The respective turns in line are determined by forms of "odd man out" ("From three one goes out" or "From many one goes out"). The players draw on a floor or on the road a form of snail that is divided into 6-8 parts (see illustration).

The first player in line hops from "square" to "square" avoiding stepping on lines or falling, until she reaches the last "square". This "square" is the "resting place and here it is permissible to rest on both legs as long as one wishes. On the way back (once again on one leg) the child stops in one of the squares (according to her fancy) and draws a picture: usually a picture of a "house" or a "star of David" (see illustration). Once a child has painted in a "square", this "square" becomes the "house" of that player. A player who acquires five "houses" becomes the winner of the game and stops playing. The rest of the players continue to play, however, until all the squares are filled. If no player acquires five "houses" then the winner of the game is the one who has more "houses" than any other player, once all "squares" have been occupied.

- 53 -

Each player, when her turn comes up, is required to ask the other players if they will permit her to enter their "houses". If they agree then she must enter their "houses" or she is automatically disqualified and it is the next player's turn. If they refuse her entrance she must skip over their "houses", and if she enters despite their refu**b**al, she is also disqualified.

It will be realized that when an opponent accumulates a number of adjoining "houses", it is very difficult to jump over them in one leap and hence the number of "misses" increases. Stepping on a line or falling is also considered to be a "miss", which results in loss of one's turn. As a general rule the players try first to acquire the last square at the back because that is the square in which one "rests" and possessing it thus means having control over the other participants's chance of "resting".

ERIC

Name of the game	"We are going to fight	with you"	Date	21.11.1966
Name of Recorder	A. G.	Location	Jerusalem	(Low-Town School)
Number of players	6-20	Participa	nts b <u>oys ar</u>	ud girls
Grades of players	5th to 6th	Objects u	sed <u>none</u>	·



Ţ

ERIC

The participants choose two team captains at the outset and the captains in turn choose up two teams. The two teams stand at a certain distance apart facing each other. Between them a line parallel to the two opposing teams is drawn. One player then goes out from each team with his back towards his team-mates and meets his opponent at the line. Each of the two players then clasps the other with the palms of his hands and tries to pull his opponent forward over the center line. (It should be noted that the players have the option of fighting with one or both hands. This is decided by the respective opponents before the battle.) It is necessary to pull the whole body of the opponent player over the line and not just part of it. A player who is pulled completely over the line is

- 55 -

# - 2 - We are going to fight with you

considered then to be a "prisoner" of the winning player and the winner then returns to his team's line with his "prisoner". During the actual battle the rest of the players robustly sing "We are going to fight with you." and after each duel the respective teams choose new combatants, the choices being made with much yelling and shouting.

A player who takes a "prisoner" on the first round and is himself taken prisoner in one of the following rounds can rescue himself by allowing the "prisoner" he originally captured to return to his own team. If he has in fact captured more than one opponent he need only return one of his "prisoners" in order to be released. It should be noted, however, that a "prisoner" does not necessarily have to return a previous captive of his in order to be released. Instead, the respective teams can decide which prisoners will be exchanged for the prisoners of the opponent group.

The game continues until one team is completely captured. However, if due to some unforseen circumstance the game is stopped beforehand, then victory is accorded to the team that has the greatest number of prisoners at the time of stopping the game.

- 56 -

Name of the game _	"We have a	Billy-goat" Date	14.11.1966	<b>.</b> .
Name of Recorder	G.K.	Location	Jerusalem (Town,	Low)
Number of players	20	Participants	boys and girls	
Grades of players	<u>2nd to 4th</u>	Objects used	none	



Ç,

3

1.

ERIC



B

The children spontaneously arrange themselves into pairs and then stand one behind the other so that two parallel rows are formed. The pair members stand facing each other at a distance of approximately 1 1/2 meters. The first pair in the line join hands and gallop in the space between the two rows right to the end of the line. (See illustration - position A). All the while the rest of the players sing the song "We have a Billy-goat" and clap hands to the rhythm of the tune. The galloping pair, in fact, hops to the rhythm of this tune. When the first pair reaches the end of the line, they turn back and return to their place. When they once again arrive at their place, they release hands, turn back and skip, but this time each separately, along the outside of the rows. The rest of the players follow the member of each pair who stood at the head of their particular line, and also skip after them. When the first pair reaches the end of the line, they make a "bridge" with their hands, and the rest of the pairs once again meet, pass under the "bridge" and return to their places (see illustration B). After all the pairs have passed, two parallel rows are once

again formed but now the former first couple is at the end of the line and the former second couple is first in line and thus first in the subsequent round in which the original procedure is repeated. In this manner, the pairs are exchanged one for the other with no preference given to any particular pair.

Throughout the game the children sing the song: "We have a Billy goat" which in turn dictates the rhythm of the skipping and clapping.

The full text of the song is: "We have a Billy goat The Billy goat has a beard He also has four legs And a very small tail La, la, la... "

œ

45

ERIC THILENSE PROVIDENCE

# UNSTRUCTURED GAMES, Pp. 58-73

Name of the game	Aviv"	
Name of observer	Location <sup>Jerusalem</sup> (	Town, Low)
Grade of players	SexGroup	No. 25
Objects used	Date 11.1.196	36

### Game Procedure

.0

62

٥.

A girl called Aviva stood in the center of a circle formed by four girls who touched her, fled from her, stopped at a distance from her and shouted: "Aviva Aviv, Aviva Aviv". When she ran after them, they fled still further, again stopped at a safe distance and once again yelled "Aviva Aviv", and so they continued for quite a while.

Immediately after they stopped playing this game the girls began to play another game.

- 58 -

Name of the game	Auto	
Name of observer M.A	locatio	n Jerusalem (Town, Low)
Grade of players <u>2</u>	<u>ıd</u> Sex <u>M</u>	Group No. <u>53</u>
Objects used <u>none</u>	Dat	e <u>1.11.1965</u>

ð

Ų

ERIC Prates Provided by ERIC

• .

The children ran one after the other in abbreviated steps with the hands at their sides, making blowing and humming noises.

The participants were in good spirits.

L

٠

- 59 -

Name of the game	Boxingi		<u></u>		
Name of observer	J. Y-N.	Location	Jerusalem	(Town,	Low)
Grade of players	4th	Sex	Grou	up No.	29
Objects used	ne	Date	23.22.29	65	

۲

\*

The children boxed "free for all" according to their description. That is to say, there was no division into groups or pairs, but each child boxed with whomever he happened to encounter.

The participants were in good spirits. There did not appear to be any defined purpose to the activities.

- 60 -

Name of the ga	ame <u>(Bus No. 2</u> Date <u>2.3.1966</u>
Name of observ	ver <u>R.K.</u> Location <u>Jerusalem</u> (Town, Low)
Grade of play	ers 2nd Sex <u>M</u> Group No. <u>39</u>
Objects used _	round piece of paper with the number "one"

ļ

Ľ

۲

ERIC Full least Provided by ERIC Once child stuck a round piece of paper on his forehead, in the center of which was printed the number "one". He was "Bus No. 1". Two other children were drivers who spoke to one another by "telephone": Each one pretended to hold a receiver in his hand, and spoke through it, even though they. stood a distance of only one meter from each other.

The participants were in good spirits.

- 61 -

Name of the game _	(Going along the wall			
Name of observer _	<u>T.H.</u>	Location	<u>Jerusalem</u>	(Town, Low)
Grade of players	<u>3rd</u>	Sex	Group	No. <u>14, 16</u>
Objects used <u>none</u>	3	Date	8.10.1965	

ø

**A**,

Ð

٩

ERIC Full Task Provided by ERIC The children walked along the ledge of the wall of a hut. The ledge was very narrow and they walked slowly, trying to glance into the windows of the hut.

• •

The participants were in good spirits.

- 62 -

Name of the game <u>Gymnastics</u>	on a bench	
Name of Observer N.N.	Location	Jerusalem (Town, Low)
Grade of players	Sex	Group
Objects used <u>none</u>	_ Date	22.20.1965

•

41

ERIC Full Fact Provided by ERIC The boys performed different gymnastic exercises on a bench. Generally everyone performed the exercise that the first boy executed. Amongst the exercises performed were: Standing on one's head on the bench, jumping over the bench (both its length and its width), standing on one's hands.

The participants were in good spirits.

- 63 -

Name of the game Jumprope	: (without	a rope)
Name of observer <u>N.S.</u>	Location	Jerusalem (Town, Low)
Grade of players	Sex	Group No. 27
Objects used <u>none</u>	Date	28.12.1965

0

 $\Phi$ 

ERIC Full Taxt Provided by ERIC ÷

Two girls stood facing each other and pretended to twirl two ropes. In the crossing in the middle stood a third gir! who skipped right and left as though between and over "the ropes". After a few skips they exchanged places.

- 64 -

Name of the game	Knocking	down nails
Name of observer	<u>A.R.</u>	Location <u>Jerusalem (Town, Low)</u>
Grade of players	8th	Sex Group No. 30
Objects used	a ball, naile	Date <u>16.1.1967</u>

5

3.

ERIC

A number of nails were stuck into the wall above the blackboard. One of the boys took a ball in his hand and tried to hit the nails and knock them down. From time to time when the ball fell on the floor, his friend tried to get hold of it and do the same thing.

It appeared that the children enjoyed this game immensely, in spite of the fact that not one of them succeeded in toppling even one nail.

It became evident that one of the players was quite "discriminated against because, throughout most of the game, the ball was in the hand of his quicker friend.

- 65 -

Name of the game "Learning to I	Date 8.2.1966
Name of Observer R.K.	Location Lown, Low)
Grade of the players 7th	SexGroup
Objects used banana peel	

12

3

ERIC Autor Provided by ERIC The girls jumped on the spot and sang energetically. According to them they were learning "to twist". There was a banana peel in the classroom and they purposely slid on it as they were "dancing". They were trying (without success), to imitate the movements of the twist and they laughed outloud while doing this.

The girls were in high spirits.

- 66 -

Name of the game (Rocking on a plank)

Name of Observer	P. Location	Jerusale	m (Town, Low)
Grade of the players	2nd, 3rd Sex	M & F	Group
Objects used <u>None</u>		Date	24.9.1965

# Game Procedure:

Two girls of the second grade stepped up onto a plank that was lying on the ground and began to rock it by lightly jumping upon it. The plank rocked because it was not on level ground. A girl from the third grade and a boy from the second grade joined them and together they rocked on the board while waving their arms in order to maintain balance.

4.4

The children played in good spirits.

Name of the game	Running	competition	<u>.</u> .
Name of Observer	A. G.	Location Jerusalem (Town,	Low)
Grade of players	3rd	Sex $\frac{M \& F}{20}$ Group No. $\frac{20}{20}$	
Objects used	one	Date	-

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC A girl and a boy competed by running from a plank to a stone which were at a distance of approximately 20 meters from each other. The child who arrived first received one point. When they reached the stone they ran from it back to the plank and so the game continued.

The participants were in good spirits.



- 68 -

Name of the game	Shooting wit	h water pistols.	
Name of Observer	<u>G.K.</u> Lo	ocation <u>Jerusalem</u>	(Town, Low)
Grade of players	<u>6th</u> Sex	<u>M</u> Group No.	32
Objects used <u>2 u</u>	ater pistols	Date <u>3</u> .	9.1965

魚

Two boys stood facing each other and shot at each other with water pistols. One additional child stood at the side and judged which the children got wetter. The one who was less wet was the winner. When the pistols were emptied, the boys refilled them and continued the game.

Name of the ga	gameSitting on one another				
Name of observ	er <u>E, G.</u>	Location	Jerusalem (Town,	Low)	
Grade of playe	rs <u>3rd</u> Se	x	Group No. 30		
Objects used _	chair	_ Date	13.2.1967		

.

ERIC

A girl who appeared to be bigger than the others sat on a chair, and another girl seated herself on her knees. A third girl came and in turn sat on the knees of the second girl. Then they began to rock with great merriment and laughter.

The girls were in high spirits.

- 70 -

.

Name of the game $\frac{S}{2}$	liding on a to	able Date	23.11.1965	-
Name of Observer	.N.	Location Jerus	alem (Town,	Low)
Grade of the player	s5 <i>th</i>	Sex M&F Gro	up	
Objects used $2 table$	bles			

4

ERIC

The children removed the tables from the classroom with the intention of cleaning them. Then they placed the two tables on stones so that a slope was created, and slid on the tables in turn.

The participants played in good spirits.

- 71 -

Name of the game	The girlfriend is coming	
Name of observer	<u><b>F.E.</b></u> Location <u>Jerusalem</u> (Town, Low)	
Grade of players	5th Sex M Group No. 22	
Objects used <u>none</u>	Date <u>7.12.1965</u>	

۰.

ч.,

Six boys from grade five ran about in the area. One of them declared offhandedly: "My girlfriend is coming". In response everyone was highly amused, yelled and sang. After this other children of the group shouted "my girlfriend is coming" and this aroused the same excited response every time.

After a while a fight developed when one of the children jumped on his friend and knocked him down. Through the mediation of one of the children the fight was stopped and they returned to play the game they had begun.

The participants played most of the time in good spirits, with no defined purpose.

Name of	the game	Throw	ing s	stone	s at c	<u>a hive</u>		
Name of	Observer	G. K.	_ Lo	catio	n <i>Jem</i>	usalem	(Iown	, Low)
Grade of	players	lst to	?th	Sex .	M&F	Group	No.	42
Objects	used st	ones, be	lts,	swea	ters,	handker	chief	9
Date	22.10.196	5						

TATE . .....

Ç,

ERIC Full fact Provided by ERIC Next to the hedge of the school was a bee hive and bees were swarming nearby. From time to time the children ran towards the hive, threw stones at it and immediately fled as fast as they could -- scared and screaming and waving their belts, their sweaters cr their handkerchiefs over their heads, in order to keep their distance from any bee that was liable to attack.

The children were in high spirits; their aim was to hit the hive.

- 73 -

- e p - 4 -

Name of the game Write	ing on the bo	pard while jumping
Name of Observer K.F.	_ Location	Jerusalem (Town, Low)
Grade of players	Sex	Group No
Objects usedBlackboard	d, chalk.	Date24.9.1965

٢

The girls jumped up in the air next to the blackboard. The aim was to reach the top ledge of the blackboard and to write their full names up on top. After succeeding they erased what they had written in the same fashion. (According to the girls they were competing in order to determine who could write better.)

- 74 -

# B. STRUCTURE AND COMPOSITION OF PLAY GROUPS

#### AND CHARACTERISTICS OF PLAY AND GAMES --

### QUANTITATIVE ASPECTS

2

Ę.

\*,

In our third working paper (May, 1967), a series of hypotheses have been formulated to explain the results of the observations of the first stage of the investigation. A number of predictions were derived from these hypotheses and put to test in the light of the main stage data. The relevant parts of this working paper are reproduced here in <u>Section I</u> (pp. 76-91). <u>Section II</u> (pp. 92-224) is a presentation of the observational results obtained in the main stage, mostly in forms of Tables and Figures together with various comments including those that indicate how the hypotheses and predictions have fared under the observations.

- 75 -

## SECTION I

## PREVIOUS RESEARCH AND THEORY DATA FROM OUR FIRST RESEARCH STAGE AND HYPOTHESES DERIVED

# A. THE STRUCTURE AND MODES OF ORGANIZATION OF PLAY GROUPS: INDICES OF CAFACITY AND TENDENCY TO INTERACT.

### Participation in play activities

Lehman and Witty (1927) recorded the number of different play activities engaged in by boys and girls aged 8 1/2 to 22 1/2 as reported by them in answer to questionnaires. They found that the largest range of play activities existed at the ages of 8 1/2, 9 1/2 and 10 1/2. The variety of play activities engaged in by

girls was consistently smaller than that of the boys.

Figure 1, taken from data of the first stage of our investigation, shows the percentage of play participants out of the total number of pupils, by grade and sex, in schools of low and medium socio-economic level. We assume, that the rise in play participation is related to children's increasing capacity to interact in groups (Parten, 1943) and that its decline is related to a broadening and maturing of interests (Kuhlen, 1952, Chp. 5). The curve of play participation thus represents an interaction between these two factors. We therefore predict that children from low socioeconomic level, who are slower to mature, will reach their peak year of play at a later age than children of high socio-economic level

-

ERIC



FIGURE 1. PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE OUT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF PUPILS AND PERCENT OF BOY-AND GIRL-PLAYERS OUT OF THEIR RESPECTIVE TOTALS.

- 76 -

and that because girls mature at a faster rate, the decline in their play participation will be earlier and steeper than that of boys.

In the proposal. presented in December 1966, we attempted to relate the rise and decline in play to the development of verbal capacities. We suggested, that the predominance of play should be expected to decline with the child's increased ability to communicate verbally, which provides him with an effective alternative mode of social interaction. Recent independent (unpublished) studies by P. Cowan, J. Flavell and Krauss and Glucksberg, have dealt with various aspects of the development of verbal communication between children. We hoped to be able to compare in some detail the "growth curves" of social play with those of the development of conversation amongst children and to thus put to test our hypothesis concerning the relationship between these two modes of interaction.

Other studies, which do not deal with the development of the capacity to communicate directly, but rather with the development of children's vocabularies and sentence structures (e.g., McCarthy, 1959), might also be relevant to our hypothesis. These studies indicate that the linguistic skill of young girls is superior to that of boys of the same age, and similarly for children from higher socio-economic strata in comparison with children from lower such strata. To the extent that a positive relationship exists between the development of language skills and the development of conversation, we thus again predict an earlier decline in the play activities of girls and those of children of the higher socio-economic strata.

#### Length of play

ERIC

Young children's relatively low span of attention and their attitude of egocentricity are bound to make persistent interaction difficult. Though no direct previous studies on the time span of spontaneous activities have come to the writer's notice, there exist a number of studies which suggest that children become increasingly capable of sustained, organized efforts (Buhler, 1933; Furfey, 1927).

- 77 -

Figure 2, taken from the first stage of our investigation, shows the percentage of children by grade who play continuously for up to five minutes. It may be seen that there is a decline in such "short" games with age only up to the fifth grade. Taking length of play as an index of capacity to interact, we expect fewer children of high socio-economic level to play for short periods of time than children of low socio-economic level but, in particular, at the younger age levels.



<u>Group size</u> Studies by Green (1933) and Parten (1943) indicate that the size of play groups



of kindergarten children tends to increase with age. Certain theoretical models of the distribution of free-forming small groups by size, have also been suggested (e.g., James, 1953; White, 1962). These theories assume an inverse relationship to exist between the size of any specific group and its frequency of formation. Figure 3, representing data from the first stage of our investigation, shows the percentage of players in groups of various sizes by grade. This figure does not entirely support the above mentioned theoretical assumption. In particular, it is of interest to note the relative predominance of four-member groups at all age levels. Taking group size as an index for capacity to get organized, we thus predict a larger mean and median group size in the young grades of children of high socio-economic strata as compared with children from lower such strata; however, this factor might not serve as a useful index in the upper school grades.

- 78 -



PICURE 3. PERCENT OF PLAYERS IN THE LAW, MEDIUM AND OFFER ROBER, GRADES WHO PLAY IN GROUPS OF 2, 3, AND UP TO 354 PARTICIPANTS, OUT OF THE TOTAL MURRER OF PLAYERS IN THESE GRADES.



<sup>&</sup>lt;u>FIGURE 4.</u> PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF FLAYERS IN EACH Grade who play competitive games and percent of boy-and girl-players who play in such groups out of their respective totals.

- 79 -

しんしつしょう しまた、なるいたいかう たたかかがません

1

Ľ,

Ì

### Modes of organization: play and games

Parten (1943) has classified group play as "parallel", "associative" and "cooperative", according to the extent of social interaction involved in it. She has found that kindergarten children tend to play more in the cooperative style as they become older.

Piaget (1932, 1951), states that competitive games with rules, which require a capacity to interact in a regularized and predetermined manner, increases with age. Ausubel (1956), summarizing available studies on competition suggests that the extent of competitive behavior increases with age, and that boys are more competitive than girls at all age levels.

<u>Figure 4</u>, based on our first stage data, shows the percentage of boy players, girl players and all players, who participated in competitive play at various age levels. Contrary to Ausubel's summary statement, it appears that girls are more competitive than boys in their play activities, up to the age of 8. However, while the degree of competitiveness in boys' games rises markedly from the age 6 to 14, no such rise can be discerned in the play activities of girls.

The early predominance of competitive play in girls as against boys may be taken as an index of their earlier social maturity and greater relative capacity to interact in a regularized fashion. The relative constancy in the extent of their participation in such play is most probably indicative of the socialization process of girls, which differs from that of boys. While we should expect a broadly parallel pattern to appear in the data of the main stage of our investigation, variations due to socio-cultural differences should also be expected:

In all societies in which children grow up in families, in which roles (and therefore rules for behavior) are ascriptive and relationships particularistic (see Parsons and Shills, 1951, and Parsons, 1951), the rules of the games of younger children will, we predict, also reflect these characteristics. The more non-particularistic the sub-culture in which the children grow up and the greater the gap between the home and wider society in this respect, the greater will be the tendency for the appearance of non-ascriptive, achievement oriented, competitive games. Thus also, the proportion of games with rules out of all games played in any society of children, will depend on whether, and to what extent it is important for the children within the particular subculture to "master" both ascriptive and achievement oriented sets of rules. It must be remembered that all children in our sample attend achievement orienting schools. Therefore, we expect some achievement oriented competitive games to appear everywhere. Yet it is expected that, for example, children from the lower socio-

- 80 -

ERIC.

economic strata of our lower class development-town samples will play fewer games with rules and that there will be relatively more ascriptive roles in these games than in the games of lower class town children. For in the former, there is presumably less emphasis on achievement since by and large, the children's frame of reference is and remains of the more ascriptive nature, acquired at home.

#### Interactions between the sexes

Mussen et al. (1964), who summarizing available knowledge on this question state that "The group play of children from 7 to 11 years differs in important ways from that of children 5 to 6 years of age. In the earlier period, a boy may play -- or fight -- with either boys or girls. Their games may be femenine (e.g., playing house) or masculine (playing ball or building). Beginning at age 7 or 8, however, children begin to associate primarily with same-sex peers. The boys now chase and tease girls, rather than play with them. The boy seeks out other boys

and is likely to be embarrassed if he is found alone with a group of girls. From age 9 through 11, there is usually considerable anxiety over associations with girls or revealing any interest in them." (pp. 382-3.)

Moreno's (1953) studies also indicate that sociometric choices after the second grade are almost entirely within one's own sex. Figure 5 shows the gradual decline in the percentage of children playing in groups composed of both sexes. However, the claim that in mid-childhood (ages 9-11), "sex differentiation in play is almost exclusive" is not substantiated.

The notion of universal latency in psychosexual development is further put to question

ERIC



FIGURE 5. PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE WHO PLAY IN SEX HETEROGENEOUS GROUPS AND PERCENT OF BOY-AND GIRL-PLAYERS WHO PLAY IN SUCH GROUPS OUT OF THEIR RESPECTIVE TOTALS.

- 81 -

by the altogether different picture obtained in religious schools, where the number of children playing in mixed groups is only onethird of what it is in general schools, and where the development with age also seems to be different.

We expect socio-cultural factors to greatly affect the extent of sex interaction in play. Thus, we predict that hardly any interaction will occur in the Arab schools, where in spite of the fact that boys and girls sit together in the classrooms, a tradition of separation between the sexes still predominates. In the kibbutz population sex interaction should, on the other hand, occur most frequently, since boys and girls live together in the same children's home.

#### Interaction between age groups

The most closely relevant data available in this area is concerned with friendship choices. (e.g., Bonney, 1942; Horrocks and Barker, 1951).

These studies generally suggest that while kindergarten children are unstable in their friendships and do not apply consistent criteria in their choices of friends, older children become increasingly constant in their choices and apply severer criteria for choice, such as communality of interests and similarity in intellectual level. From these findings, the prediction could be derived that older children would tend to interact more with children of their own age than would younger children.

It is also known that girls are more socially inclined than boys and that boys, on the other hand are more active physically and more oriented towards

÷

ERIC



FIGURE 6. PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE WHO PLAY IN AGE HETEROGENEOUS GROUPS AND PERCENT OF BOT-AND GIRL-PLAYERS WHO PLAY IN SUCH GROUPS OUT OF THEIR RESPECTIVE TOTALS.

- 82 -

vocational than social interest (Kuhlen, 1952, p. 215). One would, therefore, expect girls to apply socially oriented criteria in selecting their playmates and to thus be less inclined to play with children older or younger than themselves. Indeed, <u>Figure 6</u> ( see page 82 above), based on data of our investigation, shows that boys tend to play consistently more in age heterogeneous groups than do girls. The Figure also shows, contrary to our hypothesis derived from trends in friendship choices, that there is a relative stability in the degree of age interaction over various grades, particularly for girls and all players.

While we can, therefore, make a rather confident prediction concerning relative age heterogenity in boys' as against girls' play groups, our second hypothesis is less securely based.

Expanding Eisenstadt's (1956) theory on the emergence of age groups to the formation of casual play groups, we would also like to suggest a certain relationship to hold between sociocultural factors and extent of age homogeneity: we expect greater age homogeneity in play, in sub-cultures in which the growing child has to learn to transfer from a particularistic-ascriptive to a universalistic-achievement oriented mode of interaction. In order to express achievement orientation the child must play with his contemporaries, for otherwise there is no equality of power which is necessary for this orientation. We would thus predict greater age heterogeneity in play groups, the more particularistic-ascriptive the sub-culture and the more "traditional" and disconnected from outside influences the generation observed.

#### B. THE NATURE AND FUNCTIONS OF PLAY AND GAMES

ERIC

The phenomena of play and games have been analyzed within a number of frameworks in **recent** years. Piaget (1951) has contributed a major theory in this area. Various psychoanalytic interpretations have been offered (Alexander, 1958; Erikson, 1963; Peller, 1954; Waelder, 1933). There also exists Huizinga's (1949) historical analysis of games, and Callios' (1961) classification. Roberts and Sutton-Smith (e.g., 1962, 1963, 1967) have conducted a series of psycho-social studies of games and have derived specific hypotheses from these studies.

Though a comprehensive analysis will have to take account of all these varying approaches, we shall here confine ourselves to a partial comparison of the positions adopted by Piaget on the one hand, and Roberts and Sutton-Smith on the other.

While these two theoretical approaches overlap to some extent, they also differ in important ways. We shall briefly compare some of these differences and state our position with

-83 -

respect to them. Three major points of comparison will be discussed:

1. While Piaget is primarily concerned with <u>play</u> which contains <u>no rules</u>, Sutton-Smith and Roberts deal primarily with <u>games</u>, which <u>contain rules</u>.

In spite of the obvious differences between 'unstructured' play and 'structured' games, we regard both as basically one and the same mode of behavior which fullfil certain similar functions. We shall offer hypotheses to test our conception.

2. While forPlaget play is <u>primarily assimilation</u> of behavior schemata, and of situations which have been mastered "in reality", Sutton-Smith and Roberts regard games as a reflection of <u>conflicts</u>, which are assuaged through playing.

Our aim is to develop hypotheses which will provide a test of these opposing interpretations and which might thus lead to a more comprehensive theory.

3. While Piaget emphasizes <u>universal</u> characteristics of play as related to development, Roberts and Sutton-Smith are concerned primarily with <u>cultural differences</u> in game styles, as they relate to child rearing practices.

In the spirit of our discussion so far, we aim to distinguish characteristics of play behavior which vary with culture from those which are universally prevalent.

## Play -- Without Rules and Games -- With Rules

¢

ERIC

Piaget's (1951) theory of play assumes that play has a "vital function" for development only as long as it does not contain rules. For Piaget, play is "the primacy of assimilation over accomodation": the child only gradually learns to accomodate himself to "reality" and he does so only as a result of pressure of internal and external forces (both physical and social) and because of a need to expand his acquaintance with the environment. In the young child there is thus a lack of equilibrium between his 'egocentric' tendency to distort the environment so as to fit his needs (assimilation) and his readiness to accomodate himself to the environment. Play is the expression of this unbalance. Both in the early "practice games" (age 0-2) and in the later "symbolic games" (age 2-7) the child enacts skills and situations which he has learnt or experienced, both directly and indirectly, (thereby indicating that he has previously accomodated to them in "reality"). By "playing them out" in his own time and place, the child "assimilates" both skills and experiences, in the sense that they are now performed for no other purpose than for "the pleasure of being the cause", for the 'feeling of mastery", or for

)

- 84 -

"recapturing of fleeting experience". The child also assimilates in play through the "distortion of reality": this enables him to compensate for frustrations encountered and to liquidate the effect of unpleasant experiences, whether met or anticipated.

According to Piaget, play ceases to possess these "vital functions" as soon as games acquire rules, i.e., turn into organized and regulated social activities. In this type of play, he claims, there is for the first time "a subtle equilibrium between assimilation to the ego -- the principle of all play -- and social life."

Yet Roberts and Sutton-Smith consider games as fulfilling vital functions just from this point onwards: In a series of cross-cultural studies based on ethnographic records, and a number of intra-cultural questionnaire studies within the U.S., these authors aimed to demonstrate significant relationships between child training variables, prevalent game types, and cultural forms. They state that "when games of three major classes of strategy (e.g., chess), chance (e.g., poker) and physical skill (e.g., football) are examined, they are found to correlate systematically with specific variables in the sphere of child training. Thus, games of strategy are related to obedience training and to cultural complexity, games of chance are associated with high responsibility training and a belief in the benevolence of the gods, and games of physical skill are related to an emphasis on achievement" (Sutton-Smith et al., 1963). These correlations "have been interpreted to mean that the function of games in human development is to provide a bridge between child training pressures and adult cultural forms." The investigators argue, (a) that "games manage the conflicts induced by child-training pressures, by providing buffered experience of fortune (chance), achievement (physical skill) and leadership (strategy)" and (b) that "games rehearse children in cognitive attitudes towards competition that will be useful ultimately in maintaining related adult cultural forms" (Sutton-Smith and Roberts, 1964).

Thus, in their conflict interpretation of games Roberts and Sutton-Smith deal only with competitive games, all of which are, of course, games with rules. It seems to us that just as Piaget's theory is limited in that it is confined to the early type of ludic activity, i.e., play, so is that of Roberts and Sutton-Smith in that it offers an interpretation for the existance of games only.

## The present hypothesis

ERIC

It seems to us that it is possible to treat play and games within a unified conceptual scheme by expanding Piaget's central concept of assimilation. We hypothesize that the primary function

- 85 -

which Piaget assigns to play -- both "the pleasure of being the cause" and assimilative distortion as complementing and compensating for reality (now in particular for social reality) remain as characteristic of games as they were of play. Thus, the "feeling of mastery" now derives primarily from the child's developing skills of interacting with his contemporaries: for these skills enable the child for the first time to <u>select the set of rules</u> (or, in other words, the game) by which he is going to abide. Only in play does he possess such free choice in regulating his actions and interactions.

On the basis of our hypothesis, we should expect games with rules to develop earlier in a society whose children live a more regulated and organized life than in another society, in which the lives of children are less regimented. In making such a comparison it is important, of course, to equalize the general intellectual level of the populations compared, since the level of development of the capacities to interact is most probably related to intellectual level. Some of our kibbutz and village populations fit these requirements. We thus predict an earlier development of games with rules in the kibbutz population, since kibbutz children who live in children's homes, are used to a more regulated and organized pattern of living from early life than are village children.

Indirect support for our conception of the emergence of rules in games can be found in an altogether different aspect of our analysis: A detailed examination of a few games as understood and played at different age levels was undertaken. From this examination it appears that rules do not always have direct relevance to reality, for young children will spell out regulations which are, by their own admission, never performed, either because the game would have to go on for weeks before there would arise an occasion for a particular rule to come into play, or because the requirement is far beyond the children's capacity (e.g., jumping as high as one's playmate's head). Yet these are considered by the children as rules of the game. Their function is surely other than regulating the behavior to which they refer. It is as though the young child is "testing the limits" of his "mastery" over rules which, according to his decision, will or will not be followed in actual performance.

## "Assimilation" and "Conflict" Interpretations of Play and Games

While our hypothesis, if confirmed, may provide a conceptual bridge between the phenomena of play and games, it does not in itself suffice to differentiate between an "assimilation" and a "conflict" interpretation of ludic activities. More than that, it appears to us that predictions <u>derived</u>by Roberts and Sutton-Smith from their interpretation could just as well be derived

- 86 -

ERIC
from the expanded Piageian framework. To illustrate this argument, we shall discuss one of Roberts and Sutton-Smith's central hypotheses:

The hypothesis was derived from the results of an analysis of data available in the Human Relations Area Files and Cross Cultural Files of Yale University. The data comprised 56 tribal societies for which information on child training variables, and at least partial information on games was available (Sutton-Smith, 1961). The analysis of this data indicated that games purely of physical skill, or primarily of physical skill, "showed significant positive correlations with reward for achievement and frequency of achievement" as well as with "anxiety about nonperformance of achievement" tasks (Roberts and Sutton-Smith, 1962).

The hypothesis was formulated thus: "Conflict induced in children or adults by achievement training arouse in them curiosity about those expressive models that contain a representation of winning and losing as the result of the application of power and physical skill." (Sutton-Smith et al., 1963.) Such persons become involved in achievement performance as represented in games of physical skill.

A more cautious interpretation of the results from which this hypothesis was derived, would be in line with the expanded concept of assimilation: in tribal societies (as against 'complex', 'modern' societies) performance tends to be primarily physical. Thus, to the extent that achievement is emphasized in a particular culture of this type, it will also be expressed primarily in terms of physical skill and **prowess.** Accepting the thesis that games, at least in part, are expressive models, we should expect high achievement training to be reflected in relatively early assimilation and mastery of achievement oriented behavior and thus also in the relative predominance of games of the physical skill variety -- precisely and only because achievement is measured in terms of physical skill in the societies under consideration.

While Roberts and Sutton-Smith derived their hypothesis without reference to the intervening variable of the types of achievement emphasized in a particular society, they did test their hypothesis within American culture. The interpretation of their results, however, remains ambiguous to some extent: they predicted that "boys, with their higher achievement training should show greater preference for games of physical skill than girls" (Roberts and Sutton-Smith, 1962). The hypothesis was tested on some 1,900 third to sixth graders in 12 midwestern townships, who filled a 180-item play and game preference scale. The results turned out in the predicted direction, but they could be interpreted to mean simply that excellence in physical performance is more highly

- 87 -

1

prized and more emphasized in the education of boys as compared with that of girls, and that this, and not achievement training as such, is reflected in the differences in their play preferences.

A more difficult problem of interpretation is offered by another investigation of the same authors. They predicted that, "because games with physical skill# are associated cross-culturally with high achievement training, they will be prefered by the <u>upper</u> as compared with the <u>lower</u> status groups" Sutton-Smith et al., 1963). The prediction was tested on <u>adults</u>, by analyzing available material from three survey polls (made in 1940 and 1948), which contained information on the play interests and play habits of a total of some 7000 respondents, as well as specifications on their occupational status. The results did, on the whole, support the prediction.

1

¢2

ERIC

Though these results on adults appear to go counter to our prediction on children, we nevertheless consider our hypothesis worthy of empirical investigation. The reason for this is that we believe that our data provides a more direct test of the competing hypotheses, and does not suffer from certain methodological limitations of the studies surveyed.

It seems to us that the most important advantage of our data is in that it contains information <u>not only on the number of games</u> <u>played</u>, but also on their frequency of occurrence, their duration, and in particular their relative predominance as measured by the <u>total number of play participants</u> over a considerable time stretch.

The data of our investigation was gathered through direct observations, conducted over an extensive period, for the specific purpose of studying the play behavior of children. In most previous studies, the measure for the tendency to play in a particular game was the <u>number of games</u> categorized as belonging to that style. By this criterion the same weight is given to all games regardless of whether they are played frequently and by many children, or rarely and by few. The validity of this criterion as a measure of the prevalence, or relative importance of a particular game is thus not entirely unambiguous. We have found, for example, that at least in one section of our sample, girls play fewer games than boys, but that the number of girls participating in games exceeds that of boys. It is for this reason that we consider number of play participants as a more valid criterion for comparing the relative predominance of different games, or game styles.

As a partial test of the "assimilation" as against the "conflict" interpretation of play we would thus like to offer the following two hypotheses:

- 88 -

a. Since, both by training and ability, children of low socioeconomic strata turn more exclusively to physical activity by comparison with children of high socio-economic strata, they will more frequently play games of physical skill.

b. Engagement in games of physical skill will <u>decrease</u> with age, as the child's capacity for logical thinking increases and as social demands for achievement in this area increase.

While hypothesis (a) goes counter to Sutton-Smith and Roberts' prediction, hypothesis (b) also seems to us not to accord with their conceptions: It would seem to follow from their argument that one would have to expect an <u>increase</u> in games of physical skills with increasing age, since the pressure for achievement becomes stronger as the child grows older.

Nevertheless, while these predictions can be made within the framework of an assimilation hypothesis, they could also be in harmony with a modified conflict interpretation: Should the results indicate that the dependent variable of 'type of achievement training' is reflected in the dominant game style, it could be argued that the motive for playing in a particular style lies in the <u>specific</u> conflict aroused (e.g., a conflict related to physical, or to mental skill).

A more direct test of the place of "conflict" and that of "assimilation" in inducing ludic activity must therefore be sought.

The conflict interpretation assumes, that "children, seriously limited in size skill and power, yet motivated to achieve and anxious about being able to do so, can seldom find in full scale cultural participation sufficient behavioral opportunities to match adequately, both their desire and their anxious incompetence" (Sutton-Smith et al., 1963). The resulting fear of failure enhances children's "curiosity" in expressive models (and specifically in games), that offer opportunities for rivalry or competition in which the child has a fair chance of winning. On these assumptions, it should be expected that rural children, who have more opportunities than urban children for real participation in the adult world (e.g., helping at work), should develop fewer, or less intensive conflicts, and hence a more restricted interest in games. An interpretation favouring assimilation as its central concept would, on the other hand, lead to the opposite prediction: Since play is considered primarily an expression of assimilation and "mastery" of reality, and since rural children have more opportunities for such mastery of the real world than do urban children, rural children should be expected to play more than their urban counterparts.

- 89 -

In order to carry out such comparisons of urban and rural children, they must be matched first of all, for socio-economic level. Moreover, since training in achievement as such is probably also a contributing factor to the dgree of participation in competitive games, it would be desirable that the compared groups should be equal also in this respect. Parts of the rural and urban sample of our research population are matched socio-economically. The training in achievement is higher in the urban population. On the assimilation hypothesis, we should therefore expect town children to play less or -- because of the achievement factor -- to play to the same extent as village children. We propose to test this hypothesis on our own data.

### C. PERIODIC GAMES: EXPLORATION OF NEW P' "NOMENA

### Some Limitations of Our Hypotheses

Many of the predictions made in Sections A and B were derived from the first stage of the investigation. which was conducted over a six weeks' period. The predictions thus assume a greater constancy in play behavior over time than probably exists. This is well demonstrated in Figure 7, which represents the "life cycle" of three games Play as observed in one school. The highly seasonal character of one of these games ("gummi.") should be obvious. It is characteristic of this game, that it is played almost exclusively by girls, and predominantly in groups of three. This is not, of course, characteristic of all other games. Results obtained from a six week obervation period are likely, therefore; to

C

ERIC



FIGURE 7. MANDER OF CHILDREN IN ONE JERNSALEN SCHOOL PLATINE SPECIFIED CANES, BY BATE.

- 90 -

be biased

in various ways due to specific attributes of the particular games which happen to predominate at that time. In order to **avoid** such a bias, observations must extend over an extensive period.

The decision as to what period of time is sufficient to ensure coverage of a representative sample of play activities has perforce been an arbitrary one: We have decided on a period of 14 months (excluding the summer vacations) in order to have a certain period of overlap in observations on two succeeding calendar years. This decision nov enables us to <u>explore</u> to some extent the nature of the periodic cycles of various games. On this issue our analysis begins simply by an attempt to define significant variables in the data, which will at the same time enable us to examine the little explored phenomenon of seasonal games.

# The Nature of Seasonal Games and Periodicity in Play

In what ways do the games that periodically appear and disappear, differ from other games? At "high season", such games are comparable to a severe form of addiction: children may be observed to play jacks, hopscotch or some form of rope skipping for hours without a break and run back to it at every free moment they have. How and why do these games subside?

Does the seasonal game appear all at once or does it gradually disappear, as <u>Figure 7</u> suggests?

How frequently do various periodic games appear? Is there a regular "game cycle"? What determines such a cycle?

To what extent do socio-cultural differences between communities affect the seasonal games played? Do game sequences in kibbutzim as against, e.g., immigrant communities display particular features?

How does the new game spread from community to community? What is the order of importance of obstacles to such diffusion, socio-economic, difference in community structure, or geographic location?

With the exception of Chatteau's (1955) investigation, seasonal games have received little attention in psychological research. We believe, that before attempting to explain a phenom-

- 91 -

enon, it is essential to have some command of the facts which it represents. It is in this sense that we aim to preserve room in our analysis for descriptions of unexplored phenomena and for the discovery of new variables which have relevance to both old and new research problems.

On a combined approach, which will on the one hand lead to specific predictions but on the other permit the data to reveal more of the nature of play and games than has so far been unraveled, holds promise for a comprehensive analysis.

£

1

t

### SECTION II

### ANALYSIS OF MAIN STAGE DATA

The data presented in the following pages poses more questions than it answers. It was not possible, within the framework of this Report to do more than point at some aspects of the data presented in the Graphs and Tables. A great deal of information had to be excluded entirely. Further analyses in the coming years will, hopefully, lend more depth to the many issues touched upon here.

For the convenience of the reader, <u>the Figures follow</u> each of the topics discussed, after which the relevant Table(s) are presented. The Figures face one another wherever direct comparisons between them are of particular interest (and at the same time technically feasable).

### PARTICIPATION IN PLAYGROUPS

Figures 1-2 (pp. 94-95) Table 8 (p. 96)

ERIC

<u>Figure 1</u> represents the percent play participants by grade and sex out of the total number of pupils in all seven high-level schools, whose mean socio-economic score was 5.32, and mean level of school achievement 77.2. <u>Figure 2</u> represents the corresponding data for the seven low-level schools, whose mean socio-economic score was 1.38, and mean level of school achievement 64.0.

Comparing Figures 1 and 2 it will be seen that (a) fewer play participants were recorded in the low-level schools, (b) the peak of play is reached in the high-level schools at an earlier age than in the low-level school, and (c) in the high-level school girls reach the peak of play one year in advance of the boys. These findings are in line with our hypotheses (pp. 76-77). that the faster maturation of high-level children and specifically, their faster verbal development (see p. 98), will result in an earlier decline in their play participation; and correspondingly, that the earlier maturation of girls as compared with boys will result in an earlier decline in their play par-.This \_ tendency is not apparent in girls ticipation. of the low-level schools, perhaps due to the fact that less attention is being paid to their education in comparison with the boys.

= 93 -~



FIGURE 1 A L L H I G H L E V E L S C H O O L S (MEAN SOCIO-ECONOMIC LEVEL = 5.32) (MEAN LEVEL OF SCHOOL ACHIEVEMENT = 77.2) PERCENT OF P L A Y P A R T I C I P A N T S BY GRADE AND SEX OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN EACH GRADE

ERIC

• •

- 94 -

16

and the second of the second s



FIGURE 2

A L L L O W L E V E L S C H O O L S (MEAN SOCIO-ECONOMIC LEVEL = 1.38) (MEAN LEVEL OF SCHOOL ACHIEVEMENT = 64.0) PERCENT OF P L A Y P A R T I C I P A N T S BY GRADE AND SEX OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOYS, GIRLS AND ALL CHILDREN IN EACH GRADE

- 95 -

procession and the second second second second second second second second second at the second s

in leading and the second second

œ	
3	
m)	

13

# TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY AGE AND SEX

AND PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS OUT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN BY GRADE AND SEX

	GRADE 4 S	7	Q
1	n r	0	x
3568 4194	385 3780 3206	519 3645	2652
2953 4096	728 3929 2876	666 1847	808
6521 8290	813 7709 6082	185 5492	3460
3123 3756	86 4453 4606 3	788 3231	2305
2649 3761 5772 7517	961 4407 3516 ; )47 8860 8122 6	875 1937 663 5168	848 3153
53.1 54.8	2 56.1 45.3 4	L•8 42•3	27.6
45.9 61.3	1.7 48.7 35.1 3	4•5 23• n	8.9
6°10 0°64	9°9° 39°9	8•4 33•ū	18•5
28.7 38.7	.9 47.2 45.7 3	3.3 32.5	28.0
29.8 40.2		i.4 21.8	12.1
29.2 39.4	J.8 45.9 39.5 3	U 66 U .	20.7

-96 -

# LONE PLAYERS AND NON-PLAYERS

Figures 3-5. (pp. 99-101) Table 9 (pp. 102-105)

How do the children who do not participate in group play occupy themselves? Following the extensive period of recording of playgroups, a brief series of 2-5 observations was carried out in each school in which all activities not recorded during regular observations were recorded. These were classified into the categories specified in <u>Table 9</u> which gives their distribution by age and sex in all 14 schools. It should be remembered that these data are based on a few observations only, and drawing more than highly tentative conclusions from them, would be entirely unjustified.

Of the categories presented in the Table, we have singled out two that relate to play -- <u>lone players</u> and <u>onlookers</u>. Onlookers were also recorded throughout the observations in our two Jerusalem schools (high-level and low-level), in which the same students served as observers. In addition we have examined the category of <u>conversers</u>, since we bad hypothesized that there exists a relationship between the decline in group play and the rise in capacity to interact verbally (p. 77).

The low percentage of <u>lone players</u> out of the total number of children stands out clearly in <u>Figure 3</u>. This Figure also shows a general tendency amongst kibbutz children to play alone to a far greater extent than other children (the All Schools graph includes the kibbutzim). Since kibbutz children spend much more of their organized life with one another than do non-kibbutz children, this finding may suggest a certain satiation with group interaction, or a need to be on one's own some of the time.

Figure 4 shows the percent of <u>onlookers</u> by grade in our high-level and low-level Jerusalem schools, in which this type of passive participation was recorded simultaneously with recordings of playgroups. (See the record sheet on p. 24). It may be seen that there exists a considerable correlation between the extent of passive and active interest in play at different age levels. It may also be seen that there is more passive participation in the low-level than in the high-level school. These findings were confirmed in general in our brief

- 97 -

period of recordings of non-players in all schools, but there were some important deviations, of which we shall mention here only the fact there were . very few onlookers in the Arab schools.

Figure 5, which shows the degree to which children engage in <u>conversation</u> in the Arab Schools as compared with All Schools (including the Arab Schools), highlights the extent to which this type of activity may be culturally determined. At the same time however, the Figure also lends support to our hypothesis (p. 77), that conversation may provide to some extent, a mode of interaction alternative to group play, as children become more able to interact verbally: there is a rise in extent of conversation with age.

These findings suggest the hypothesis that in Arab culture there exist reinforcements for verbal interaction which, however, does not yet express itself clearly in the early grades, just due to the simple fact that at that age the capacity for verbal interaction is not yet sufficiently developed. In addition, it may be seen in <u>Table 9</u> that there?" tendency for girls to converse more than boys and that this tendency is more marked in the lower than in the upper school grades. This finding supports our hypothesis (p. 77) that there exists a differential between the capacity of boys and girls of the same age for verbal interaction, as a result of the known fact that the development of verbal abilities in girls tends to come earlier in girls than in boys.



FIGURE 3 PERCENT OF LONE PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS AND KIBBUTZ SCHOOLS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN EACH GRADE

- 99 -

ERIC AFUILTERE Provided by ERIC

İ

, A



FIGURE 4 PERCENT OF ONLOOKERS BY GRADE IN A H 1 G H – L E V E L AND A L O W – L E V E L SCHOOL OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN EACH GRADE

- 100 -

· .,

۰.



FIGURE 5 PERCENT OF C O N V E R S E R S BY GRADE IN A L L S C H O O L S AND THE A R A B S C H O O L S, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN EACH GRADE

والأحد المريدين المراجع والمراجع

### TABLE 9

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF NON-PLAYERS AND LONE PLAYERS IN THE LOWER AND UPPER GRADES OF ALL SCHOOLS, NUMBER AND PERCENT OF CONVERSERS IN EACH GRADE IN THE ARAB SCHOOLS AND IN ALL SCHOOLS, OF SOLITARY PLAYERS IN THE KIBBUTZ SCHOOLS AND IN ALL SCHOOLS AND OF ONLOOKERS IN THE HIGH-LEVEL AND THE LOW-LEVEL JERUSALEM SCHCOL S

	1. ONLOOKING			5. CONVI	ERSING	
N	1-4	5-8	TOTAL	1-4	5-8	TOTAL
	333.0	217.0	550.0	161.0	439.0	600.0
	297.0	127.0,	424.0	211.0	<u>427.0</u>	638.0
	630.0	344.0	974.0	372.0	866.0	1238.0
%	9.8	6.4	8.1	4.7	12.9	8.8
	9.4	4.1	6.8	6.6	13.8	10.2
	9.6	5.3	7.4	5.7	13.3	9.5

2.	INTERACTI	ING	WHILE ONLOOKING*	6.	READING	FOR	PLEASURE (NOT	HOMEWORK)
N	1-4 5 0.0 1 0.0 0 0.0 1	-8 •0 •0	TOTAL 1.0 0.0 1.0		1-4 4.0 11.0	5-0 50.0	B TOTAL 0 54.0 0 30.0	
%	0.0 0.0 0.0	).0 ).0	0.0 0.0 0.0		15.0 0.1 0.3 0.2	69.9 1. 0.4	0 84.0 5 0.8 6 0.5 1 0.6	

### 3. LOOKING AT OBJECTS

N	1-4	5-8	TOTAL
	121.0	97.0	218.0
	133.0	80.0	213.0
	254.0	177.0	431.0
%	3.6	2.8	3.2
	4.2	2.6	3.4
	3.9	2.7	3.3

### 4. CONVERSING ABOUT OBJECTS OR PLAYERS WHILE OBSERVING THEM

<b>N</b> .	1-4	5-8	TOTAL
	15.0	39.0	54.0
	7.0	18.0	25.0
	22.0	57.0	79.0
%	0.4	1.1	0.8
	0.2	0.6	0.4
	0.3	0.9	0.6

8.	JUST	RUNNING OR	RUNNING	то -
	1-4	5-8	TOTAL	
	6.0	2.0	8.0	
	11.0	2.0	13.0	
	17.0	4.0	21.0	
	Û.2	0.1	0.1	
	0.3	0.1	0.2	
	0.3	0.1	0.2	

7. SHARING AND INTERACTING\*\*

27.0 30.0 57.0 68.0 33.0 101.0

0.8 0.9 0.2 2.1 1.1 1.6 1.0 1.2 1.0

95.0 63.0

1-4

1.4

5-8 TOTAL

158.0

- 102 -

9.	JUST	WALKING,	STANDING OR SITTING	12. EATI	NG	
N	1-4	5-8	TOTAL	1-4	5-8	TOTAL
	124.0	112.0	236.0	168.0	125.0	293.0
	156.0	81.0	237.0	194.0	140.0	334.0
%	280.0	193.0	473.0	362.0	265.0	627.0
	3.6	3.3	3.5	4.9	3.7	4.3
	4.9	2.6	3.8	6.1	4.5	5.3
	4.3	3.0	3.6	5.5	4.1	4.8

10.	• CLASSROOM ACTIVITIES***			[ES*** 13. INSTRUMENTAL ACTIVIT]		
N	1-4	5-8	TOTAL	1-4	5-8	TOTAL
	2.0	20.0	22.0	40.0	50.0	90.0
	5.0	7.0	12.0	72.0	63.0	135.0
	7.0	27.0	34.0	112.0	113.0	225.0
%	0.1	0.6	0.3	1.2	1.5	1.3
	0.2	0.2	0.2	2.3	2.0	2.2
	0.1	0.4	0.3	1.7	1.7	1.7

11. SOLITARY PLAY

Ę.

1

ERIC

## 14. QUARRELING

	1-4	5-8	TOTAL	1-4	5-8	TOTAL
	72.0	30.0	102.0	0.0	2.0	2.0
N	61.0	33.0	94.0	2.0	4.0	6.0
	133.0	63.0	196.0	2.0	6.0	8.0
	2 . 1	0.9	1.5	0.0	0.1	0.0
%	1.9	1.1	1.5	0.1	0.1	0.1
	2.0	1.0	1.5	Ü.O	0.1	0.1

### **15.** OTHER ACTIVITIES

N	1-4 7.0	5-8 4.0	TOTAL 11.0
	8.0	4.0	12.0
	0.2	0.1	0.2
0/2	0.0	0.0	0.0
19	0.1	0.1	0.1

\* e.g., "one onlooker leaning on another's shoulder".
\*\* e.g., "sharing an ice cream"; "one girl combing another". \*\*\* e.g., "a meeting of the class counsel";"preparing the class journal".
\*\*\* e.g., "doing homework"; "discussing homework"; "cleaning his desk";
 "tying up shoe laces". \*\*\*\* e.g.,

# - 103 -

TABLE 9, CONTINUATION

GRADE

277 5 102.0 94.0 196.0 600.0 638.0 1238.0 9.50 9.50 9.50 197.0 139.0 336.0 15.0 24.0 39.0 11.9 12.7 12.2 5.2 9.1 7.1 TOTAL 5 141.0 140.6 281.0 ~ ~ ~ 3.0 4.0 7.0 11.0 9.0 20.0 40.0 36.0 76.0 33.6 60.0 42.5 5 3 4  $m \ n \ n$ 540  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 128 139.0 134.0 0.60.800.7 36.0 37.0 73.0 n. n. v. 9 ω œ m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.4.H 5°0 16.1 17.42.24. ~ 273 SON 55.0 23.0 78.0 32.5 20.5 27.8 99•0 84•0 83•0 11.7 11.8 11.8 8.0 10.0 18.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 2.6 1.5 040 9 0 - -4.00 044 0. <u></u>. с. 000 29.0 9.0 38.0 12.7 7.4 000 200 4. 5 09 69 29 てもて ONH Ś 4 0 0-0 66.0 48.0 14.0 17.0 11.0 28.0 0.0 6.0 6.0 11.0 15.0 26.0 o, n n 8.5 6.0 7.2 01.1 4 6 9 4  $\infty \sim \infty$ 000 N --------29.0 55.0 84.0 3.4 000 0.000 2.6 1.6 2.1 6.0 5.0 2.8 4.4 3.5  $\circ \circ \circ$ Nmn m n - n 51.0 58.0 09.0 5.0 6.0 2°3 4°3 2.8 18.0 14.0 32.0 6.0 7.6 6.7 0.0 0.0 2.1 1.8 2.0 2 pand pand -----4.C 7.C 11.C 26.7 31.8 29.7 1.6 6.4 3.8 21.0 19.0 40.0 0°0 2°0 2.4 e n n 53. ろまろ m BOTH BOTH BDYS GIRLS BOTH 0YS RLS 0TH BOYS GIRLS BOTH BOYS GIRLS BOTH BOYS SIRLS BOTH BOYS SIRLS BOTH RLS RLS Y 4 31 61 3 10 61 19 60 ¢0 Ē R 8 С Z Z R 85 Z Z μ SCHOOLS KIBBUTZ SCHOOLS 2 SCHOOLS SCHOOLS . H  $\succ$ ALL ÅRAB ALL. Q 24 Ц 4 μ EH н 7 コ Z 0 0 C Q

- 104

CONTINUATION	
<b>.</b> 6	
TABLE	

Ð

GRADE

TCTAL		742 489 1231	4.53 3.24 3.91	1041 732 1773	10.35 6.78 8.50		
Q;		71 47 118	2.77 2.04 2.42	とうらい	6 °21 2 • 58		
7		125 32 157	5.15 1.37 3.30	143 71 214	10.99 4.35 7.02		
9		76 54 130	3.55 2.69 3.13	135 71 206	9 • 36 5 • 66 7 • 64		
ŝ		71 43 114	4.22 2.38 3.27	234 123 357	14.71 7.31 10.90		
4		121 92 213	6 5 38 5 38	203 191 394	16.96 12.24 14.29		
ŝ		83 72 161	4.68 4.23 4.47	86 56 132	8 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
2		108 92 200	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	56 58 154	7.08 4.61 5.89		
<b>1</b>	Ċ	81 57 136	4 CI CI CI 4 CI CI 4 CI CI 4 CI CI 4 CI CI 6 CI CI 7 br>CI 7	72 65 161	8.71 5.51 5.13		
·	O K I N	BCYS GIRLS BGTH	BOYS GIRLS BCTH	ECYS GIRLS ROTH	RDYS GIRLS EDTH		
	L L	N	К	N	%		
	N O	HIGH- THVHL	SCHOOL	LOW- LEVEL SCHOOL			

- 105 -

.

### PARTICIPATION IN STRUCTURED AND UNSTRUCTURED

### GAMES IN TWO SCHOOLS

Figure 6 - 7 (pp. 107-108) Table 10 (p. 109)

The Examples from the Encyclopedia of Games demonstrate the distinction made between structured games (pp. 36-62) and unstructured games (pp. 58-73). In extension of the findings on pre-school and early grade children (Partern and Newall, 1943; Piaget, 1932), we anticipated a constant increase with age in play participation in structured games. This was tested on the two Jerusalem schools of our sample, one of high-level and the other of low-level. (These two schools were particularly suitable for direct comparisons since the same students served as observers in both.) It may be seen from Figures 6 and 7 that following the rise in participation in structured games with increasing age, a decline is evident in all cases in the upper school grade, and in the case of high-level girls this decline begins already in the seventh grade. This tendency may well reflect the change in children's attitude to rules described by Piaget (1932): at a young age children are less capable of conformity to rules or of interacting socially in a regularized manner. As they grow older, their attitude to rules first becomes more rigid and uncompromising, as a result of which they tend to reject spontaneously created play, but later on becomes flexible again, though in a different sense than at a very young age. But Piaget did not quite forsee that the increased flexibility might not only lead to greater tolerance towards introduction of on-the-spot variants in rule governed games, but also to an increase of play activity in unstructured games. Notice, in this connection, that in grades 1-3 the percent participants in structured games in the low-level school is smaller than that in the high-level school, and that the decline in percent participants in such games sets in one year earlier in the case of high-level girls than in all other cases. This finding may reflect the slower rate of development of low-level children, and the fastest rate of development of high-level girls, already discussed with reference to Figures 1 and 2. At the same time it should be noticed that the percent play participation in structured games tends to be larger for girls than for boys at all age levels. An additional analysis of game rules is presented on pp. 218-9.

In examining this data, it should be **re**membered that <u>Figures 6-7</u> and the corresponding <u>Table 10</u>, present the analysis of two schools only.

- 106 -



FIGURE 6 PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN A H I G H-L E V E L SCHOOL, WHO PLAYED S T R U C T U R E D G A M E S OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

- '107' -

•







۴

# TABLE 10

PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS OF STRUCTURED GAMES OUT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS, BY GRADE AND SEX

		GRACE									
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOTAL	
N	BOYS	777	804	829	849	459	499	603	490	5310	
	GIRLS	706	915	734	648	439	413	219	135	4209	
	Both	1483	1719	1563	1497	898	912	822	625	9519	
<b>%</b>	BOYS	67.10	65.58	69.37	64.22	75.49	76.53	79.66	74.13	70.06	
	GIRLS	67.88	76.44	82.56	82.03	87.10	87.87	83.91	74.59	78.94	
	Both	67.47	70.95	75.00	70.88	80.76	81.28	80.75	74.23	73.73	
N	BOYS	322	572	512	721	949	498	524	358	4456	
	GIRLS	378	554	563	972	805	436	242	55	4005	
	Both	700	1126	1075	1693	1754	934	766	413	8461	
%	BOYS	62.28	61.57	58.31	71.32	74.61	77.57	82.65	79.91	70.38	
	GIRLS	69.87	77.48	82.79	80.07	81.07	84.66	84.03	64.71	79.61	
	Both	66.16	68.49	69.00	76.09	77.44	80.73	83.08	77.49	74.47	

.



### THE LIFE-SPAN OF GAMES AND THEIR DIFFUSION\*

Figure 8-16 (pp. 115 - 123) Table 11/12 (pp. 124 - 125/6)

G

Û)

4)

Every child knows the concept of game-in-season and few adults have any problem in pointing out at least a couple of games that fall into this category, such as hopscotch or jump rope. The stereotype about the nature of this kind of game is very commonly accepted: according to it, these games tend to appear suddenly in the playfield, quickly gain force and predominate in popularity over all other games, and then rather suddenly disappear again. It is generally believed that these games "blossom" every year around the same period.

Following our first stage data analysis we posed a number of questions (pp. 90-91) for the main stage, whose aim was to get reliable empirical information on this under-explored phenomenon.

A preliminary examination of our data was already sufficient to shatter to a considerable extent the prevalent conceptions about seasonal games. As can be seen from Figures 8-14, which show boys' and girls' seasonal games that appeared in our low-level Jerusalem school, there was no case in which the same seasonal game reappeared during the overlapping months of our two years of observation. Indeed, in the five schools examined in this respect, we have encountered only one case of partial recurrence of a seasonal game during the corresponding seasons in two successive years. Furthermore, some periodic games appeared in only one school, and those which appeared in more than one school did not in all cases do so during the same season. Moreover, certain games appeared in full force during two different seasons of the same year, others appeared in strength during one period, and sporadically at other times (see figures 8, 10, 11, and 14, for illustrations). We have also found that a game that appeared periodically in one school, appeared only sporadically in others. As we have already noticed in our first stage examination of one seasonal game (p. 90), the rate of acceptance of such a game is not necessarily quick, neither does it necessarily suddenly disappear, as popular conception has it. It may last one month or up to six months, and the curve of its rise and decline is not necessarily symmetrical.

\* This analysis was conducted jointly with Raya Kalinhoff, Giora Kronzon, Raanan Kulka and Amira Ravin, within the framework of a graduate research seminar on children's games.

- 110 -

These overview findings have led us to reject our preconceived notions about the possible existence of a constant and recurring game cycle (p. 90). We shall therefore drop the term "seasonal game" and use henceforth the term "<u>periodic</u> <u>game</u>" exclusively, meaning thereby a game that is played only during certain time stretches, but not necessarily in corresponding seasons of subsequent years. Such games may last for one to six months and within that period reach a peak of activity, remain there for a shorter or longer period and eventally drop out of fashion.

We tentatively distinguish <u>periodic games</u> from two other types of games observed: the <u>supergames</u> which are permanently present, even though there are fluctuations in the extent of their predominance in the playfield (two such games are variants of tag and of soccer, represented in <u>Figures 15</u> and <u>16</u>), and <u>sporadic games</u>, which appear only "sporadically" in the play scene.

In our efforts thus far to understand the phenomenon of periodicity in games, we have compared periodic games with the other types of games with regard to certain characteristics. For this purpose, we selected 18 periodic games and 18 nonperiodic games and compared the frequency of occurence of these characteristics in both sets of games. Although the comparisons made thus far are only rough and tentative, we have found that of the 20 comparisons made, 16 showed significant differences on a  $\infty$  test:

In terms of general factors related to <u>interaction</u> <u>amongst children</u>, we have found that significantly more of the periodic games as compared with the others, are <u>mainly</u> <u>played by boys only or girls only (Figures 8-11</u> as distinct from <u>Figures 12-14</u>). Since there is more communication between children of the same sex, this characteristic probably contributes to the diffusion of these games.

With regard to <u>motivation to play</u>, significantly more of the periodic games are characterized by a <u>defined final outcome</u> of winning or losing, and winning is more frequently associated in them with <u>material gain</u>. The challenge of all-out competition and the promise of material gain are, presumably, features that contribute to an increase in popularity of games.

- 111 -

As to characteristics related to <u>interaction between play</u> <u>participants</u>, significantly more of the periodic games are of the kind <u>played sequentially</u>, by <u>individual children</u> (first one child, then another, etc.), and <u>played independently</u> (the behavior of one child not directly affecting the subsequent behavior of the others).

These features also suggest as has, indeed, been verified, that significantly more of these games can also be played solitarily. Combining this factor with the finding that in more such games there is an opportunity for developing specific skills, it may be concluded that they provide, more often than other games, an opportunity for self-improvement by training and thus the challenge and attraction necessary for free play to occur. But the challenge lasts only for a while: many of the very same features that make for diffusion and attractiveness at first, are probably causes of the game's after a period of training and repeated competition decline: between individual children, which is characteristic of Periodic games, a hierarchy of players is established which, naturally, leads to a decline in the challenge of playing with many of the original potential competitors. Moreover, many of the poorer players will now decline playing with their obvious superiors, for fear of material loss. It is probably for this reason that we find more variants of the same game amongst the periodic games since, for a while, variants provide additional opportunities. It would follow that variants would not be as frequent at the first appearance of a periodic game as at the peak of play or when decline has started. This hypothesis has not yet been examined.

From this preliminary look at the data it is already possible to arrive at certain features that are likely to characterize supergames, which remain constantly "above water" -- such as lack of material gain, or difficulty in predicting the outcome of the game. This difficulty is typical to games of chance (which are, however, often associated with material gain), or to competitive games of groups whose composition can be varied. Similarly, it is likely that sporadic games will be burdened with features that would impede diffusion, provide little opportunity for developing skills, or have rather predictable outcomes. A more detailed analysis of these features still remains to be done.

- 112 -

We have seen that one and the same game will sometimes exhibit all the distinguishing features of a periodic game, but at other times exhibit "sporadic" features. The difference, we suggest, should be looked for in the play-scene. We predict that a periodic/has a greater chance of being accepted, when introduced during a period of relative slackness in the play activities of the appropriate school and age group. Such slackness might, for instance, result from the decline of another periodic game. This prediction gains support from the data presented in Figures 8-11 and 12-14, in which it may be seen that one periodic game begins its rise only after the preceding periodic game is well on its road to extinction. (It is interesting to note that this regularity is revealed separately and independently in boys' and girls' games.) Extending this approach, we would expect that the agent of the innovation will only be effective if he (or she) introduces the new game at a time when the play-scene is relatively slack. A complementary hypothesis is that periodic games which have been observed to appear without rising to their potential peak had been introduced at a time when general play activity was at or above average, in other words, when the play scene was too satiated to accept them. These predictions can be tested by examining the relative percent of play participants on occasions when periodic games have gained force as compared with occasions on which they stalled.

The idea of a constant and recurring game cycle had to be abandoned in face of the facts. It is, however, still possible that a less rigid type of regularity might reveal itself in the chain of periodic games. We assume, that in order that a Periodic game appear and gain strength, two necessary conditions must obtain: the children must be relatively uninvolved in playing when this game is introduced, and the game must have been out of circulation for a long enough time to acquire a renewed appeal. Given these two conditions, the game actually introduced may be related to such factors as weather (warmingup games in winter), the fruit of the season (apricot-pit games during the apricot season), and the networks of communication between children (geographic proximity or socioeconomic level). We should not, therefore, be surprised to discover some overlap in recurrence of the same game in successive years, and indeed, one of the analyses that remain to be done is to determine the relative importance of these secondary factors in the reappearance of a game. Here it may

- 113 -

••••

be particularly revealing to examine those cases, if any, in which more than one periodic game has been introduced simultaneously, and see whether underthose circumstances, one of them, and which of them, was accepted; The personalities of the agents who introduce the game might be the determining factor. Controlled field experiments in planting new games and in introducing changes in existing sporadic games that will turn them into periodic games will offer final tests for our conclusions.

114

Ð



- 115 -

• •



Ø

ERIC

S T O N E S) (F I V E PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF PLAYERS, ON EACH DAY OF OBSERVATION







Ø

#



\*•

PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF H O P S C O T C H EACH DAY OF OBSERVATION

|

ERIC

Ê



- 119 -

Ĭ



- 120 -

1 . . **t** 

ERIC Aulitest Provided by Eric

4



- 121 -

ERIC Pull Toxt Provided by ERIC



- 122 -

Ø




#### TABLE 11/12

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF (A) GIRLS AND (B) BOYS WHO PARTICIPATED IN PLAY IN SPECIFIED PERIODIC GAMES AND SUPERGAMES

#### A. GIRLS

DATE

¢

ERIC Fullback Provided by ERIC

### PERIODIC GAMES

#### SUPERGAME

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			H CLA	IAND IPPING	I Si	TVE Cones	JU ROI	MP PE	H SC	IOP — COTCH	I	AG
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			N	X	N	*	N	X	N	z	N	7.
	1965 TAN	12	16	11.27					5	3.52	16	11.27
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1903 0441.	20	2	1.32	2	1.32			20	13.16	33	21.71
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		27	107	45.15	-				'4	1.69	6	2.53
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FEB.	3	46	21.50					24	11.21	37	17.29
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		10	61	31.61					4	2.07	33	17.10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		17	57	26.39					11	5.09	34	15.74
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		24	28	25.93					3	2.78	12	11.11
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MARCH	3	13	7.93					5	3.05	32	19.51
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		10	16	14.16					2	1.77	33	29.20
APRIL       28       4       2.76       2       1.38       44       30.34         MAY       12       47       39.17       5       4.17       29       24.17         19       21       38.18       7       12.73       12       12.37         26       51       52.58       12       12.37       12.12.37         JUNE       2       2.22       47       52.22       2       2.222       15       16.67         23       34       39.08       7       8.07       8       8.09       10.02       1.36       7       8.01       8.09         10       2       1.36       7       18.37       8       8.89       17       14.53       24       17.17       14.53       24       17.17       14.53       20.29       21.17.21       17.27       14.53       20.29       21.17.21       17.27       14.53       20.29       21.17.21       17.27       14.53       20.29       21.17.21       17.27       17.45.53       20.29       21.17.21       17.27       18.37       24       17.27       17.27       17.27       14.53       20.29       21.17.21       17.27       18.37       20.29       24.2		24	10	9.35							29	27.10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	APRIL	28			4	2.76	2	1.38			44	30.34
19       21       38.18       7       12.73         26       51       52.58       12       12.73         JUNE       2       2.22       47       52.22       2       2.22       9       10.00         16       51       48.57       26       24.76       7       8.05         23       34       39.08       7       8.05         SEPT.       3       2       2.13       64       68.09         10       2       1.36       8       5.44         17       27       18.37       8       8.89         24       33       36.67       21       17.21         0CT.       1       47       38.52       3       3.41         22       62       52.99       21       17.36         29       48       39.67       24       17.21         NOV.       1       61       50.00       7       5.74       16       19.26         23       2       2.41       61       50.00       7       3.04       3.24         30       2       2.41       15       20.00       27       32.53         166<	MAY	12			47	39.17	5	4.17			29	24.17
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		19			21	38.18					7	12.73
JUNE       2       2.22       47       52.22       9       16.6.7         9       2       2.22       47       52.22       9       10.00         16       511       48.57       26       24.76         23       34       39.08       7       8.05         SEPT.       3       2       2.13       64       68.09         10       2       1.36       8       5.44         17       27       18.37       8       8.89         24       33       36.67       21       17.21         0CT.       1       47       38.52       3       3.41         8       70       79.55       17       14.53         22       62       52.99       21       17.36         29       48       39.67       24       17.27         NOV.       1       61       50.00       7       5.74       16       19.28         23       2       2.41       51       2.40       14       15       12.40       14       18.67         23       2       2.41       50.00       7       5.74       16       19.28		26			51	52.58			-		12	12.37
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	JUNE	2			47	52.22			2	2.22	15	16.6/
16       51       48.57       7       8.05         23       34       39.08       7       8.05         SEPT.       3       2       2.13       64       68.09         10       2       1.36       8       5.44         17       27       18.37       8       8.89         24       33       36.67       21       17.21         0CT.       1       47       38.52       3.41         8       70       79.55       17       14.53         22       62       52.99       21       17.27         NOV.       1       62       44.60       4       2.88       28       20.29         9       71       51.45       20       19.61       17.27         NOV.       1       61       50.00       7       5.74       16       19.28         23       2       2.41       61       50.00       7       5.74       16       19.28         30       2       2.41       15       12.40       14       18.67         30       2       2.41       61       50.00       7       32.73.36       37       30		9	2	2.22	47	52.22					9	10.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		16			51	48.57					26	24.70
SEPT.       3       2       2.13       64       06.09         10       2       1.36       8       5.44         17       27       18.37       8       8.89         24       33       36.67       21       17.21         OCT.       1       47       38.52       3       3.41         8       70       79.55       17       14.53         22       62       52.99       21       17.36         29       48       39.67       24       17.21         NOV.       1       62       44.60       4       2.88       28       20.29         9       71       51.45       20       19.61       19.28         23       2       2.41       61       50.00       7       5.74       16       19.28         30       2       2.41       15       20.00       27       32.53       1966       JAN. 4       15       12.40       14       18.67         28       15       20.00       27       32.53       1966       JAN. 4       16       19.28       27       20.15         1966       JAN. 4       16       2.299		23			34	39.08						8.UJ
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SEPT.	3	2	2.13							04	00.U9 5 66
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		10	2	1.36							Ö	J•44
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		17					27	18.37			21	0.07
OCT.14738.5233.4487079.551714.53226252.992117.36294839.672417.27NOV.16244.6042.882820.2997151.452019.61163029.412120.5943.282322.416150.0075.741619.283022.412934.946043.803022.411512.401418.673022.411520.002732.53141512.401418.67281520.002732.531966JAN.1619.282720.151122.8642.992738.5725710.003323.08710.003323.08FEB.121.7964.202421.43822.741621.92151112.641522917.31283937.31MARCH1283836466648946661.3341466661.33424666121314141414 <td></td> <td>24</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>33</td> <td>36.67</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>21</td> <td>2 / 1</td>		24					33	36.67			21	2 / 1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	OCT.	1					47	38.52			5 17	J.41 16 52
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		8					/0	/9.55			21	17 36
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		22					62	52.99			21	17 07
NOV.1 $62$ 44.6042.88 $20$ $29$ 971 $51.45$ 2019.61 $16$ 30 $29.41$ $21$ $20.59$ 4 $3.28$ $23$ 2 $2.41$ $61$ $50.00$ 7 $5.74$ $16$ $19.28$ $30$ 2 $2.41$ $61$ $50.00$ 7 $5.74$ $16$ $19.28$ $30$ $2$ $2.41$ $29$ $34.94$ $60$ $43.80$ DEC.7 $32$ $23.36$ $37$ $30.58$ $14$ $28$ $15$ $12.40$ $14$ $18.67$ $28$ $11$ $2$ $2.86$ $4$ $2.99$ $27$ $38.57$ $11$ $2$ $2.86$ $4$ $2.99$ $27$ $38.57$ $25$ 7 $10.00$ $33$ $23.08$ FEB. $1$ $2$ $1.79$ $6$ $4.20$ $24$ $21.43$ $8$ $2$ $2.74$ $11$ $12.64$ $12.92$ $15$ $20.74$ $11$ $12.64$ $29.92$ $27.38.57$ $15$ $2$ $1.79$ $6$ $4.20$ $24$ $21.43$ $15$ $2$ $1.79$ $6$ $4.20$ $24$ $21.43$ $12$ $2.74$ $11$ $12.64$ $12.64$ $12.92$ $15$ $22$ $91.731$ $11$ $12.64$ $12.64$ $11$ $12.64$ $13.94$ $13.94$ $14.12.64$ $14.12.64$ $14$ $14.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.$		29					48	39.0/		2 88	24	20 20
16       30       29.41       21       20.59       4       3.28         23       2       2.41       61       50.00       7       5.74       16       19.28         30       2       2.41       29       34.94       60       43.80         DEC.       7       32       23.36       37       30.58         14       15       12.40       14       18.67         28       15       20.00       27       32.53         1966       JAN.       4       16       19.28       27       20.15         1966       JAN.       4       2.99       27       38.57         28       11       2       2.86       4       2.99       27       38.57         1966       JAN.       4       2.99       27       38.57       23.08       23.08         25       7       10.00       33       23.08       16       21.92         15       2       1.79       6       4.20       24       21.43         16       21.92       11       12.66       11       12.61         15       2       11       12.61       11	NOV.	Ţ					02	44.0U 51.45	4	2.00	· 20	10.61
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		9 \$6					/1	JL.4J 20 /1	21	20 59	20	3.28
23       2       2.41       61       50.00       7       5.74       10       19.20         30       2       2.41       29       34.94       60       43.80         DEC.       7       32       23.36       37       30.58         14       15       12.40       14       18.67         28       15       20.00       27       32.53         1966       JAN.       4       16       19.28       27       20.15         11       2       2.86       4       2.99       27       38.57         25       7       10.00       33       23.08         FEB.       1       2       1.79       6       4.20       24       21.43         15       2       1.79       6       4.20       24       21.43         15       2       74       16       21.92       11       12.64         15       2       9       17.31       11       12.64       9       17.31         MARCH       1       28       39.44       46       61.33       46       61.33		16	•				30	29.41	21	5 7/	16	19.28
30       2       2.41       29       34.94       60       43.80         DEC.       7       32       23.36       37       30.58         14       15       12.40       14       18.67         28       15       20.00       27       32.53         1966       JAN.       4       16       19.28       27       20.15         11       2       2.86       4       2.99       27       38.57         25       7       10.00       33       23.08         FEB.       1       2       1.79       6       4.20       24       21.43         15       2.74       16       21.92       11       12.64         15       2.74       16       21.92       11       12.64         15       2.74       16       21.92       11       12.64         15       22       9       17.31       28       39.44       46       61.33         MARCH       1       28       39.44       46       61.33		23	2	2.41			<b>61</b>	50.00	20	<b>3.</b> /4	· 10	43 80
JEC.       7       32       23.36       37       30.36         14       15       12.40       14       18.67         28       15       20.00       27       32.53         1966       JAN.       4       16       19.28       27       20.15         11       2       2.86       4       2.99       27       38.57         25       7       10.00       33       23.08         FEB.       1       2       1.79       6       4.20       24       21.43         8       2       2.74       16       21.92       11       12.64         15       22       9       17.31       11       12.64       9       17.31         MARCH       1       28       39.44       46       61.33       46       61.33	570	30	2	2.41					29	34.94 33.36	27	20 59
14       15       12.40       14       10.07         28       15       20.00       27       32.53         1966       JAN.       16       19.28       27       20.15         11       2       2.86       4       2.99       27       38.57         25       7       10.00       33       23.08         FEB.       1       2       1.79       6       4.20       24       21.43         8       2       2.74       16       21.92       16       21.92         15       22       9       17.31       11       12.64       9       17.31         MARCH       1       28       39.44       46       61.33       46       61.33	DEC.	1							JZ 15	23.30	37 14	18 67
1966       JAN. 4       16       19.28       27       20.15         11       2       2.86       4       2.99       27       38.57         25       7       10.00       33       23.08         FEB.       1       2       1.79       6       4.20       24       21.43         8       2       2.74       16       21.92       11       12.64         15       22       9       17.31       11       12.64         MARCH       1       28       39.44       46       61.33	•	14							15	20.00	27	22 52
1966JAN.41019.262720.13 $11$ 22.8642.992738.5725710.003323.08FEB.121.7964.202421.43822.741621.92151112.64917.31MARCH92839.44994661.33	1066 743	28							15	10.29	27	20 15
11       2       2.66       4       2.99       27       30.37         25       7       10.00       33       23.08         FEB.       1       2       1.79       6       4.20       24       21.43         8       2       2.74       16       21.92         15       11       12.64       9       17.31         MARCH       9       17.31       28       39.44         9       46       61.33       46       61.33	TAOO JUN.	4	<b>^</b>	2 06					10	19.20	27	20.13
FEB.       1       2       1.79       6       4.20       24       21.43         8       2       2.74       16       21.92         15       11       12.64         22       9       17.31         MARCH       28       39.44         9       46       61.33		11	2	2 . C)O			•			10 00	27	23.08
FEB.       1       2       1.79       0       4.20       24       21.42         8       2       2.74       16       21.92         15       11       12.64         22       9       17.31         MARCH       28       39.44         9       46       61.33		25	2	1 70					6	4 20	24	23.00
0       2       2.74       10       21.92         15       11       12.64         22       9       17.31         MARCH       28       39.44         9       46       61.33	FEB.	D D	2	1•/7 9 7/					U	4.20	24 16	21.43
22 9 17.31 MARCH 1 28 39.44 9 46 61.33		0 1 E	2	2.14							11	12.6/
MARCH 1 28 39.44 9 46 61.33		33 T3									0	17.31
Q 46 61.33	марси	22									28	39.44
	rianon	0									46	61.33

•

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					T	BLE 11/12, C	CONTINUAT]	<b>ION</b>					
DATE         PERIOT         HARBLES         TOPS         SUCER LANES         TAP           PITS         N         Z         Z         Z         Z         Z         Z         Z         Z         Z         Z         Z         Z         Z         Z         Z <thz< th=""> <thz< th="">         Z         <!--</th--><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th><b>B. B</b>C</th><th><b>YS</b></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></thz<></thz<>						<b>B. B</b> C	<b>YS</b>						
APRIOT N         MARBLES         TOPS         BUCLR         ING           1965         N         X         X </th <th></th> <th>DATE</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>PER</th> <th>IODIC</th> <th>GAME</th> <th><u>s</u></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>SUPER G</th> <th>AMES</th> <th></th>		DATE			PER	IODIC	GAME	<u>s</u>			SUPER G	AMES	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				APR	LCOT	MAH	BLES	T	UPS	500	<b>UER</b>		LAG
1965       JAN.       13       11       6.40       39       22.67         20       2       1.12       47       26.40       51       28.65         27       39       22.41       27       15.52       22       14.29       64       41.2         10       22       14.29       64       41.56       30.69       41       24.70       65.25       35       17.50       51       25.50         MARCH       3       1.50       35       17.60       51       25.50       22.14.29       64       41.86         10       22       14.19       42       27.10       21.134       53       35.57         MARCH       3       1.50       32       22.22       22.22       22.22         JURE       2       44       43.14       2       1.96       3       2.94       10.98         9       40       41.67       12       12.50       0       0.00       11       10.98       42       22.12       22.12       22.12       22.12       22.12       10.198       44       21.96       3       2.94       10.98       40       2.196       3       2.94       10.98				N N	<b>X</b>	N	X	N	76	N	X	N	*
2       1.12       47       26.40       51       28.65         27       39       22.41       27       15.52         FEB.       3       40       21.16       58       30.69         10       22       14.29       64       41.56         24       3       1.50       35       17.50       51       25.50         MARCH       3       22       14.29       64       41.56         10       22       14.19       42       27.10         24       3       1.50       35       17.50       51       25.50         MARCH       3       22       14.19       42       27.10         24       2       1.38       87.57       35.77       35.77       35       77.24       35       77.24       35       77.24       35       22.22.22       16.0       10       12       12.50       0       0.00       16       27.94       10.98       48       24       26       20.60       5       4.00       7.24       22.92       16.03       10       6.41       21.96       3       22.92       16       32.22.22       22.98       17       13.10.32       2	1965	JAN.	13							11	6.40	39	22.67
27         39         22.41         27         15.52           FEB.         3         40         21.16         58         30.69           10         41         24.70         62         37.35           17         22         14.29         64         41.55           22         14.29         64         41.55         51         25.50           MARCH         3         1.50         37         23.13         31         19.32           24         20         11.98         48         28.74         20         11.98         48         28.74           24         20         11.98         48         28.74         20         11.98         48         28.74           19         2         44         43.14         2         1.96         3         2.94         1         0.96           16         27         30.34         17         19.10         12         13.48           23         17         13.60         4         2.13         7         3.72         2.98           10         8         5.48         16         10.96         24         32.98         10.99	_,,,,	01200	20			2	1.12			47	26.40	51	28.65
FEB.       3			27							39	22.41	27	15.52
10       24,70       62       37,35         17       22       14,29       64       41,56         24       3       1.50       35       17.50       51       25.50         MARCH       3       .22       14,19       42       27.10         24       20       11.98       48       28.74         APRIL       28       20       11.98       48       28.74         19       5       8.77       2       3.51       7.23       3.72.43       3.72.43         19       5       8.77       2       3.72.43       3.72.43       3.72.43       3.72.43       7.72       3.51         MAY       12       12       12.50       0       0.00       16       27.78       32       22.22.22       3.7       19.10       12       13.48       7       3.72       62       32.98       10       0.62       23.2.98       10.098       10       64       2.13       7       3.72       62       32.98       10.01       12       13.48       20       12.42       2.20       8.6       4.01       10.01       12       13.48       10.7       17.31       10.31       10.42 <td< td=""><td></td><td>FEB.</td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>40</td><td>21.16</td><td>58</td><td>30.69</td></td<>		FEB.	3							40	21.16	58	30.69
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			10							41	24.70	62	37.35
24       3       1.50       35       17.50       51       25.50         MARCH       3       37       23.13       31       19.38         24       20       11.98       48       28.71         APRIL       28       2       1.34       53       35.57         MAY       12       13       8.97       54       37.21         26       40       27.78       32       22.12         JUNE       2       44       43.14       2       1.96       3       2.94       10.98         23       17       13.60       17       10.10       12       13.48         23       17       13.60       2       24.22       2.98       10       17       10.10       12       13.48         24       23       17       13.60       9       7.17.2       62.32.98       10.92       24.40       20.98       10.96       24       32.98       10.92       24       32.98       10.92       24.42       32.98       11.97       11.97       22.22       10.60.21       10       6.41       27.17.11       10.92       22.98       22.98       24.98       24.98       10.92 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>17</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>22</td><td>14.29</td><td>64</td><td>41.56</td></t<>			17							22	14.29	64	41.56
MARCH       3       37       23.13       31       19.38         10       22       14.19       42       27.10         24       20       11.96       48       28.74         AFRIL       28       2       1.34       53       35.57         MAY       12       13       8.97       54       37.24         19       26       40       27.78       32       22.22         JUNE       2       44       43.14       2       1.96       3       2.94       1       0.98         23       17       13.60       12       12.50       0       0.00       10       13.48       14.19       10.348       10.98       13.48       16       10.96       24       32.94       1       1.98       13.48       14.19       13.48       14.19       13.48       14.19       13.48       14.19       14.19       13.48       14.19       13.48       14.19       13.48       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19       14.19			24			3	1.50	•		35	17.50	51	25.50
10       22       14.19       42       27.10         24       20       11.38       48       28.7.10         APRIL       28       2       1.34       53       35.57         MAY       12       13       8.97       54       37.24         19       26       40       27.78       32       22.22         JUNE       2       44       43.14       2       1.96       3       2.94       1       0.98         23       17       13.60       12       12.50       0       0.00       0       0       22.98       1       1.13       1.03       2.94       1       0.98       54.00       2.98       1       1.0       12       13.48       2.98       1.17       13.1       2.460       9       7.14       13       10.32       2.98       10       6.41       27       17.31         0CT.       1       15       15.62       9       9.77       2.08       2.22       1.06       6       3.2.94       10       7.04       11.97         22       10       6.21       18       11.48       20       12.42       2.08       1.41       10.32       2		MARCH	3							37	23.13	31	19.38
24       20       11.98       48       28.78         AFRIL       28       133       55.57         MAY       12       13       8.97       54       37.24         19       5       8.77       2       3.51         26       40       27.78       32       22.22         JUNE       2       44       43.14       2       1.96       3       2.94       1       0.98         9       40       41.67       12       12.50       0       0.00         16       27       30.34       17       19.10       12       13.48         23       17       13.60       26       20.80       5       4.00         SEP.       3       2.4       25       16.03       10       6.41       27       17.31         0CT.       1       15       15.62       9       9.37       2       2.08         8       32       22.54       10       7.04       17       11.97         22       10       6.21       18.81       18.24       12.42       14.71         NOV.       1       7       5.07       12       8.70       <			10							22	14.19	42	27.10
APRIL 28       2       1.34       53       35.57         MAY       12       13       8.97       54       37.24         12       26       40       27.78       32       22.22         JUNE       2       44       43.14       2       1.96       3       2.94       1       0.98         9       40       41.67       12       12.50       0       0.00         16       27       30.34       17       19.10       12       13.46         23       17       13.60       26       20.80       5       4.00         SEP.       3       2.91       7       3.72       62       32.98         10       8       5.48       16       10.96       24       32.98         17       31       24.60       9       7.14       13       10.32         24       25       16.03       10       6.41       27       17.31         0CT.       1       15       15.62       9       9.37       2       2.08         29       6       3.53       15       8.82       31       18.24       25       14.71 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>24</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>20</td><td>11.98</td><td>48</td><td>28.74</td></td<>			24							20	11.98	48	28.74
MAY       12       13       8.97       54       37.24         19       26       40       27.78       32       23.51         JUNE       2       44       43.14       2       1.96       3       2.94       1       0.98         9       40       41.67       12       12.50       0       0.00         16       27       30.34       17       19.10       12       13.48         23       17       13.60       26       20.80       5       4.00         SEP.       3       4       2.13       7       3.72       62       32.98         10       8       5.48       16       10.96       24       32.98         17       31       24.60       9       7.14       13       10.32         0CT.       1       15       15.62       9       9.37       2       2.08         8       32       22.54       10       7.04       17       11.91         12       22       10       6       3.53       15       8.82       31       18.24       25       14.71         NOV.       1       7       5.07		APRIL	28							2	1.34	53	35.57
19       5       8.77       2       3.51         JUNE       2       44       43.14       2       1.96       3       2.94       1       0.98         9       40       41.67       12       12.50       0       0.00         16       27       30.34       17       19.10       12       13.48         23       17       13.60       4       2.13       7       3.72       62       32.98         10       8       5.48       16       10.96       24       32.98         17       31       24.60       9       7.14       13       10.32         24       25       16.03       10       6.41       27       17.31         0CT.       1       15       15.62       9       9.37       2       2.08         22       10       6.21       18       11.18       20       12.42         29       6       3.53       15       8.82       31       18.24       25       14.71         NOV.       1       7       5.246       23       18.85       28       22.95       22       18.03         16       3		MAY	12			·				13	8.97	54	37.24
26       40       27.78       32       22.22         JUNE       2       44       43.14       2       1.96       3       2.94       1       0.98         9       40       41.67       12       12.50       0       0.00         16       27       30.34       17       19.10       12       13.48         23       17       13.60       26       20.80       5       4.00         SEP.       3       4       2.13       7       3.72       62       32.98         10       8       5.48       16       10.96       24       32.98         17       31       24.60       9       7.14       13       10.32         24       25       16.03       10       6.41       27       17.31         0CT.       1       15       15.62       9       9.37       2       2.08         8       32       22.54       10       7.04       17       11.97         22       10       6.21       18       11.18       20       12.42         29       6       3.2.21       20       14.70       32       23.53       12			19							5	8.77	2	3.51
JUNE       2       44       43.14       2       1.96       3       2.94       1       0.98         9       40       41.67       12       12.50       0       0.00         23       17       13.60       26       20.80       5       4.00         SEP.       3       4       2.13       7       3.72       62       32.98         10       8       5.48       16       10.96       24       32.98         17       31       24.60       9       7.14       13       10.32         24       25       16.03       10       6.41       27       17.13         0CT.       1       15       15.62       9       9.37       2       20         8       32       22.54       10       7.04       17       11.97         22       10       6.21       18       11.18       20       12.42         29       6       3.53       15       8.82       31       18.24       25       14.71         NOV.       1       7       5.07       12       8.70       31       22.46       10       7.25         23			26							40	27.78	32	22.22
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		JUNE	2	44	43.14	2	1.96			3	2.94	1	0.98
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			9	40	41.67					12	12.50	0	0.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			16	27	30.34					17	19.10	12	13.48
SEP. 3       4       2.13       7       3.72       62       32.98         10       8       5.48       16       10.96       24       32.98         17       31       24.60       9       7.14       13       10.32         24       25       16.03       10       6.41       27       17.31         OCT. 1       15       15.62       9       9.37       2       2.08         8       32       22.54       10       7.04       17       11.97         22       10       6.353       15       8.82       31       18.24       25       14.71         NOV. 1       7       5.07       12       8.70       31       22.46       10       7.25         9       3       2.46       23       18.85       28       22.95       22       18.03         16       3       2.21       20       14.70       32       23.53       12       8.82         23       31       17.42       3       1.69       45       25.28         DEC. 7       4       1.98       26       12.87       23       13.86         14       2			23	17	13.60		0.1-			26	20.80	2	4.00
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		SEP.	3			4	2.13				3.72	62	32.98
17       31       24,60       9       7,14       13       10,32         24       25       16.03       10       6.41       27       17,31         0CT.       1       15,62       9       9.37       2       2.08         8       32       22.54       10       7.04       17       11.97         22       10       6.21       18       11.18       20       12.42         29       6       3.53       15       8.82       31       18.24       25       14.71         NOV.       1       7       5.07       12       8.70       31       22.46       10       7.25         9       3       2.46       23       18.85       28       22.95       22       18.03         16       3       2.21       20       14.70       32       23.53       12       8.82         23       30       31       17.42       3       1.69       45       25.28         DEC.       7       4       1.98       26       12.87       23       11.39       28       13.86         1966       JAN.       4       2.99       2       1.			10			8	5.48			10	10.96	24	32.90
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		•	17			31	24.60			9	/.14	13	17.32
OCT.11515.6299.3722.0083222.54107.041711.9722106.211811.182012.422963.53158.823118.242514.71NOV.175.07128.703122.46107.25932.462318.852822.952218.031632.212014.703223.53128.8223303117.4231.694525.2802141.982612.872311.392813.861473.524422.45157.652842.9921.493626.871966JAN.421.0242.033718.78441525377.7964019.423432.452114.482525263713.793020.693927.272618.18152242.8464.262517.733920.69822242.8464.262517.7396.122617.69128.162617.69128.16141696.122617.6912			24			25	16.03			10	0.41	27	1/.31
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		OCT.	1			15	15.62			9	9.3/	17	2.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			8			32	22.54			10	7.04	1/	11.9/ 10 /0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			22			10	6.21		0.00	18	11.10	20	16 42
NOV.       1       7       5.07       12       8.70       31       22.46       10       7.25         9       3       2.46       23       18.85       28       22.95       22       18.03         16       3       2.21       20       14.70       32       23.53       12       8.82         23       34       30.60       6       5.36       12       10.71         30       31       17.42       3       1.69       45       25.28         DEC.       7       4       1.98       26       12.87       23       11.39       28       13.86         14       7       3.52       44       22.45       15       7.65         28       4       2.99       2       1.49       36       26.87         1966       JAN.       4       2.99       2       1.49       36       26.87         1966       JAN.       4       2.99       2       1.49       36       26.87         196       JAN.       4       2.99       2       1.49       36       26.87         196       JAN.       4       2.03       37       18.			29			6	3.53	12	8.82	31	18.24	25	14•/1 7 95
9       3       2.46       23       18.85       28       22.93       22       16.03         16       3       2.21       20       14.70       32       23.53       12       8.82         23       34       30.60       6       5.36       12       10.71         30       31       17.42       3       1.69       45       25.28         DEC.       7       4       1.98       26       12.87       23       11.39       28       13.86         14       7       3.52       44       22.45       15       7.65         28       4       2.99       2       1.49       36       26.87         1966       JAN.       4       2       1.02       4       2.03       37       18.78       42.234         11       34       23.45       21       14.48       22.45       19.42       34       23.45       21       14.48         25       37       17.96       40       19.42       39       27.27       26       18.18         15       2       2.02       10       10.10       22       2.02       10       10.10		NOV.	1			/	5.07	12	8.70	3L 30	22.40	10	10 02
16       3       2.21       20       14.70       32       23.33       12       6.62         23       34       30.60       6       5.36       12       10.71         30       31       17.42       3       1.69       45       25.28         DEC.       7       4       1.98       26       12.87       23       11.39       28       13.86         14       7       3.52       44       22.45       15       7.65         28       4       2.99       2       1.49       36       26.87         1966       JAN.       4       2       1.02       4       2.03       37       18.78       44       22.34         11       25       37       17.96       40       19.42       39       27.27       26       18.18         25       39       27.27       26       18.18       15       2       2.02       10       10.10         22       4       2.84       6       4.26       25       17.73         MARCH       1       9       6.12       26       17.69       12       8.16         9       6.12       26 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>9</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>2.40</td> <td>23</td> <td>16.03</td> <td>20</td> <td>22.72</td> <td>12</td> <td>10.03</td>			9			3	2.40	23	16.03	20	22.72	12	10.03
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			10			3	2.21	20	14.70	52	23.33 5 36	12	10.02
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			23					34	30.00	2	1 60	45	25 29
14       1.98       20       12.87       23       11.39       20       13.60         14       7       3.52       44       32.45       15       7.65         28       4       2.99       2       1.49       36       26.87         1966       JAN.       4       2       1.02       4       2.03       37       18.78       44       22.34         11       25       34       23.45       21       14.48         25       37       17.96       40       19.42         5       20       13.79       30       20.69         8       39       27.27       26       18.18         15       2       2.02       10       10.10         22       4       2.84       6       4.26       25       17.73         MARCH       1       9       6.12       26       17.69       12       8.16         9       6.12       26       17.69       12       8.16         14       16.87       15       18.07		580	30			4	1 00	26	17.44 19.07	22	11 20	28	13.86
14       7       3.32       44       32.45       15       7.65         28       4       2.99       2       1.49       36       26.87         1966       JAN.       4       2       1.02       4       2.03       37       18.78       44       22.34         11       25       34       23.45       21       14.48         25       37       17.96       40       19.42         FEB.       1       20       13.79       30       20.69         8       39       27.27       26       18.18         15       2       2.02       10       10.10         22       4       2.84       6       4.26       25       17.73         MARCH       1       9       6.12       26       17.69       12       8.16         9       14       16.87       15       18.07		DEC.	1/			4	1.90	20	12.07	23	22.75	15	7.65
1966       JAN. 4       2       1.02       4       2.03       37       18.78       44       22.34         11       34       23.45       21       14.48         25       37       17.96       40       19.42         FEB.       1       20       13.79       30       20.69         8       39       27.27       26       18.18         15       2       2.02       10       10.10         22       4       2.84       6       4.26       25       17.73         MARCH       1       9       6.12       26       17.69       12       8.16         9       14       16.87       15       18.07			14 20						2.00	-44	32.45	36	26.87
1906       JAN.       4       2       1.02       4       2.03       37       10.76       44       22.34         11       34       23.45       21       14.48         25       37       17.96       40       19.42         FEB.       1       20       13.79       30       20.69         8       39       27.27       26       18.18         15       2       2.02       10       10.10         22       4       2.84       6       4.26       25       17.73         MARCH       1       9       6.12       26       17.69       12       8.16         9       14       16.87       15       18.07	1066	TAN	20			<b>1</b>	1 02	4	2.33	27	18 78	44	22.34
11 $34$ $23.43$ $21$ $14.40$ $25$ $37$ $17.96$ $40$ $19.42$ $FEB.$ $1$ $20$ $13.79$ $30$ $20.69$ $8$ $39$ $27.27$ $26$ $18.18$ $15$ $2$ $2.02$ $10$ $10.10$ $22$ $4$ $2.84$ $6$ $4.26$ $25$ $17.73$ MARCH $1$ $9$ $6.12$ $26$ $17.69$ $12$ $8.16$ $9$ $14$ $16.87$ $15$ $18.07$	1900	JAN.	4			2	1.02	4	2.03	2/	22 45	21	14.48
FEB.       1       20       13.79       30       20.69         8       39       27.27       26       18.18         15       2       2.02       10       10.10         22       4       2.84       6       4.26       25       17.73         MARCH       1       9       6.12       26       17.69       12       8.16         9       14       16.87       15       18.07			25 TT							37	17.96	40	19.42
8       39       27.27       26       18.18         15       2       2.02       10       10.10         22       4       2.84       6       4.26       25       17.73         MARCH       1       9       6.12       26       17.69       12       8.16         9       14       16.87       15       18.07		FFD	2J 1							20	13.79	30	20.69
15       2       2.02       10       10.10         22       4       2.84       6       4.26       25       17.73         MARCH       1       9       6.12       26       17.69       12       8.16         9       14       16.87       15       18.07			8							39	27.27	26	18.18
22       4       2.84       6       4.26       25       17.73         MARCH 1       9       6.12       26       17.69       12       8.16         9       14       16.87       15       18.07			15							2	2.02	10	10.10
MARCH 1 9 6.12 26 17.69 12 8.16 9 14 16.87 15 18.07			22			4	2.84			- 6	4.26	25	17.73
9 14 16.87 15 18.07		MARCH	1			9	6.12			26	17.69	12	8.16
			9							14	16.87	15	18.07

- 125/6 -

X

and the second 
ensemprenentiers - serve m<u>eaners</u>actors and and an a black a darbar of the serve of the

Figures 17-30 (pp. 129-142) Tables 13-14 (pp. 127; 143-144)

6

ERIC

1

222 different structured games were recorded in a Jerusalem high-level school, and 168 in a Jerusalem low-level school. 72 of these games were common to both schools.

The games were classified into broad categories, determined by similarities in their general set-up. In many cases, these similarities are reflected in the names of the games, but this is not always the case. Thus, amongst over 20 varieties of tag, we have encountered names such as "tickle tag", "colours tag", "poles tag", "redeemers tag", but also "witch", "old grandpa" and "alarm clock". (These represent different variants of the game, and not simply different names by which the same game is known.)

Figures 17-30 and Table 13 present the ten most frequently played classes of games out of the total of 56 classes of games played in one or both of the two schools. Six of these classes were amongst the first ten in both schools, and tag was the most predominant in both the high-level and the low-level school. "Tops", which was eighth in predominance in the low-level school, was not recorded at all in the high-level school, while the gameclass representing games which are combinations of hide-and-seek and tag, was fifth in predominance in the high-level school but not represented at all in the low-level school. The following Table 13 presents the ten most frequently played classes of games in the two schools, in order of predominance.

High-level School	Low-level School
Variants of:	Variants of:
1. Tag	1. Tag
2. Jump rope	2. Soccer
3. Basketball	3. Dances
4. Soccer	4. Jump rope
5. Hide-and-seek-tag	5. Jacks (five stones)
6. Japanese Elastic (Gummi)	6. Clapping hands+coordination
7. Apricot pits	7. Hopscotch
8. Leap-frog	8. Tops
9. Clapping hands+coordination	9. Leap-frog
10. Dances	10. Marbles

TAI	<b>3LE</b>	13

TEN MOST FREQUENTLY PLAYED CLASSES OF GAMES IN ONE HIGH-LEVEL AND ONE LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL

- 127 -

For games played mainly by one sex, <u>Figures 17-30</u> record only the players of the predominant sex. It may be seen that in most cases, the data of the two schools correspond in this respect. There is also considerable correspondence between the schools with respect to the age range and age predominance in the different classes of games. These aspects of the data will be discussed in the following sections, Boys and Girls Playing Together and Apart, and Age GroupsPlaying Together and Apart.

ERIC.



1

**G** 

ويا

C

ERIC

FIGURE 17

PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS OF VARIANTS OF TAG INAHIGH-LEVEL AND ALOW-LEVEL SCHOOLAS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES, SEPARATELY FOR EACH SEX

- 129 -



>

ERIC

FIGURE 18

PERCENT B O Y P L A Y E R S AND G I R L P L A Y E R S WHO D A N C E D IN A H I G H - L E V E L AND A L O W -L E V E L SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES, SEPARATELY FOR EACH SEX

· \_



PERCENT OF B O Y P L A Y E R S AND G I R L P L A Y E R S OF V A R I A N T S O F L E A P - F R O G IN A H I G H -L E V E L AND A L O W - L E V E L SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES, SEPARATELY FOR EACH SEX

1

G



PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS OF VARIANTS OF APRICOT - PIT GAMES ("AJU") IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES, SEPARATELY FOR EACH SEX

Ø

**(**)



PERCENT OF B O Y P L A Y E R S WHO PLAYED V A R I A N T S O F M A R B L E G A M E S IN A H I G H - L E V E L AND A L O W - L E V E L SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES



PERCENT OF BOYPLAYERS WHOPLAYEDVARIANTS OFSOCCERINAHIGH-LEVEL ANDALOW-LEVEL SCHOOLASA FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES

- 134 -

ERIC Aruli Text Provided by ERIC

ñ



PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF BASKET BALL IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES

- 135 -

ERIC FUIL ENTERPOINTED

 $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 

D



PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS INAHIGH-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PLAYED GAMES WHICH ARE COMBINATIONS OF HIDE-AND-SEEKAND TAG IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES

2 ...

- 136 -



4

C





- 137 -



S.





ERIC

Æ



PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS FIVE STONES (JACKS) IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES

- 139 -

Φ



PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED HAND CLAPPING AND COORDINATION GAMES INA HIGH-LEVEL ANDA LOW-LEVELSCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES



PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED VARIANTS OF THE GAME OF "JAPANESE ELASTIC" ("GUMMI") IN AHIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES

- 141 -

0



Ø

j

 $\mathcal{D}$ 

ERIC

FIGURE 30

PERCENT OF G I R L P L A Y E R S WHO PLAYED V A R I A N T S O F H O P S C O T C H IN A H I G H - L E V E L AND A L O W - L E V E L SCHOOL AS A FUNCTION OF GRADE, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE GRADES

- 142 -

## TABLE 14

4

CATEGCALES NUMBER AND PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS WHC PLAYEC GAMES FALLING INTO THE SPECIFIED 3RJAD Cut of total number of players of structured games, 3y grade and sex

•

	707		HIGH-	S IHAHI S	CHOOL			I-MOI	LEVEL S	CHOOL	
	TUC		-	GRADES				Ľ	EPADEC		
		1+2	3+4	5+6	7+8	TCTAL	1+2	3+6	5+6	7+8	TOTAL
T A G											
	BOYS	542	412	110	38	1102	623	551	104	48	1225
N	CIRLS	101	256	135	59	1044	361		1012	52	1007
	BOTH	1056	7:8	245	15	2146	793	941	407	62	2232
	BOYS	34.28	24.55	11.48	3.48	20.75	40 23	07 77	2 2 5 5	27 2	0% 76
R	GIRLS	34.13	21.42	15.35	16.67	24.85	20.02	75 41	17.61		Ci • 47 35 1 4
D A KOE O	BOTH	34.23	23.14	13.54	6.70	22.54	43.43	34.00	15.14	7.72	26.38
DANCED	DUVC	C	; 2	14	ſ	•					
N.	CTDT C	21	10	110	71	40	21	32	o	0	53
4		 ) (	- 6		10	cuz	241	248	244	26	759
	HJOA	1,	02	150	18	249	262	280	244	26	812
	BOYS	0.10	C.77	3.24	<b>C.1</b> 8	13.0	2.35	07 0			•
×	GIRLS	1.91	2.68	13.97	4.52	4.82	25.86	16 16			10 00 10 00
•	BOTH	0.57	1.63	8.29	1.24	2.62	14.25	01.01	00.00	8.75	18.95
NEW DONE	CEY							71.01	2.00	7.21	9.60
	BOYS	01	5	115	65	205	c	C	!	(	
N	GIRLS	Ģ	2	ŝ	26	113	<b>)</b> (	<b>7</b> (	14	30	86
ì	BOTH	10	17	200	16	313	~ ~	22	31	90	85
						•	J	1	2	00	717
7	BOYS	0.63	53.	12.00	5.55	3.56	00.00	0.73	3.75	3,40	1 02
R	GIRLS	00.00	0.14	9.98	7.34	2.68	0.21	1.43	2.50		
	BOTH	0.31	<b>G</b> • 5 £	11.05	<b>6.2</b> <sup>3</sup>	3.34	0-11	1.12			71.7
APRICOT	PITS								2017	<b>200</b>	20.02
	BOYS	58	112	33	m	206	23	22			
N	GIRLS	28	54	90	ŝ	115	י ע	r u r	7,	רי סי	271
	BOTH	ε¢	166	63	¢	321	<b>7</b> 6	104	1	90	2 C C
						•	5	~	Ş	5	151
5	BOYS	3.67	6.67	3.44	6.27	<b>3</b> •38	3.69	3.57	2.90	1.02	2.87
R	CTALO	1. 1.3	15.2	3.52	<b>C.85</b>	2.73	0.32	0.33	0-08		0-22
	HTOL	2.69	5.42	3.48	C.41	3.37	1.97	1.77	1.60	0.76	1.62
										) • • >	• • •

# CONTINUATION TABLE 14,

.

С.

	CATEGORY		HIGH-	LEVEL S(	100H			LOW	-LEVEL	SCHOOL	
			-	GRADES					GRADES		
		1+2	3+4	5+6	7+8	TOTAL	1+2	3+4	5+6	7+8	TOTAL
	MARBLES N % BOYS	2323 1.45	27 1.61	15 1.57	8 0.73	73 1.37	7 0.78	19 1.54	83 5.74 (	61 5 <b>.</b> 92	170 3 <b>.</b> 82
	SOCCER N % BOYS	74 4.68	182 10.85	73 7.62	206 18,85	535 10 <b>.</b> 08	11 · 1 <b>.</b> 23	203 16 <b>.</b> 46	370 25 <b>.</b> 57	342 38 <b>.</b> 78	926 20.78
_144_	BASKETBALL N % BOYS	5 0.32	82 4.89	145 15 <b>.</b> 14	427 39.07	659 12 <b>.</b> 41	1 0.11	19 1 <b>.</b> 54	41 2.83	72 8.16	133 2.98
	HIDE-AND-SEEK-TAG N % BOYS	313 19 <b>.</b> 80	99 5 <b>.</b> 90	9 0.94	0.00	421 7.93		1			
	TOPS N % BOYS	•					14 1.57	32 2.60	75 5.18	55° 6.24	176 3.95
	JUMPROPE N GIRLS	492 30 <b>.</b> 35	464 33 <b>.</b> 57	148 17.37	19 5.37	1123 26.68	38 4 <b>.</b> 08	223 14 <b>.</b> 53	211 17.00	38 12.79	510 12.73
	FIVE STONES N GIRLS	2 0.12	34 2.46	31 3.64	00.00	67 1.59	18 1 <b>.</b> 93	84 5.47	156 12.57	46 15 <b>.</b> 49	304 7.59
	HAND CLAPPING N % GIRLS	68 4 <b>.</b> 19	100 7.24	26 3 <b>.</b> 05	32 9.04	226 5.37	86 9.23	154 10.03	106 8.54	24 8 <b>.</b> 08	370 9.24
	JAPANESE ELASTIC N GIRLS HODSCONCU	177 10.92	69 4.99	38 4.46	10 2.82	294 6 <b>.</b> 99	6 0.64	18 1 <b>.</b> 17	6 0.48	00.00	30 0.75
		39 2 <b>.</b> 41	1.66	48 5 <b>.</b> 63	15 4.24	125 2.97	61 6.55	132 8.60	36 2 <b>.</b> 90	7 2.36	236 5 <b>.</b> 89

ERIC Pruitsat Provided by ERIC

#### BOYS AND GIRLS PLAYING TOGETHER AND APART

A. PLAYING TOGETHER

Figures 31-32 (pp. 146-147) Table 14-15 (pp. 144; 148)

Prevalent claims that there is no interaction between the sexes during latency (see p. 81 for references), were disconfirmed in both stages of this investigation. It may be seen in Figure 31 and Table 15 that there is a decline in the extent to which boys and girls play together during mid-childhood, but interaction does persist at all ages. As expected, socialization processes affect the extent of interaction to a very considerable degree: there is less interaction between the sexes in the lowlevel than in the high-level schools. In the two Arab schools, though they are coeducational and the children mix in class, there are practically no sex heterogeneous play groups. Figure 32 shows that the extent of mixed playing in the local kibbutz school of our sample is by far greater than anywhere. The trend in the excent // interaction in play over different age groups is also at variance with that in all other schools. Interestingly enough, this particular rate and trend is only apparent in the local kibbutz school, whose population consists of children who spend most of their time together and live and sleep in children's homes. On the other hand, the trends regarding mixed play in the regional kibbutz school, in which children from different kibbutzim meet during school hours only, do not vary from those of other high-level schools.

Going back to Figure 31, it is interesting to note that both in the high-level and in the low-level schools, more girls play with boys than boys do with girls, at all age levels. Furthermore, this tendency tends to decrease in the middle school years and increases again in the upper grades, particularly in the high-level schools. The age range in which girls tend to play their own games is eight through twelve years, which corresponds rather well with the narrowing gap between boys and girls in extent of mixed playing in the middle school years. We shall return to this point in our discussions of games typical to different age groups, on p. 192.



đ

()

0

6

ERIC

FIGURE 31

PERCENT OF B O Y P L A Y E R S IN H I G H - L E V E L AND L O W - L E V E L SCHOOLS, IN SUCCESSIVE SCHOOL GRADES, WHO PLAYED IN S E X - H E T E R O G E N E O U S G R O U P S, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE, AND PERCENT OF G I R L P L A Y E R S WHO PLAYED IN SUCH GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

- 146 -



l

ŀ

ERIC

FIGURE 32

PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS BY GRADE, IN THE LOCAL KIBBUTZ SCHOOL, WHO PLAYED IN SEX-HETEROGE-NEOUS GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE, AND PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS WHO PLAYED IN SUCH GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GIRL PLAY-ERS IN EACH GRADE -147 - NUMBER AND PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

TABLE 15

Ø

Û

ERIC AFUILTERST PROVIDED BY ERIC WHO PLAY IN SEX HETEROGENOUS GROUPS OUT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE Percent of Girl Players who play in Such Groups out of total number of Girl Players in Each Grade TOTAL AND

					פצר	2 2 2					
		7	7	m	4	Ś	Q	٢	Ø		
Z	OF BOYS	1344	1323	914	776	765	886	748	336	7092	
	GIRLS	1308	1377	908	886	744	745	624	284	6876	
	B0TH	2652	2700	1822	1662	1509	1631	1372	620	13968	
HIGH		۲ ۲ ۲	2 I C	4 66	20.5	23.9	25.2	20.5	12.7	24.8	
%			0 T O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	76.4	22.6	25.9	27.9	33.8	35.1	30.0	
_	61315	50 A		1 - T - C	21-6	24.8	26.4	25.0	17.9	27.1	
	H LO		0.30				1				
<b>z</b> 148	DE RPYS	401	455	428	440	413	375	298	173	2983	
		471	556	557	633	595	508	432	189	3941	
• •	BOTH	872	1011	985	1073	1008	883	730	362	6924	
LOW &	RUYS	12.8	12.1	10.5	9 <b>.</b> 9	0*6	6°6	9.2	7.5	10.2	
•	CIRIS	17.8	14.8	14.1	14.4	16.9	17.7	22.3	22.3	16.5	
	BOTH	15.1	13.4	12.2	12.1	12.4	13.3	14.1	11.5	13.0	
							071	07	55	898	
Z	DF BOYS	82	128	112	1126	101	001	300	76	793	
	GIRLS BOTH	116 198	109 237	co 171	239	294	321	94	131	1691	
KIBEUTZ		r Q	5, 7	1 77	64.3	59.6	47.1	12.9	18.8	40.6	
8	BUIS	00.1		31.6	48.7	59.6	51.0	19.0	71.0	52.2	
	HLUH	67.6	.00 .00	41.4	-55.8	59.6	48.9	14.6	32.8	45.3	

#### B. PLAYING APART

#### 1. TYPICAL BOYS' AND GIRLS' GAMES

Figures 17-30; (pp. 129-142) Table 15;16; (pp. 148; 149)

Games in which at least two-thirds of the participants, in each of two schools -- the high-level and the low-level Jerusalem schools -- were of one sex, were classified as one-sexed games. As a matter of fact, in most cases 85 percent or more of the players were of one sex. Only games played by at least 50 participants during the complete observation period were included in this classification. The total number of participants in these games account for over 50 percent of play participants in structured games.

When classified into broad categories (see pp.127, above), 20 different categories were obtained, 14 of which were boys' games and 6 were girls' games. Some of these game classes are presented in <u>Figures 17-30</u> according to their distribution by sex and age in both schools. The following <u>Table 16</u> presents the game classes thus obtained.

#### TABLE 16

#### PREDOMINANT CLASSES OF BOYS'

AND GIRLS' GAMES PLAYED IN TWO SCHOOLS

Boys'Games	Girls' Games
Variants of:	Variants of:
1. Basketball	1. Jump rope
2. Soccer	2. Japanese Rlastic (Gummi)
3. Hide-and-seek-tag	3. Hand clapping and coordination
4. Wrestling	4. Dances
5. Marbles	5. Hopscotch
6. Chicken war	6. Jacks (five stones)
7. Carrying games	
8. Catch	
9. Tops	
10. Hand catch	
11. Jumping on each others	feet
12. Apricot pits	
13. Mock soccer	
14. Head ball	

- 149 -

é.)

An additional 14 classes of games, in nine of which boys predominated and in five girls, are not included in the classification presented in <u>Table 16</u>, since fewer than 50 players participated in them. An analysis of the data from our 12 additional schools will probably enable a reliable classification of most of these game categories.

The fact that there were more classes of boys' games than of girls' games is perhaps not surprising, in view of the stronger sex-role-identification attributed to boys. However, this does not mean, as has been sometimes assumed (see, for example, Sutton-Smith, 1965), that more girls play boys' games than vice versa.

The facts we found were the following: (1) about 40 percent of all boy players played in exclusive

(1) about 40 percent of all boy players played in exclusive boys' games (i.e., games in which 95+ percent of participants were boys), while only about 7 percent of girl players played in exclusive girls' games; (2) about 40 percent of all girls played in predominant girls' games (i.e., games in which 95-85 percent of participants were girls), while only some 8 percent of boy players participated in predominant boys' games. This means, obviously, that more boys played in predominant girls' games than girls played in predominant boys' games, contrary to the assumption mentioned. This assumption seems to have been induced by a wrong interpretation of the (correct) impression that many more boys play in exclusive boys' games than girls play in exclusive girls' games.

gran and the strong of the

ERIC FullText Provided by ERIC

#### 2. SEX-TYPED CHARACTERISTICS OF GAMES

Figures 17-30 (pp. 129-142) Table 16 (p. 149)

As a first step in our attempt to get at what is "species-specific" about boys' games and girls' games, we made some overall comparisons based on our records in the two Jerusalem schools. Some interesting differences were thus revealed, which will form the basis for a more systematic and theory-oriented analysis of the data.

Most boys' games are characterized by far more interdependence between the players than most girls' games: only certain variants of two of their games can be played alone, whereas most girls' games can be thus played. Insofar as it exists, the interdependence in girls' games tends to be instrumental in character (e.g., in jump rope -- turning the rope, in versions of hand clapping games -- holding one's hands up), whereas in boys' games it is more often the case that the action of one child will depend on a previous action of another (soccer, marbles, or catch). Hence, the action sequences are by far more precisely predetermined in most girls' games: they are either determined by the first player, or by the conventional rules of the game (the rhymes and movements accompanying jump rope, or the successive steps of hopscotch or jacks). In girls' games a division of roles between players is less commonly found than in boys' games. There are no group games amongst the typical games of girls (except for some of the dances -- which are a category of its own). Boys rarely take turns in their games: rather, the extent and nature of their participation is ascribed by their role (which is presumably determined by previous achievement), by the good will of other children (who choose to whom to throw, or kick, the ball, for example), and by the extent of their own initiative (e.g., in wrestling). In girls' games participation tends to be predetermined by taking turns, either successively, or after failure.

The <u>nature of competition</u> in girls' games also seems to differ from that in boys' games: the latter are more often characterized by definite outcomes of winning and losing, whether of points, or through material gain, whereas girls' games are not so much characterized by a definite end, as by a comparison

- 151 -

of relative achievements when they stop playing; such achievements might be, gaining a preferred position or having lasted through a larger number of moves in the game.

In boys' games there is more direct facing of each other, more physical contact, more expenditure of energy and strength and more opportunity for aggression.

N

÷,

Boys also tend to quarrel more while playing than girls although such quarrels only rarely cause termination of play. On the whole, girls' games last longer than boys'. Girls require less space for most of their games, and prefer hard play surfaces.

Since our record sheets contained information on quarreling, play duration and termination, and play space, we have also carried out a few separate analyses on these variables, some of which will now be presented.

#### QUARREL DURING PLAY

Figures 33-41 (pp. 154-162) Table: 17 (pp. 163-165)

All incidents of quarrel during play were recorded. When the quarrel led to the termination of the game, this was recorded, too.

Boys quarrel more often than girls during play. Contrary to expectations, however, there is more quarreling in high-level schools than in low-level schools (compare <u>Figure 33</u> and <u>34</u>). Kibbutz children (<u>Figure 35</u>) tend to quarrel far more frequently than other children. (It should be noticed that <u>Figure 35</u> is drawn to half the scale of the two preceding Figures.) This is in accord with reported findings on the relatively high frequency in incidence of quarrel amongst siblings and best friends.

We hypothesized that since quarrel results, among other reasons, also from disharmony in interests and great differences in abilities amongst the players, it should occur more frequently in the heterogeneous than in homogeneous groups. A comparison of the extent of quarrel of boys and girls who play in sexhomogeneous as compared with sex-heterogeneous groups (Figures 36 and 37), in age-homogeneous and age-heterogeneous groups (Figures 38 and 39) and in age- and sex-homogeneous groups (Figure 40) shows that homogeneity leads in all cases to some reduction in quarreling. The most outstanding difference in this respect is between the extent of quarreling in groups in which girls play alone (Figure 36) as against the much higher extent of quarreling in sex-heterogeneous groups (Figure 37): x = 7.7% as agains "X · 15.8%. It does not appear that girls who play with boys reduce the extent to which boys quarrel (x = 14.3% of boys playing alone, as against  $\bar{x} = 15.7\%$ /participants in sex-heterogeneous groups). It does not appear, on the other hand, that there is less quarreling when boys play with girls than when they play alone.

It is interesting to realize how rarely quarrel, whether internal, (Figure 41) or such that is caused by interference of non-players, in fact leads to termination of play (Table 17).

- 153 -



FIGURE 33 PERCENT OF BOYPLAYERS ANDGIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN THE HIGH-LEVEL SCHOOLS, WHOQUARREL-ED DURING PLAY, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

- 154 -

٦.



T

•

ERIC Pur last provided by EBIC FIGURE 34

PERCENT OF B O Y P L A Y E R S AND G I R L P L A Y E R S BY GRADE IN THE L O W - L E V E L SCHOOLS, WHO Q U A R R E L-E D DURING PLAY, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

- 155 -

ł



FIGURE 35 PERCENT OF B O Y P L A Y E R S AND G I R L F L A Y E R S BY GRADE IN THE K I B B U T Z SCHOOLS, WHO Q U A R R E L E D DURING PLAY, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE :

- 156 -





PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHO QUARRELED WHEN PLAYING INSEX-HOMOGENEOUS GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN SUCH GROUPS IN EACH GRADE

- 157 -





PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHO QUARRELED WHEN PLAYING IN SEX-HETEROGENEOUS GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN SUCH GROUPS IN EACH GRADE

' - 158 -



PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHO QUARRELED WHEN PLAYING IN AGE-HOMOGENEOUS GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN SUCH GROUPS IN EACH GRADE

> - 159 -381




FIGURE 39 PLAYERS AND PERCENT OF ВОЧ GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN SCHOOLS, WHO QUARRELED ALL WHEN PLAYING IN A G E - H E T E R O G E N E O U S GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN SUCH GROUPS IN EACH GRADE

- 160 -

ERIC



PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHO QUARRELED WHEN PLAYING IN AGE-AND SEX-HOMOGENEOUS GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAY-ERS IN SUCH GROUPS IN EACH GRADE

ERIC



PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS WHOSE PLAY WAS TERMINATED BY INTERNAL QUARREL, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE.

62

TOTAL NUMBER AND PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE WHO QUARRELED DURING PLAY IN THE HIGH-LEVEL, THE LOW-LEVEL AND THE KIBBUTZIM SCHOOLS, AND IN ALL SCHOOLS -- IN THE GROUPS SPECIFIED, AND NUMBER AND PERCENT PARTICIPANTS WHOSE PLAY WAS TERMINATED THROUGH "INTERNAL" OR "EXTERNAL" QUARREL

¥ >

**M** 

. F

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

8
ы
ф
Z
D
Z

	AGE & SEX HCMOG	4914 1786	502 73	662 113	498 262	737 228	739 326	754 299 672	300 350 185	
	ЗЕХ НОЙ- ССЬ.	6865 2784	06 911	1055	777 335	927 318	970 524	1017 519 879	517 464 290	
	АСА НОМ- О <b>G</b> Ы.	6036 3001	579 146	751 191	683 448	868 402	873 499	906 445 876	500 366 366	
	ЕССКҮ:	BOYS GIRLS	BOYS GIRLS	BCYS GIRLS	BCYS GIRLS	BDYS GIRLS	BDY S GIRLS	BCYS GIRLS Bnys	GIRLS BDYS GIRLS	
	САТ	TOTAL	ω	5	ۍ م	ۍ م	4 D	о С	7 7	
INATION EXT- ERN- AL	548 335 333	31 43 43	61 31 92	123 24	147 90	67 157	57 77 134	92 81 173	62 52 114	32 41 73
TERM INT- ERN- AL	1414 738 2152	88 13 101	194 43 237	162 60	222 160	90	216 123 339	235 139 374	185 201 386	174 69 243
AGE HET- ERO.	2414 1490 3904	308 39 347	421 102 523	333 126	459 25 <b>4</b>	159 413	279 264 543	341 317 658	302 311 613	176 172 348
SEX HLT- ERO.	1585 1707 3292	111 95 206	117 102 219	239 239	478 195	243	182 239 421	230 243 473	299 298 597	212 248 460
ALJ. KTB- BUTZ	1704 614 2318	327 46 373	428 34 462	225 145	370	62 62 212	148 90 238	193 103 296	164 84 248	69 50 119
AL.L T.Ow	3533 1682 5215	348 73 421	452 124 576	387 210	597 660	292	589 310 899	480 290 770	470 222 692	257 161 418
ALT H1GH	4864 2769 7633	524 102 626	717 150 867	623 364	987 522	267 829	556 453 1009	767 468 1235	700 590 1290	415 375 790
ску:	BCYS GIRLS A L L	BCYS GIRLS A L L	BCYS GIRLS A L L	BDYS GIRLS	A L L	GIRLS A L L	BGYS GIRLS A L L	BDYS GIRLS A L L	BCYS GIRLS A L L	BCYS GIRLS A L L
САТЫС	TCTAL	ω	2	ور د	œ	ŝ	4 7	۳ ۲	ц Ч	1

-163-

<b>WINUATION</b>
17, CON
TABLE

ERIC Autilizer Provided by ERIC

				TCTAL	17.2	12.3	15.0		12.2	7.1	6•6		34.6	19.9	29.0		14.3	7.7
				Ø	20.3	13.1	18.6		15.4	8.7	13.6		52.1	23.4	45.2		17.4	7.6
	<b></b> , ·		ш S	7	20.0	8.2	16.0		14.3	<b>6.</b> 5	11.3		40.1	10.9	33.5		18.1	7.0
a			A	¢	18.0	13.5	16.3		16.2	7.3	<b>J</b> •5		34 . 3	27.7	31.4		<b>6</b> • <u>C1</u>	7.8
		UATION	F	Ś	17.8	9°6	13.8		12.0	8.4	10.4		26.1	12.8	20.0		14.0	6.3
		17, CONTIN	E N CPADEC	GRAUES	14.8	11.7	13.2		13.3	7.1	10.2		23.7	19.7	22.0		13.8	7.7
		TABLE	U É	3	18.9	12.9	16.1		11.9	7.4	9•6		33•8	25.2	30.2		14.9	8.3
			E	2	17.0	14.6	15.9		12.6.	6.0	9•3		31.2	19.0	25.6	Sd	14.2	8.7
			٩	1	11.8	12.8	12.2		8•3	6 <b>.</b> 1	7.3	MIZIO	25.6	19.3	22.5	NOUS GROU	9•4	7.6
				ALL HIGH	BOYS	GIRLS	ΑΓΓ	ALL LOW	BOYS	GIRLS	ALL	ALL KIB9	BCYS	GIRLS	ΑίΓ	SEX HOMOGE	BOYS	GIRLS

-164-

CONTINUATION
17,
PABLE

ា

,

ш 5	7 8 TCTAL	11.2 21.8 15.7	9.7 20.1 15.8	10.4 21.0 15.8		15.2 16.1 13.9	6.5 11.4 8.6		21.7 22.5 16.7		
A	Ŷ	19.0	19.1	19.0	•	12.5	6*6		18.2	12.6	
T	S	16.6	18.1	17.4		14.4	8.1		14.2	10.9	
E N GRADES	4	15.0	15.7	15.4		13.7	8.1		15.1	12.0	
IN I	m	17.1	16.6	16.9		15.2	8.3		15.4	13.5	
E R OUPS	2	16.8	15.4	16.1	PS	14.8	9.2	SAUC	14.9	13.2	
P JGENEOUS GR	-1	12.1	13.9	13.1	NEOUS GROU	9•6	8.9	GENEDUS GRI	12.1	11.6	
SEX HETER		BOYS	GIRLS	ΑΓΓ	AGE HOMOGE	BOYS	GIRLS	AGE HETERO	BOYS	GIRLS	•

-165-.

14.5

------

### LENGTH OF PLAY AND PLAY TERMINATION

Figures 42-47 (pp. 167-172) Table 18 (pp. 173-175)

1

The duration of a game of each play group was recorded as either "short" -- five minutes or less -- or "long" -- over five minutes and up to ten minutes (the duration of the recess period). As Figure 42 and Table 18 indicate, girls' games last longer than boys' in most school grades, in both the high-level and the low-level schools. Both boys and girls in the high-level schools play "long" games more frequently than boys or girls in the low-level schools. Games terminate either because they come to their natural end or because they just "fade out" (Figures 43-44, Table 18), or, and this is the most frequent reason, games are stopped during recess because of the cruel ringing of the schoolbell (Figure 46, Table 18), or finally, because of a number of other minor reasons, specified in the record sheet. Sometimes, after a game terminates (if not caused by the bell), a new play of the same game or even another game is started (Figure 45, Table 18).

It is important to realize, as we have discovered in observations conducted after school hours (not included in this Report), that at least in the case of low-level children, about two thirds of their games do not last for more than ten minutes even when there is no schoolbell that interferes with the serious business of playing!

We have already seen that internal quarrel or external interference of other children rarely leads to play termination (Figure 41, p. 162). It also appears that teachers rarely interfere in children's games during recess; neither does sudden rain or wind often interfere with the play of Israeli children: on rainy days, they tend to remain indoors, to begin with.

It is interesting to compare <u>Figure 46</u> with <u>Figure 47</u>. The strong similarities in the shape of the curves of the graphs of "length of the game" and "schoolbell" are indicative of the reliability of our results. It may be reasonably assumed that a positive correlation exists between the proportion of "long" games and the proportion of games terminated by the schoolbell. This correlation stands out clearly in the Figures representing these two variables, <u>which were recorded independently</u>.

- 166 -



PLAYERS PERCENT OF AND GIRL R S BOY P L Y E A L L H I G H - L E V E L AND L O W - L E V I PLAYED L O N G (5 - 10 MINUTES) G A M E S, V.E L BY GRADE IN A SCHOOLS, WHO OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

- 167 -





PERCENT OF ВОЧ PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL HIGH-LEVEL SCHOOLS, WHOSE PLAY WAS TERMINATED BY ITS NATURAL END OR BY FADING OUT, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

> - 168 -168



FIGURE 44 PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL LOW - LEVEL SCHOOLS, WHOSE PLAY WAS TERMINATED BY ITS NATURAL END OR BY FADING OUT, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

- 169 -



ĕ

Ì



FIGURE 45 PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS ANDALL PLAYERS IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHOSE PLAY WAS TERMINATED BY STARTING A NEW GAME, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

- 170 -



Ľ,



PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS ANDALL PLAYERS BY GRADE INALL SCHOOLS WHOSE PLAY WAS TERMINATED BY THE SCHOOL-BELL, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

- 171 -

ی ہے۔ مور کا ایک ایک ایک میں میں میں میں میں م

ERIC



ERIC.

FIGURE 47

PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHO PLAYED LONG (5 - 10 MINUTES) GAMES, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

- 172 -

## TABLE 18

NUMBER OF PLAYERS WHO PLAYED "LONG" GAMES AND WHOSE GAMES TERMINATED FOR SPECIFIED CAUSES AND THEIR PERCENTAGES OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS

## NUMBER

	بيها فعليه بترجيع مرادار فكفر منكة أفكة الإنت	و منهو منهای بر منه این منه این و این	يى بارى مى	وي حولي مراجع المراجع الجول الجمع عنها المراجع المراجع المراجع	REASC	INS FOR	TERMINATI	<u>ON</u>
		11	LONG" GAM	ES .	NATURA FADLD	L END OR OUT	NEW GAME	BELL
SCHO	0LS	ALL HIGH	AI,L I.OW	ALL SCHOOLS	ALL HIGH	ALL LOW	ALI. SCHOOLS	ALL SCHOOI
TOTAL	BOYS Girls A l l	20244 16833 37077	16595 14404 30999	36839 31237 68076	3876 2574 6450	7237 4797 12034	4030 3852 7882	37593 32271 69864
8	BOYS Girls A l l	1947 489 2436	1380 443 1823	3327 932 4259	276 117 393	588 198 786	294 208 502	3366 967 4333
7 G	BOYS GIRLS A L L	2594 1356 3950	1772 1291 3063	4366 2647 7013	439 181 620	820 320 1140	461 330 791	4538 2705 7243
6 R	BOYS GIRLS A L L	2553 2116 4669	2293 1922 4215	4846 4038 8884	430 258 688	786 452 1238	470 288 758	4980 4187 9167
5 A	BOYS GIRLS A L L	2364 2399 4763	2678 2354 5032	5042 4753 9795	404 198 602	934 610 1544	529 358 887	5266 4750
4 D	BOYS Girls A l l	2540 3023 5563	2483 2592 5075	5023 5615 10638	550 341 891	1103 803 1906	629 714 1343	5234 5957
3 E	BOYS Girls A l l	2942 2669 5611	2305 2349 4654	5247 5018 10265	505 344 849	992 769 1761	585 699 1284	5266 5260
2	BOYS Girls A l l	2957 2869 5826	1973 2035 4008	4930 4904 9834	605 560 1165	1234 958 2192	499 719	4852 5028
1	BOYS Girls A L L	2347 1912 4259	1711 1418 3129	4058 3330 7388	667 575 1242	780 687 1467	563 536	4091 3417

• \*



þ

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

TABLE 18, CONTINUATION

		٩	ш	æ	N C	E N GRADES	⊢	٩	ш 9		
	LONG" GAMES	1	2	(1)	<b>"</b>	4	Ŋ	Ś	۲	Ø	TCTAL
	ALL HIGH										
	BOYS	66.3	71.4	72	8	67.7	74.5	13.5	72.1	74.7	71.6
<b>-</b> '	GIRLS	65.4	71.5	73	.1	78.0	84.0	80.1	74.0	61.9	74.6
174	ΑΓΓ	65.9	71.5	72	6•	72.9	5.3	76.6	72.7	71.8	72.9
-	ALL LOW										
	BUYS	55.8	53.1	57	• 2	56.2	58°ð	6C.8	55.8	61.1	57.3
	GIRLS	54.0	54.9	60	• 5	59.4	67.6	67.2	61.9	53.1	60.9
	ALL	55.0	54.0	58	8	57.8	62°7	£3.6	60.3	58.9	58.9
	ALL SCHCOL	U)									
	BOYS	61.4	62.8	65	0•0	61.5	65.5	67.1	64.4	68.4	64.3
	GIRLS	60-0	63.6	66	<b>6</b>	68.2	75.0	73.4	10.5	57.4	67.6
	ΑΓΓ	60.8	63.2	65	5.8	64.9	69.8	69 <b>.</b> 8	66.7	65•6	65.8

• \*

-----

•

. تىر

1

ſ^

[0]

CONTINUATION
18,
TABLE

					TABLE	18, CONT	INUATION			
		<b>C.</b> ,⊶,	S E	a IN W	E N GRADES 4	μ Γ	A E	G E	œ	TCTAL
	T L R M I N NATURAL END	A T I O N ) OR FADED (	LUO			•				
,	ALL HI	ВH								
•	BOYS	19.0	14.7	12.6	14.8	12.8	12.4	12.2	10.6	13.8
	GIRLS	19.7	13.9	9•5	8 • 8	7.0	8°5 .	6•6	15.2	11.4
	АГГ	19.3	14.3	11.1	11.7	10.0	11.3	11.4	11.7	12.7
	ALL LOI	2								
- 1	BUYS	25.6	33 <b>•</b> 3	24.8	25.2	20.5	2C•5	26.0	26.3	25.1
175	GIRLS	26.5	25.7	19.6	18.4	17.6	16.1	16.9	23.9	20.3
-	A L L New Game	26.0	29•5	22.2	21.8	E• 51	18.8	22.6	25•6	22.9
	ALL SCH	COL S	,					·		
	BOYS	8.6	6.4	7.3	7.8	ć•9	6 • 5	<b>ć .</b> 8	6.1	7.1
	GIRLS	6.7	6*3	9 <b>.</b> 3	8.7	5.7	5 • •	8•9	13.0	8.4
	ΑΙΓ	9.1	7.8	8 <b>.</b> 3	8.2	6 <b>.</b> 3	6 • C	7.6	7.8	7.6
	BELL				·	·				
	ALL SCHC	STD								
	BOYS	62 • 3	61.9	65 <b>.</b> 8	64.6	68.3	69 <b>•C</b>	67.3	69•6	65.9
	GIRLS	62 <b>•0</b>	64.8	69•6	72.3	75.3	0.77	72.7	60.4	70.0
	ΑΓΓ	62.2	63.4	67.6	68 <b>•</b> 5	71.5	72.4	69.2	67.3	67.7

: '

٠

•

. . .

Figures 48-55 (pp. 177-184) Table 19 (pp. 185-187)

17.

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

More children play on paved surfaces (Figures 48-49 and Table 19) than on any other kind of surface. In fact, 40 percent of the high-level children and 49 percent of the lowlevel children play on such surfaces, although there is no doubt that the proportions of paved to unpaved surfaces at school are smaller. It should be noted also that in practically all cases more girls play on hard surfaces than do boys (see also Figures 50-51), whereas the reverse is true of the softer surface of natural soil (Figures 52-53). Contrary to what might have been expected, girls do not, on the whole, play more frequently indoors than boys (Figures 54-55). Other types of surface mentioned in the record sheet were little used except for the lawn in kibbutz schools, where it covers a considerable part of the play surface, and was played on by 22.4 percent boy players and by 9.1 percent girl players (note that "lawn" is, again, not a hard surface).

A comparison between the Figures of the high-level and low-level schools often shows surprisingly similar trends with age. While examining the Figures it should be noted that they are not all drawn to the same scale.



PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL HIGH-LEVEL SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED ON PAVED GROUND OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS

- 177 -

ERIC.



FIGURE 49 PERCENT OF BOYPLAYERS, GIRLPLAYERS AND ALLPLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL LOW-LEVEL SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED ON PAVED GROUND OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS

- 178 -



ý



FIGURE 50 BOY PLAYERS AND

PERCENT OF GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL HIGH-LEVEL SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED ON S E M I – P A V E D ("KURKAR") G R O U N D OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS

> - 179 -6 5 4

I



FIGURE 51 BOY PLAYERS PERCENT OF AND GIRL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL LOW-LEVEL WHO PLAYED ON SCHOOLS. SEMI-PAVED ("KURKAR") GROUNDOUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS AND GIRL PLAYERS





FIGURE 52 PERCENT OF B O Y P L A Y E R S, G I R L P L A Y E R S AND A L L P L A Y E R S BY GRADE IN ALL H I G H - L E V E L SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED ON N A T U R A L S O I L OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS

> - 181 -; 8 ;



13

ERIC

GURE FΙ

53 s, YERS PLA GIRL PERCENT OF ER Y P L B Y 0 EVEL 0 W L L -IN ALL SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED ON NATURAL SOIL OUT OF THE AND ALL PLAIER TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS

· · · ·

- 182 -

· •



FIGURE 54 PERCENT OF B O Y P L A Y E R S, G I R L P L A Y E R S A A L L P L A Y E R S BY GRADE IN ALL H I G H - L E V E L AND SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED INDOORS OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS

> - 183 -





PERCENT OF B O Y P L A Y E R S, G I R L P L A Y E R S AND A L L P L A Y E R S BY GRADE IN ALL L O W - L E V E L SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED I N D O O R S OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS

- 184 -

·

. •

ω

ERIC

# TABLE 19

C

.

ERIC.

## NUMBER OF FLAY PARTICIPANTS WHO PLAYED IN SPECIFIED PLAY AREAS AND THEIR PERCETAGE OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF FLAYERS

.

			N	UMI	BER				
		PAT	₩D	"KI	URKAR"	NATURA	AL SOIL	INDO	ORS
		HIGH	L.OW	HIGH	I'OM	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	LOW
	BCYS	9750	13621	4560	3919	8421	0422	21/0	1054
TOTAL	GIRLS	10693 20443	12802 26423	4698 9258	4772 8691	4566 12987	4251 12883	1904 5064	1451 3407
	BOYS	1105	1220	240	175	200			
8	GIRIS	430	1328	340	172	328	420	527	247
U		1625	1967	462	296	124	33	57	29
				402	270	702	423	284	210
	BCYS	1613	1460	477	329	741	1104	378	200
7	GIRLS	1003	1161	281	305	373	375	110	48
	ALL	2616	2621	758	634	1114	1479	488	248
G	BCYS	1333	1527	283	506	1105	125/	(	223
6	GIRLS	1411	1466	357	609	582	1354	437	201
-	ALL	2744	2993	640	1115	1687	1940	566	333
R	DOVE		• • • • •						
E	BUTS	878	2341	520	373	1092	1389	423	257
<u>ل</u> ر.	GIKC2	12//	1879	602	223	517	709	353	206
	¥	2155	4220	1122	920	1609	2098	776	463
A	BCYS	1016	2418	945	560	1211	1141	222	200
4	GIRLS	1902	2403	1092	1176	629	491	215	200
	ALL	2918	4821	2037	1736	1840	1632	547	421
D	BCVC	1261	1705	70/	(05				-
2	GIRIS	1201		180	6U0 570	1211	1334	373	286
5		3147	2113	020	1177	454	730	329	475
_		3141	3040	1012		1005	2064	702	761
E	BCYS	1343	1556	659	904	1433	999	202	179
2	GIRLS	1795	1857	763	818	1005	781	272	199
	ALL	3138	3413	1422	1722	2438	1780	658	378
	BOYS	1121	1266	550	467	1300	001		254
1	GIRLS	979	1282	655	618	852	071 674	398	500
_	ALL	2100	2548	1205	1085	2152	1437	545 743	527

.

TABLE 19, CONTINUATION

•

34.3	47.0	40.0	46.7	53.7	49.9		16.0	20.7	18.1		13.4	20.0	16.4
45.7	54.4	47.7	58.5	76.3	63.3		13•C	15.4	13.6		7.7	14.5	<b>6</b> •5
44.7	54.7	48.1	45.8	6C • 5	51.3		13.2	15.3	13.9		10.3	15.9	12.4
36.2	53.2	44°7	40.5	51.C	45.0		8.1	13.5	1C.4		13.4	21.2	16.8
27.7	44.5	9 19 19	51.1	53 65	52.2		16.4	21.0	18.6		8.1	15.8	11.4
27.0	48.6	38 <b>•</b> 0	54.5	54 <b>.</b> 8	54.7		25.1	27.9	26.6		12.6	26.8	19.7
30.8	51.5	40.6	42.4	53.6	47.9		19.3	22.4	20.8		14.9	14.5	14.7
32.4	44.3	38°3.	41.6	49.8	45.7		15.9	18.8	17.3	•	24.1	21.9	23.0
31.5	33.3	32.3	41.0	48.7	44.5		15.5	22.3	18.5		15.1	23.5	19.0
BUYS	GIRLS	A L L All LCW	ROYS	GIRLS	LTIRKAR" A L L	ALL HIGH	BOYS	GIRLS	АГГ	ALL LCH	BOYS	GIRLS	Ч Г Ч Ч
	BOYS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.7 38.2 44.7 45.7 34.3	BOYS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.7 38.2 44.7 45.7 34.3 GIRLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 54.4 47.0	BOYS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.7 38.2 44.7 45.7 34.3 GIRLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 54.4 47.0 A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0	BOYS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.7 38.2 44.7 45.7 34.3   GIRLS 33.3 44.3 57.6 27.0 27.7 38.2 44.7 45.7 34.3   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   ALL LCW 1 32.4 54.6 51.1 40.5 45.8 58.5 46.7	BOYS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.1 38.2 44.7 45.7 34.3   GIRLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 45.4 47.0   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   ALL LCW Aru 42.4 54.5 51.1 46.5 46.7 46.7   ROYS 41.0 41.6 42.4 54.5 51.1 46.5 46.7 53.7   GIRLS 48.7 49.8 53.6 54.4 51.1 45.8 58.5 46.7	BOYS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.7 38.2 44.7 45.7 34.3 GIRLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 54.4 47.0 A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0 ALL LCM ALL LCM ROYS 41.0 41.6 42.4 54.5 51.1 40.5 45.8 58.5 46.7 GIRLS 48.7 49.8 53.6 54.8 53.6 51.0 60.5 76.3 53.7	BGYS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.7 36.2 44.7 45.7 34.3 GIRLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 54.4 47.0 A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0 ALL LCW ROYS 41.0 41.6 42.4 54.5 51.1 40.5 45.8 58.5 46.7 GIRLS 48.7 49.8 53.6 54.8 53.6 51.0 60.5 76.3 53.7 GIRLS 44.5 45.7 47.9 54.7 52.2 45.0 51.3 63.3 49.9 ALL HIGH	BOYS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.7 36.2 44.7 45.7 34.3   GIRLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 54.4 47.0   GIRLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 54.4 47.0   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   AL L LOM 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   ALL LOM 41.0 41.6 42.4 54.5 51.1 40.5 46.7 40.0   GIRLS 48.7 49.9 53.6 54.7 52.2 45.6 76.3 53.3 49.9   KUKAMM A L L 44.5 47.9 54.7 52.2 45.6 51.3 49.9   AUL HIGH ALL HIGH 16.4 16.4 16.4 8.1 13.2 13.2 13.0 13.0 16.0	BOYS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.7 38.2 44.7 45.7 34.3   GIRLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 47.0 47.0   GIRLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 54.4 47.0   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   ALL LCM 41.0 41.6 42.4 54.5 51.1 40.5 66.5 76.3 53.7   ROYS 41.0 41.6 42.4 54.6 53.6 51.6 66.5 76.3 53.7   GIRLS 48.7 45.7 54.7 52.2 45.6 51.3 63.3 49.9   ALL HCH AL 44.5 47.7 52.2 45.6 51.3 63.3 49.9   ALL HICH AL 16.3 16.3	BOYS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.7 38.2 44.7 45.7 34.3   GIRLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 45.7 34.3   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.0   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   ALL LCM 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   ALL LCM 41.0 41.6 42.4 54.5 51.1 46.5 46.7 40.0   GIRLS 48.7 49.9 53.6 54.7 52.2 45.6 76.3 53.7 46.7   GIRLS 48.7 47.9 54.7 52.2 45.6 76.3 53.7 49.9 49.9   ALL HIGH 44.5 45.7 57.1 16.4 8.1 13.2 15.4 20.7   BOYS 15.5 15.9 21.0 15.3	BOYS   31.5   32.4   30.8   27.0   27.1   38.2   44.7   45.7   34.3     GIRLS   33.3   44.3   51.5   48.6   44.5   53.2   54.7   45.7   34.3     A L L   32.3   44.3   51.5   48.6   44.5   53.2   54.7   47.7   40.0     A L L   32.3   38.3   40.6   38.0   35.6   44.5   54.6   47.7   40.0     A L L   32.3   38.3   40.6   38.0   35.6   51.1   47.7   40.0   40.0     ALL LCM   48.7   43.6   54.8   53.6   54.1   45.2   46.7   40.0     GIRLS   48.7   47.9   53.6   54.6   53.2   53.1   40.0     ALL LCM   48.7   47.7   52.2   45.6   51.3   53.3   49.9     AUL HIGH   44.5   45.7   54.1   14.6   52.2   45.6   51.3   53.3   49.9 <td>B0YS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.7 36.2 44.7 45.7 34.3   G1RLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 47.7 45.7 34.3   A L 32.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 54.4 70.0   A L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   A L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 51.1 46.7 40.0   A L 48.7 49.9 53.6 51.1 40.5 76.3 53.7   CIRLS 48.7 49.9 53.6 54.7 52.2 45.0 51.3 53.7   ALL HIGH 44.5 45.7 54.7 52.2 45.0 51.3 53.7   ALL HIGH 80'S 15.5 15.4 8.1 13.2 15.4 20.7   BOVS 15.5 15.9 14.4 8.1 13.2 13.6 16.0   A</td> <td>BOYS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.7 38.2 44.7 45.7 34.3   GIRLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 54.4 47.0   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 51.1 46.7 54.4 47.0   ALL LCM 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 51.1 46.5 76.3 53.7 46.7   ROYS 41.0 41.6 42.4 54.5 51.1 46.5 76.3 53.7 46.7   ROYS 41.1 44.5 45.7 54.7 52.2 45.0 51.3 63.3 49.9   ALL HIGH AL 44.5 47.7 52.1 16.4 8.1 17.7 40.9   BOYS 15.5 15.9 19.3 52.4 27.9 21.2 13.6 13.6 14.5 13.4 20.1   &lt;</td>	B0YS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.7 36.2 44.7 45.7 34.3   G1RLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 47.7 45.7 34.3   A L 32.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 54.4 70.0   A L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   A L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 51.1 46.7 40.0   A L 48.7 49.9 53.6 51.1 40.5 76.3 53.7   CIRLS 48.7 49.9 53.6 54.7 52.2 45.0 51.3 53.7   ALL HIGH 44.5 45.7 54.7 52.2 45.0 51.3 53.7   ALL HIGH 80'S 15.5 15.4 8.1 13.2 15.4 20.7   BOVS 15.5 15.9 14.4 8.1 13.2 13.6 16.0   A	BOYS 31.5 32.4 30.8 27.0 27.7 38.2 44.7 45.7 34.3   GIRLS 33.3 44.3 51.5 48.6 44.5 53.2 54.7 54.4 47.0   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 44.7 48.1 47.7 40.0   A L L 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 51.1 46.7 54.4 47.0   ALL LCM 32.3 38.3 40.6 38.0 35.6 51.1 46.5 76.3 53.7 46.7   ROYS 41.0 41.6 42.4 54.5 51.1 46.5 76.3 53.7 46.7   ROYS 41.1 44.5 45.7 54.7 52.2 45.0 51.3 63.3 49.9   ALL HIGH AL 44.5 47.7 52.1 16.4 8.1 17.7 40.9   BOYS 15.5 15.9 19.3 52.4 27.9 21.2 13.6 13.6 14.5 13.4 20.1   <

. •

•\*\*

Ş

			29.6	20.1	25.4		29.6	17.8	24.3	v	11.1	8.4	6°6		5.7	6•1	6.4
	G	D	12.5	19.5	14.2		18.5	3.9	14.6		20.1	7.2	17.1		10.9	3.5	8 e 9
u U	1 F	-	20.5	20.3	20.5		34°6	19.6	29.0		10.5	6.0	9•0		6 • 3	2.5	4.9
A	~	,	31.6	22.6	27.5		35.6	20.4	25.2		12.5	4.5	. ₹•5		6 <b>.</b> 1	3 • 6	5.0
<b>F</b>	ł		34.4	18.0	26.6		30.3	20.2	25.9		12.3	12.3	12.8		5. 5	رت م	5.7
 E N N	GRADES 4		32.2	16.1	24.0		25.7	11.2	18.5		8 • 3	5.5	7.1		4 • 5	5.0	4.8
U	3 IN		29 <sub>.</sub> 8	12.3	21.5		32.8	18.5	25.7		9.2	8.9	9.1		7.0	12.0	9°2
Б	7		34.5	24.8	29.7		26.7	20.9	23.8	·	7.0	0.6	8.0		4.8	5.3	5.1
۵.	<b>p4</b> .	·	36.6	29.0	33.1		28.9	26.7	25.1		11.2	11.7	11.4		11.5	6.5	9.2
		ALL HIGH	BOYS	GIRLS	ALL	ALL LCW	BOYS	GIRLS	ALL	ALL HIGH	BOYS	GIRLS	ΑΓΙ	ALL LOW	BUYS	GIRLS	ALL
	NATURAI	TTOS				- 1	87 •	_		TNDOKK							

411.

.

TABLE 19, CONTINUATION

ERIC Afull Taxt Provided by ERIC

#### A. PLAYING TOGETHER

Figure 56 (p. 190) Table 20 (p. 191)

.)

Ì

20

When children are free to choose their playmates and these are available in abundance (as is the case at school but rarely outside of it), approximately one quarter of them interact in play with others who are not their own age. However, even age-mixed play groups tend, on the average, to include more children of one's own age than children of other age groups. Mostly, the difference in age is one year. Nonetheless, considering that the school set-up strongly encourages age-group identification, it is perhaps surprising that intra-age-group interaction occurs, and to quite a considerable extent.

As can be seen in <u>Figure 56</u>, and <u>Table 20</u>, the extent of interaction increases at first, decreases in mid-school, and rises again in the upper grades. But whereas boys in the upper grades play in age homogeneous groups to about the same extent as in the low grades, girls play more within their own age group in the upper grades. This lends support to the hypothesis we derived from the finding that girls apply more socially oriented criteria in friendship choices than do boys (pp. 82-83). However, the finding that girls tend to play games which require less involvement with playmates than boys (p. 151) casts some doubt on this interpretation.

Extending Eisenstadt's (1956) theory of age groups, we have suggested (p. 83) that age-heterogeneous play groups will tend to be more prevalent in societies or sub-cultures in which the growing child has to learn to live in a universalistic, achievement-oriented adult society, after growing up in a particularistic-ascriptive childhood environment: only within a society of equals, i.e., the age-group, will the child have the opportunity to face and learn to deal with the social environment in ways that will be expected of him as an adult.

All societies included in our study are universalistic and achievement-oriented to some extent. Furthermore, the school system strongly emphasizes a social division by age, and the kibbutz education system even more so. Nevertheless, there are variations within our sample in the degree of difference between the home and adult environment and in the extent of contact between the two environments.

- 188 -

Thus, children in the kibbutz and in villages are more involved than town children in the adult world and in adult tasks. They are more likely to see their parents working and help them in their work. We would thus expect greater agehomogeneity in our urban than in our rural populations, since the transfer from childhood to adulthood is sharper in the former, and hence the age group has a more important function in urban society as a mediator between the two worlds. Indeed, we have found that the percent of children in Jewish urban schools who play in age-homogeneous groups averages 78.4, whereas the corresponding percentage for Jewish rural schools is 63.3. However, whereas we would have expected, on the same rationale, greater homogeneity in low-level town than in highlevel town schools, we have found the opposite to be the case: 84.2 percent of the children in high-level town schools played in such groups, as against 72.5 in the low-level town schools. Moreover, there is little difference in the extent of age homogeneity between the Arab town and village schools: 73.7 as against 75.2. Evidently, the data must be examined in far greater detail before any definite conclusions can be drawn in relation to this question.

ì

4

ERIC

- 189 -



PERCENT OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS, WHO PLAYED IN AGE-HETEROGENEOUS GROUPS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BOY PLAYERS, GIRL PLAYERS AND ALL PLAYERS RESPECTIVELY, IN EACH GRADE

- 190 -

¥ 5 67

ĸ,

C)

TABLE 20

3

2

Î.

\*

NUMBER AND PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN ALL SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED IN AGE-HETEROGENEOUS GROUPS OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

• • • •••

TOTAL	14474 12038 26512	24.95 25.69 25.28
œ	1367 375 1742	27.57 22.64 26.34
۲	1938 833 2771	28.18 22.01 25-99
Q	1828 1501 2829	25.01 18.06 22.02
0 5 2	1791 1458 3249	22.92 22.81 22.87
5 R A 4	1353 2195 4048	22.50 26.33 24.43
ŝ	2215 2341 4556	27.10 30.44 28.72
2	2026 2349 4375	25.48 29.89 27.67
1	1456 1486 2942	21.76 26.52 23.93
	BOYS 618LS BCTH	BOYS GIRLS BOTH
	2	ж

#### B. PLAYING APART

# GAMES TYPICAL FOR DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS

Figures 17-30 (pp. 129-142) Tables 13; 14 (pp. 127, 144)

C

ERIC

As may be seen from Figures 17-30 (pp. 129-142), some games are played by children of a very wide age range. Moreover, the age range in which a game is played does not always overlap in the Jerusalem high-level school and the Jerusalem low-level school examined. However, no consistency in the direction of the deviation, in favor of either younger or older children, is apparent.

We have identified 11 classes of games which were played, in both schools, exclusively in the lower grades (1-4) and 8 such games which were played exclusively in the upper grades (5-8). Three classes of games were played in middle grades (3-6) only. These three games were all girls' games, as was one of the lower grade games. All others, were either boys' games (four in the lower and four in the upper grades) or games played by both sexes (six and four in the lower and upper grades, respectively). The fact that predominantly girls' games are concentrated in the middle grades gives more specific meaning to the findings presented in <u>Figure 31</u> (p. 146), that girls play less with boys in those years than when they are either younger or older.

A preliminary comparison of the games played by younger and older boys did not reveal any characteristics which were not rather obvious, such as that the games of younger children require less specific skills, there is more running, more physical contact, and more games with elements of chance rather than of strategy. A more systematic analysis will be possible after more of our recordings will be analyzed.

One aspect of the games of the two Jerusalem schools that has been analyzed in greater depth is concerned with the structure of play groups as determined by the roles and aims of the participants, in relation to age. <u>Group size</u>, <u>competition</u>, <u>the use of materials in play</u> and the extent of <u>material gain</u> have also been analyzed in relation to age. We now turn to a description of the results of these analyses.

- 192 -

Figures 57-59 (pp. 194-196) Table 21 (p. 197)

In our analysis of the games of the two Jerusalem schools thus far we have differentiated games involving one or more groups of players (a group being defined as two or more players acting towards the common aim of winning, gaining a preferred position, gaining materially, etc.), and games involving individual players only, each acting to achieve his own personal aim. Within these individually oriented games we distinguished those in which all players pursued similar tasks (as in hopscotch, marbles or wrestling), as against games in which at least two different action patterns existed (such as simple tag -- tagger and 'it', jump rope in pairs -- the one, jumping and turning the rope, the other, just jumping).

As expected, there is an increase in participation in group play with age (Figure 57; Table 21). However, while we also expected an increase in participation in multiple-task individual-games, it turns out that this type of game decreases with age, whereas participation in the one-task individualgame-type increases with age! (Compare Figure 58 with Figure 59). This result may be partly explained with reference to the kinds of competition typical to games of these different structures. Most one-task games turn out to be showdown games (Abt, 1966), i.e., games in which, amongst other characteristics, a player performs without interference by other players: in other words, he has fuller command over his actions. This is not usually the case in the multiple-task games. It may be reasonably assumed that older children are more concerned about the amount of control they have over their activities. Indeed, our comparisons of the games of older as compared with younger children (p. 192) supports this interpretation.

- 193 -



FIGURE 57 PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PARTICIPATED IN GAMES INVOLVING ONE OR MORE GROUPS OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH GRADE

- 194 -



6. J

C



PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PARTICIPATED IN ONE-TASK GAMES WITH INDIVIDUAL AIMS, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES

- 195 -


FIGURE 59

PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A L O W - L E V E L SCHOOL WHO PARTICIPATED IN M U L T I P L E - T A S K G A M E S W I T H I N D I V I D U A L A I M S, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES

- 196 -

ERIC

۰.

3

¢

ş

Ø

TABLE 24 NUMBER AND PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PLAYED GAMES REQUIRING SPECIFIED GROUP STRUCTURES, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH GRADE

GRADE

TOTAL	1149 212 1363	25.76 5.29 16.07		1033 861 1891	23.11 21.53 22.35	933 859 1789	20.87 21.45 21.14
ຸດ	242 14 256	67.60 25.45 61.99		98 24 122	27 • 37 43 • 64 29 • 54	11 5 16	3.67 9.09 3.67
٢	153 21 174	29.23 8.68 22.72		201 70 271	38 36 28 93 35 38	55 12 67	10.50 4.96 8.75
ę	196 42 238	39.36 9.63 25.48		151 63 214	30.32 14.45 22.91	59 51 110	11.85 11.70 11.78
IJ	212 56 268	22.34 6.96 15.28		288 196 484	33.35 24.35 27.59	132 144 276	13.91 17.89 15.74
4	203 26 229	28.16 2.67 13.53		148 246 394	26.53 25.31 23.27	198 217 415	27.46 22.33 24.51
(7)	85 16 101	16.53 2.34 9.45		51 109 160	9.96 19.36 14.88	195 138 <b>33</b> 4	38.28 24.51 31.57
2	33 52	5.77 3.43 4.62		67 73 140	11.71 13.18 12.43	176 102 280	31.12 18.41 24.87
1	24 18 42	7.45 4.76 6.90		26 80 106	8.07 21.16 15.14	101 190 291	31.37 50.26 41.57
	80Y S 6 IRL S- 80TH	BOYS GIRLS BOTH		EDYS GIRLS BDTH	80YS GIRLS 80TH	80YS 61RLS 80TH	BDYS GIRLS BOTH
	N	%	INDIVIDUAL AIMS	N ONE n A SK	%	NULTIPLE	TASKS %

- 197 -

ERIC Pruit feet Provided by ERIC

U

## GROUP SIZE

Figures 60-62 (pp. 201-203) Tables 22-25 (pp. 204-206)

It stands out clearly, both in <u>Figure 60</u> and in <u>Table 23</u> that the percentage of children who play in small sized groups is larger the younger they are. This finding is in accord with the hypothesis derived from our first stage data (p. 78).

Taking group size as an index for the capacity to get organized and interact in groups, we also predicted that the size of play groups will tend to increase with school level (p. 78). <u>Figure 61</u> and <u>Table 24</u> present the relevant data for the high-level and low-level schools. The hypothesis is fully confirmed.

This finding would gain a more precise meaning if it could be established with regard to the same games as played in high-level and low-level schools. Since age is also a determinant of group size this factor also has to be kept constant when making this comparison. Only one such comparison has been made thus far, of the game known as "individual tag", "simple tag", "ordinary tag" or just plain "tag". This game was the one most commonly played in our low-level Jerusalem school and second only to jump rope in our high-level Jerusalem school. The results of the comparison, shown in Table 22, indicate clearly that (a) the percent of tag players in groups of up to five participants is greater in the low-level school, whereas the reverse is true of the larger sized groups and (b) that in the high-level school there are larger groups of tag players than in the low-level school (the largest group observed having 16 participants).

#### TABLE22

## PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS OF "SIMPLE TAG" IN TWO JERUSALEM SCHOOLS, WHO PLAYED IN GROUPS SIZED 2, 3, ..., 16

Group Size	High-level	Low-level
2	21.49	26.38
3	17.83	23.86
4	15.09	20.17
5	14.29	10.67
6	7.54	9.31
7	8.80	3.39
8	5.49	0.78
9	1.03	0
10	3.43	0
3.1	0	0
12	0	1.75
13	0	0
14	3.20	2.33
15	0	0
16	1.83	0

In Figure 62 and Table 25 it may be seen that children tend to play more frequently in even-sized than in odd-sized groups. This is probably due to the fact that while there are only very few games which require an odd number of participants, a considerable number of competitive games require an even number of participants.

- 199 -

0

Our data analysis also reveals that: (a) the percent of play participants in two-member groups is the highest in all grades in the low-level schools, while (b) in the highlevel schools the percent of play participants in four-member groups is almost as high in grades 5-6 and slightly higher in grades 7-8. This finding does not correspond to the first stage data (p. 78-79), in which groups sized four had the highest percent of play participants. This diversion is explicable by the fact that the limited duration of the first stage -- six weeks -- introduced a definite bias in the game sample, which the main stage, through its long duration,



# FIGURE 60

PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN A L L S C H O O L S IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE SCHOOL GRADES, WHO PLAYED IN G R O U P S O F S P E C I F I E D S I Z E S OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES

- 201 -

C

ERIC.



## FIGURE 61

PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN ALL H I G H - L E V E L AND L O W - L E V E L SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED IN G R O U P S O F S P E C I F I E D S I Z E S, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES

- 202 -

×

•

Full text Provided by ERIC

Q



FIGURE 62 PERCENT OF. PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN A L L S C H O O L S, WHO PLAY-ED IN G R O U P S O F S P E C I F I E D S I Z E S OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS

- 203 -

ERIC Full Taxt Provided by ERIC

1

 $\zeta$ 

TABLE	23
-------	----

TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN PAIRS OF SUCCESSIVE SCHOOL GRADES WHO PLAYED IN GROUPS SIZED 2-3 ... 46-47 AND THE PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN GROUPS OF THE SIZES SPECIFIED, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH PAIR OF GRADES (N=104,856)

Group Size	Number	of Parti <u>Grades</u>	cipants	in	Numbe	Number of Participants in Grades				
	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8		
2-3	9549	10262	6799	4145	34.0	31.6	25.1	24.0		
4-5	7633	7850	566 <b>0</b>	3436	27.2	24.2	20.9	19.9		
6-7	4462	5090	4239	2374	15.9	15.7	15.7	13.7		
8-9	2690	3390	3125	1747	9.6	10.5	11.6	10.1		
10-11	1403	2080	1879	1608	5.0	6.4	6.9	9.3		
12-13	<b>9</b> 62	1118	1496	1147	3.4	3.4	5.5	6.6		
14-15	408	914	1125	912	1.5	2.8	4.2	5.3		
<b>16-</b> 17	366	<b>59</b> 7	865	514	1.3	1.8	3.2	3.0		
18-19	77	323	472	303	0.3	1.0	1.7	1.8		
20-21	117	198	450	265	0.4	0.6	1.7	1.5		
22-23	70	209	191	158	0.2	0.6	0.7	0.9		
24-25	131	67	97	120	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.7		
26-27	60	40	91	100	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.6		
28-29	36	15	199	92	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.5		
30-31	32	85	103	201	0.1	0.3	0.4	1.2		
32-33	41	26	27	35	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2		
34-35	11	44	116	2	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.0		
36-37	2	45	39	22	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1		
38-39	8	30	0	0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0		
40-41	5	7	53	55	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3		
42-43	0	14	12	17	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1		
44-45	27	17	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0		
46-47	8	6	13	20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1		

- 204 -

Q

## TABLE 24

NUMBER OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN THE HIGH-LEVEL AND IN THE LOW-LEVEL SCHOOLS, WHO PLAYED IN GROUPS SIZED 2-3 ... 46-47 AND THE PERCENT OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS IN THESE SCHOOLS WHO PLAYED IN GROUPS OF THE SIZES SPECIFIED, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH TYPE OF SCHOOL (N HIGH-LEVEL SCHOOLS = 51,552) (N LOW-LEVEL SCHOOLS = 53,302)

	School Level										
Group	His	sh		Low							
Size	Number	Percent	Number	Percent							
	of	of	of	of							
, 	Players	Players	Players	Players							
2-3	12771	2/ 8	1709/								
4-5	11150	24.0	17904 12600	33./							
6-7	8160	21.0 <sup>1</sup>		23.2							
8-9	5840	11 2	600 <u>5</u> 5110	12.0							
10 - 11	2040 6031		2112	9.6							
12 - 13	2603	7.0	2939	5.5							
1/-15	2093	2.0	2030	3.8							
16-17	2017	5.9	1342	2.5							
10-17	12//	3.1	765	1.4							
10-19	790	1.5	385	0.7							
20-21	/2/	1.4	<b>3</b> 03	0.6							
22-23	450	0.9	178	0.3							
24-25	245	0.5	170	0.3							
26-27	133	0.3	158	0.3							
28-29	285	0.6	57	0.1							
30-31	301	0.6	120	0.2							
32-33	33	0.1	96	0.2							
34-35	104	0.2	69	0.1							
36-37	72	0.1	36	0.1							
38-39	38	0.1	Q	0.0							
40-41	80	0.2	40	0.1							
42-43	0	0.0	43 ·	0.1							
44-45	44	0.1	0	0.0							
46-47	0	0	47	0.1							

- 205 -

ERIC \*FullTaxt Provided by ERIC

¢

Ċ

. . .

Û

TABLE 2	25
---------	----

Group	Play F	articipants	Group	Play P	articipants
Size	Number	Percentage	Size	Number	Percentage
2	17576	16.8	24	240	0.2
3	13179	12.6	25	175	0.2
4	14704	14.0	26	156	0.1
5	9875	9.4	27	135	0.1
6	<b>9606</b> .	9.2	28	168	0.2
7	6559	6.3	29	174	0.2
8	6848	6.5	30	390	0.4
9	4104	3.9	31	31	0.0
10	4550	4.3	32	96	0.1
11	2420	2.3	33	33	0.0
12	3228	3.1	34	68	0.1
13	1495	1.4	35	105 👘	0.1
14	2114	2.0	36	108	0.1
15	1245	1.2	37	0	0.0
16	1424	1.4	38	38	0.0
17	918	0.9	39	0	0.0
18	738	0.7	40	120	0.1
19	437	0.4	41	0	0.0
20	820	0.8	42	0	0.0
21	210	0.2	43	43	· 0.0
22	352	0.3	44	44	0.0
23	276	0.3	45	0	0.0
			46	0	0.0
			47	47	0.0

NUMBER OF PLAY PARTICIPANTS WHO PLAYED IN GROUPS OF 2, 3, ... 47 AND THEIR PERCENTAGE OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS (N=104,856)

- 206 -

### COMPETITIVE GAMES (WITH OUTCOMES)

Figure 63 (p. 208) Table 26 (p.209)

e

D

ì

Within structured games (as distinct from unstructured, spontaneous games, see pp. 36, 58, and 106), we have distinguished those which involve competition of some kind and have therefore at least partial outcomes, from those which are non-competitive and do not involve any outcomes. The noncompetitive structured games (unlike the non-competitive unstructured games), are "institutionalized" in the sense that they are known by name and involve more or less regularized procedures (e.g., "fortune telling" according to a prescribed manner, as against "playing doctor" -- a game which may be conducted in many and varied ways).

Competitive structured games include all games which have outcomes, whether final ("showdown" games, see p. 193) or partial. Such outcomes are of the following kinds: winning or losing points, materials or objects, attaining or forsaking advantageous positions or roles in the game, making more moves or demonstrating greater skill or prowess than other players. Examples of games with <u>no</u> outcomes are: some forms of hand clapping and coordination games, certain types of jump rope (in pairs), and some versions of "fortune telling", "character reading" and "affection testing" games.

Our analysis of the percentage of players who participated in games with outcomes in the two Jerusalem schools (Figure 63, Table 26), shows a 20 percent increase in such participation from the first to the eighth grade in the lowlevel school, but few changes with age in the high-level school (Gompare this with the First Stage findings, on pp. 79-80). At the same time, the percent of participants in competitive games is greater in the first and second grade of the high-level school than in the corresponding grades in the low-level school. It can also be seen in Table 26, that in most cases fewer girls than boys participate in games which have outcomes. (We have already seen that it is more typical of boys' games to be of the "showdown" type, p. 193).

In a seminar paper, Ruth Feder has compared the extent of participation in competitive games in three schools: one high-level and one low-level town school and the regional kibbutz school. The games were sorted on a five point scale, from non-competitive to highly competitive. The results of analysis indicate, that the percent play participants in highly competitive games is significantly greater in the regional kibbutz school than even in the high-level town school, and that it is lowest in the low-level town school.

- 207 -

_	
S.	
2	t
E	
IAB	
	Ì
	ļ

Ë)

1

ERIC Full fact Provided by ERIC

NUMBER AND PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PLAYED COMPETITIVE GAMES (WITH OUTCOMES) OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH GRADE

ш 0 ۲

6 R

TOTAL	4372	82.34	3881	87.10
	31 <b>81</b>	75.58	2889	72.13
	7553	79.35	6773	80.01
ω	421	85.92	347	96.93
	82	60.74	48	87.27
	503	80.48	395	95.64
2	513	85 <b>.9</b> 0	495	94.47
	160	73 <b>.06</b>	194	80.17
	678	82.48	689	89.95
Q	440	88.18	476	95.58
	326	78.93	325	74.54
	766	83.99	801	85.76
Ŋ	<b>352</b>	76.69	861	93.73
	307	69.93	608	75.53
	659	73.39	1469	83.75
4	708	83.39	654	90.71
	497	76.70	695	71.50
	1205	80.49	1349	79.68
m	686	82.75	444	86.72
	557	75.89	431	76.55
	1243	79.53	875	81.40
2	614	76.37	421	73.60
	737	80.55	274	49.46
	1351	78.59	695	61.72
-	633	81.47	163	56.83
	515	72.95	314	83.07
	1148	77.41	497	71.00
	6075	BOYS	BOYS	BUYS
	61815	GIRLS	GIRLS	GIRLS
	307h	BOTH	BOTH	BUTH
	H91H N	8	N N	<b>ж</b>

- 208 -



PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A H I G H - L E V E L AND A L O W - L E V E L SCHOOL WHO PLAYED C O M P E T I T I V E G A M E S (W I T H O U T C O M E S) OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH GRADE

- 209 -

0

e

## PLAY MATERIALS AND OBJECTS

Figure 64 (p. 212) Table 27 (pp. 213-214)

There is an increase with age in the percentage of children who make use of materials and objects in their games, both in the high-level and in the low-level school (Figure 64, <u>Table 27</u>). However, up to the fifth grade, more players in the high-level Jerusalem school than in the low-level Jerusalem school use materials in their play, whereas the reverse is true of the upper school grades. Moreover, the curve of increase in percent participation in games which require any materials is far steeper in the low-level school (from 16.7 in the first grade to 89.8 in the eighth grade of the <u>low-level</u> school, as against a corresponding increase from 33.5 to 63.5 percent in the high-level school).

All games requiring materials were sorted into four major categories and combinations thereof. The major categories were:

(a) Materials which are <u>available</u> or can be <u>found</u> in the schoolyard or buildings, such as trees, tables, stones or sticks.
(b) Materials that are <u>bought</u>, but not specifically for the purpose of play, and belong to specific children: e.g., a hat, chewing gum wrappers, apricot pits.

(c) <u>Toys</u>, e.g., balls, tops, playing cards.

(d) Play equipment, such as basketball nets, or climbing equipment.

<u>Table 27</u> shows the <u>percentage of players</u> by age who played in games falling into the first three and major categories. The play equipment was used by relatively few children and was mainly confined to basketball equipment. <u>Table 26</u> also shows the <u>number of different games</u> that fall into each of the three major categories. It may be seen that there exists no one-to-one correspondence between these different indices and they must, therefore, be examined separately. (It should be noted in this connection, as has been pointed out on p. 18, that previous research on games has been primarily based on the second index [number of games], which may have led to misleading conclusions.) In addition to these two indices, we have made a rough count of the <u>number of different objects used</u> in all games that fall into each category.

A comparison of the high-level and low-level schools in terms of percent of play participation in all games requiring materials, show little difference between them; the number of different games which require materials, and the number of different

- 210 -

materials utilized in such games, are also very similar in the two schools. However, a comparison within categories, shows some interesting differences:

(a) The number of games in which "found" materials are utilized is slightly lower in the low-level

than in the high-level school, while the reverse is true for the percentage of participants, and even more so for the variety of the materials utilized in the games. At the same time, the percent of children utilizing "bought" objects in their games is more than double in the high-level as compared with the low-level school, and both the number of games that fall into this category and the number of different "bought" materials in use is also greater in the high-level school. Yet it should be noted, that while one might expect (particularly when considering the ratio of "found" to "bought" in the two schools), that there would be fewer toys, fewer games requiring toys and fewer participants in such games in the low-level school, the reverse turns out to be the case. That is, the percent of players in games which require toys in the low-level school is more than double that in the high-level school, the number of games is greater by about one quarter, while the number of different toys is about the same, and there is no real difference in the kinds of toys used.

A detailed study of whether and if so, to what extent, "found" materials in the low-level school are substituted for "bought" materials in the high-level school, still remains to be done.

- 211 -



1

ì

}

ERIC

FIGURE 64 PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A H I G H - L E V E L AND A L O W - L E V E L SCHOOL WHO PLAYED GAMES R E Q U I R I N G O B J E C T S O R M A T E R I A L S OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH GRADE

- 212 -

	Ŗ	, OF	GAMES			53	].					18	)					QC	0											
LAMES REQUIRING SPECIFIED TYPES OF OBJECTS I NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES GAMES FALLING IN EACH CATEGORY OF OBJECTS ND MATERIALS I. E. V. E. L. S. C. H. O. O. L		2	IGTAL	320	563	582	£0,3	С., с С., с , с , к	6.11	542		207-	12.01	35.40	<i>2</i> 1•75	672	05	732	5.6.	• • •		. 335	) . ) .	425.0	- r - C 7	1.1.2	44.14			
		ц	4	4		30	<b>(</b> \	ί 3	2	0.41	0.00	0,32	25	14	39	5.10		6.24	1 ó	junt teng	117	21.63	51° d	18.72	366	31	255	34°96	22.96	63.52
						2	17	.18	35	2.82	CU ( CU ( • •	4.26	16	23	39	2.65	10.5	¢•74	175	24	202	29.52	17.95	24.57	435	0 0 0	517	72.14	37.44	62 <b>.</b> 9:
			Ģ	r -4 11	33	44	2.26	56° L	4.82	51	92	143	10.22	22.28	15.68	86	10	<b>6</b> 6	17.84	2.42	10.86	261	135	396	52.35	32.69	43.42			
	ם 	ម	ŝ	31	33	64	5.75	7.52	7.13	S S	6 T T	208	17.39	27.11	23.16	4.7	،C،	·.4	3.71		4.45	219	152	371	47.71	34.62	41.31			
	HIGH-LEV GRAI	IGH-LEV GRA		4	44	52	119	5.13	11.57	7.95	133	285	421	15.67	44•44	23.12	81	ſ	36	7.54	.77	5.74	346	37.	716	475	57.1;	47.93		
PLAYED HE TOTA MBER OF A				m	94	38	132	11.34	5.15	8.45	49	322	371	5.91	43.87	23.74	169	, <b>•</b>	169	25.33		19.81	318	361	619	39.36	49.19	43.44		
OL WHC.			N	56	43	134	6.97	5,25	6.05	1,1	365	466	12.56	35.89	27.11	56	. 3	56	£.97		3.26	216	413	629	26.87	45.14	36.59			
LOW-LEVEL SCHOOI OR MATERIALS OUT IN EACH GRADE, A			1	¢.	17	82	0.37	2.41	5.53	78	309	387	10.64	43.77	26.10	23	<b>1,</b> 9	23	2.96	()) 7.3 7.3 7.3	l.55	171	326	197	22.01	46.13	33.51			
			2	80YS	GIRLS	BOTH	BOYS	GIRLS	B0TH	EUYS	G IRLS	EOTH	BDYS	GIRLS	EOTH	BOYS	GIRLS	BOTH	δyS	GIRLS	HIOS	BOYS	GIRUS	BOTH	BOY S	GIRLS	BOTH			
	ł		H X WTA		N	1	FOUND	38			N		BOUGHT				N	TOYS	)     	8			AT,T, N	MATERIALS	AND		R			

TABLE 27

с<sup>т</sup>р

()

2

0

ERIC Prail fact Provided by ERIC

NUMBER AND PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A

- 213 -

ί.

N OF	GAMES	Ś	6	37	
	TOTAL	228 413 638 5.12 10.24 7.54	137 563 697 3.07 13.98 8.24	1375 154 1529 30.86 3.85 18.07	2295 1316 3606 51.39 32.86 42.62
EL SCHOOL E	œ	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	000 000 000 000	267 30 297 74.58 54.55 71.91	338 33 371 94.41 60.00 89.83
	7	8 15 1.53 2.89 1.96	17 38 55 3.24 15.70 7.18	231 9 240 44.68 3.72 31.33	391 87 478 74.62 35.95 62.40
	Q	13 23 36 2.61 3.85 3.85	5 95 100 100 21.79 10.71	253 25 278 278 50.80 5.73 29.76	368 151 519 73.90 34.63 55:57
	Ś	44 5.46 5.46 5.36 5.46 5.36 5.36 5.36 5.36 5.36 5.36 5.36 5.3	43 128 171 4.53 15.90 9.75	319 58 367 32.56 7.20 20.92	538 314 852 56.69 39.01
L Έ V G R A D	4	36 91 127 4.99 9.36 7.50	30 131 161 4.16 13.48 9.51	184 24 25 25.52 25.52 2.47 12.29	334 305 639 639 31.38 37.74
L O W L	m	62 108 173 12.11 19.18 15.81	15 120 120 135 2.93 21.31 12.56	94 2 96 0.36 8.93	101 240 421 35.35 42.63 39.16
	2	50 65 115 11.73 10.21	18 44 62 3.15 7.94 5.51	22 4 26 3.85 0.72 2.31	95 114 209 16.61 20.58 18.56
	1	15 64 79 79 4•66 11•29	9 13 1.06 1.06	15 17 17 0.53 2.43	45 72 117 13.98 19.05 16.71
	( ERS	BOYS GIRLS BOTH BOYS GIRLS BOTH	BOYS BOYS GIRLS BOTH BOYS GIRLS BOTH	BOYS GJRLS BOTH BOYS GIRLS BOTH	80YS GIRLS B0Th B0YS GIRLS B0TH
	<b>PLA</b>	R FOUND %	N BOUGHT %	N TOYS %	ALL MATERIALS AND OBJECTS %

TABLE 27, CONTINUATION

¢

•

¢

- 214 -

## MATERIAL GAIN

Figure 65 (p. 216) Table 28 (p. 217)

ι,

1.3

Ō

ERIC

Figure 65 and the relevant section in Table 28 show that only about ten percent of players play games involving material gain and that there is little difference in this respect between the high-level and low-level schools. Terell et. al (1959), and others, have argued on the basis of experiments, that middle class children learn more quickly when given a non-material incentive than when given a material incentive, while the reverse is true of lower class children. One might infer from such findings that lower class children are more concerned about material gain and would consequently also more often engage in play which involves promise of such gain. Our data, on one low-level and one high-level school, does not support such an inference. Neither does it indicate a rise of interest in material gain with age. Altogether, our findings concerning material gain suggest that this factor is secondary in importance in the activity of playing.

.



)

H )

\$

PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A H I G H - L E V E L AND A L O W - L E V E L SCHOOL, WHO PLAYED GAMES INVOLVING N O M A T E R I A L G A I N OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS IN EACH GRADE

TABLE 28

NUMBER AND PERCENT PLAY PARTICIPANTS BY GRADE IN A HIGH-LEVEL AND A LOW-LEVEL SCHOOL WHO PLAYED GAMES INVOLVING NO MATERIAL GAIN OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS OF STRUCTURED GAMES IN EACH GRADE

GRACE

ICTAL	4747 3818 8565	89.40 90.71 89.98	3774 3641 7415 84.69 90.91	87.64
α)	46C 127 587	93.88 94.C7 93.52	323 48 371 90•22 87•27	89.83
٢	566 198 764	93.86 96.41 92.94	437 208 645 83.40 85.95	84.20
Φ	479 385 864	95.99 93.22 94.74	380 334 714 76.31	76.45
ŝ	382 364 746	83.22 82.92 83.77	792 768 1560 83.46 95.40	88 • 94
4	753 540 1293	88.69 83.33 86.37	607 892 1499 84.19 91.77	88.54
n	, 713 686 1399	86.01 93.46 89.51	462 533 995 94.67	96.26
2	701 853 1554	87.19 53.22 50.40	522 507 507 1029 91.52 91.52	46.1V
<b>1</b>	653 665 1358	85.19 54.19 51.57	251 351 662 77.95 92.86	00.00
	BOYS GIRLS BOTH	BOYS GIRLS BOTH	BOYS GIRLS BCTH BCTH BOYS GIRLS	
	N HIGH	8	N %	

- 217 -

Figure 66 (p. 220)

"Dutch Gummi", "Japanese Gummi", "Gummi Rectangle", "Old Gummi", "New Gummi", "New-New Gummi", or just "Gummi" (in Princeton, N.J., I heard it called "Japanese Elastic"), is a relatively new game which is still in the process of spreading in various parts of the world. Here in Israel, it was not known before 1960 (as established by a retrospective questionnaire), has since spread considerably, but is still not known everywhere. For the benefit of the enthusiastic player the motion components which constitute the elements of the game are presented in Appendix C, pp. 246-7.

The illustrated glossary of terms presented in the Appendix exemplifies the type of unified code developed for a number of games which were studied very intensively, over many hours of separate interviews of children of the various grades in which the games were played. The following description indicates some quantitative and qualitative differences in the rules of Gummi as verbalized and as exhibited in actual playing by children in one Jerusalem school, observed in two consecutive years during the same six-week period in grades 1 through 7.

As can be seen in Appendix C, Gummi is basically a type of jumping game, and when done gracefully, it often looks like a dance. (It is a periodic game and, interestingly enough, our observations indicate that it is yearly turning into a more predominantly girls' game.) Gummi is played with a closed elastic band, usually stretched around the legs, hips or waists of Players who stand at a distance of about 2 meters from one another. (There exist other variants of the game, such as, "Foot Gummi", "Gummi Triangle", etc.) In its usual form, a third player jumps in the middle, until he misses and exchanges places with one of the holders of the Gummi whose turn has come.

The game starts with the elastic band stretched around the ankles of the two holders. A series of jumps such as presented in Appendix C is performed consecutively. This is "First Kon". In the "Second Kon", the same moves are carried out, but the elastic

\* This analysis was conducted jointly first, with Iris Levin and then with Michal Neuman, both of whom were also in charge of all the field work involved.

- 218 -

is lifted higher up on the holder's legs. The number of "Kon-s" and the position of the band differs for different age groups. When a round of "Kon-s" is completed, a new and different round is started. Thus, the same moves and "Kon-s" will now be performed "without moving" (no unnessary movements are permitted), "on one foot", "without moving and on one foot", "blind", (or 'without looking"), "without talking", "zig-zag" (jumping with legs corssed), "attached" (jumping with legs closely held together), "without laughing", etc.

4

O

ERIC

The essential rules of the game are those which prescribe the action sequences. Any wrong move results in an exchange of roles between the jumper and one of the holders. <u>Figure 66</u> gives the total number of "Kon-s" and of rounds recognized as parts of the complete game of Gummi by a number of children from each of the grades 1-7 who were interviewed separately. (A round often includes more than one "Kon", but not necessarily so.) It also gives the number of different variations of the game played in the different grades. It may be seen that all three curves have their peaks in the third grade.

By the children's own testimony, the game is practically never played to its end (this would have taken, literally, days). Yet the younger children (up to grade 6, and to the extreme in grade 3), consistently insist on specifying with complete detail all moves they know of, since these are "part of the game" as ideally played. Thus, they also insist that the elastic band is eventually lifted up as far as the head! How does this rigid attitude to rules face up to reality? Firstly, there are variations of the ideal game, which deviate in the direction of reality, (and the more there are variations the greater the number of <u>ideal rules</u>). Secondly, there exist a series of <u>meta-rules</u>, which make possible a legitimate circumvention of the ideal.

The decision regarding the particular <u>variation</u> that will be played differs according to grade: In the 1st grade, each player is free to choose his own variation, but this choice commits him for the rest of the game. Sometimes, the decision is "one rule for all". In the 2nd grade, the custom is the same as that common in the 1st, on condition that someone has announced "with no change in rules" before "with change in rules" has been announced. In the 3rd grade, the participants decide from which set of variations all **players** can choose (each for himself). Once decided, a player cannot change over to another variation. From the 4th grade onwards the decision on a particular variation is made by common agreement before the game is started and this decision commits all participants throughout the game.

- 219 -

The sets of meta-rules adopted in various grades do not entirely coincide. The number of meta-rules accepted in successive grades, beginning with the 1st grade, is: 7, 14, 9, 6, 6, 7, 3. These rules function to make the game easier, or harder. They include such rules as, "with reminding" (what the jumper's next move should be), "with support" (in case of loss of balance), "with touching" (-the elastic in the "blind kon"), "free entry" (strating by simply stepping on the elastic rather than jumping on it), or "without having to look at the funny faces" (in the "without laughing" round). These rules may also be formulated as negations of such privileges. The younger children tend to make liberal use of all their privileges and, in fact, often play "with all good comforts" (or "without all bad comforts"!), meaning, that all recognized privileges are permissible throughout the game. Yet, unlike players in the upper grades, they tend at the same time to specify each particular meta-rule before making use of it and must, moreover, add the saying "with an iron thread" for good measure, or else the rule will not be (Not one of the many children interviewed could valid. explain why this particular magical expression was used, nor what it meant.)

Q

ю

ERIC

We have already analyzed in this Report (p. 106) some of the implication of children's changing attitudes to rules as described by Piaget (1932). Here we see how the dialectic strain between a rigid attitude towards ideal rules and their liberal interpretation in practice is resolved through the use of meta-rules. The child can adhere to his highfalluting rules while at the same time playing "with all good comforts".



FIGURE 66

NUMBER OF V A R I A T I O N S OF GUMMI (JAPANESE ELASTIC) AND OF K O N - S AND R O U N D S IN THE GAME AS PLAYED IN SUCCESSIVE SCHOOL GRADES.

- 220 -

Č.

# PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS

### Planned Games in the Service of Education

A crucial test of whether our analyses of freely formed play groups and of the games played, have significantly furthered our understanding of the processes involved would be in the <u>invention and subsequent planting</u>, of <u>games</u> "to <u>measure</u>" amongst non-captive, appropriate "clients". We should also, if successful, be able to predict the rate of diffusion of these games and their life span. Similarly, we should be able to <u>introduce planned changes into existing</u> <u>games</u>, while retaining their direct appeal for the child; furthermore, it should be possible for us to introduce changes into "supergames", "periodic games", or "sporadic games", that will transform one type of game into another, in accord with our intentions.

The design and re-design of games in this manner would mean that playful learning need no longer be confined primarily to formal school hours. We are not worried, at least at this time, about the possible damage that may be caused by such interference in the "natural" play scene of children, for two reasons: (a) our planned games are aimed to simulate existing games as closely as possible; (b) our impression thus far is that the <u>specific</u> games present at any time in the play scene, are there largely due to various arbitrary and inessential factors (see p.113 for an elaboration of this point).

Play has long been recognized as a means for developing in children an intrinsic motivation to study and a positive attitude to the material learnt. Games have been introduced into textbooks and teachers' instruction manuals. There exists books on "educational games", and "educational toys" are constantly thrown into the market. But there has been no systematic knowledge available on the question of why one game becomes highly popular with children while another is rejected. In one of the schools participating in our research, an imaginative headmaster arranged for a huge colorful 'map of the world' to be drawn on the asphalt surface of the schoolyard. Quite a few games were invented by teachers around this map and were introduced during gym lessons (e.g., skipping from one 'country' to another). Nevertheless, we observed hardly any games played around the theme of this map during recess. An analysis of such unsuccessful attempts at introducing games, as also of the parallel "natural"

phenomenon of "sporadic" games, suggests an additional avenue through which the successful game can be better understood. Undoubtedly, our analyses of the nature and functions of play and games thus far offer only very partial answers to a limited number of the questions that any inventor of games has to face; many and varied additional analyses are required in order for a more comprehensive picture to emerge. Nonetheless, even our findings to date contain some systematic information of a kind entirely lacking up to now, which is of direct relevance to the rational creation of games.

Ç,

ERIC

Thus, for example, we can offer specific recommendations concerning optimal group size in relation to the age, sex and level of school achievement of the potential players (p.198) and, with regard to the same variables, we can suggest the more suitable styles of playing in terms of various characteristics, such as group structure (p.193), sequential and simultaneous playing (p.112), or the desirable degree of flexibility in action during play (p.151). We can also make some suggestions about the game styles preferred by boys and by girls (p.151-152) and about the type of games that can be played more easily than others in mixed age groups (p.188).

# Application in the Classroom and The Planning of Leisure Time Activities

The structures, modes of organization and styles of interaction of children when they are free to do as they please, cannot be sensibly ignored by teachers and educators in their methods of instruction: they are more likely to be successful in their task, if these methods are adapted to the child's spontaneous behavior -- selectively and in accordance with defined aims (which might involve a partial changing of that spontaneity), but not in ignorance of it.

The planning of the child's free supervised time will better suit his real, rather than presumed, needs and capacities, once systematic knowledge is available on his play and game preferences. It has been found (Sutton-Smith, 1965), that children's declared play preferences (in answer to questionnaires) do not always correspond to their actual play behavior. Analyses such as ours will enable the instructor to select the kind of game most to the children's liking and also to invent games more in the spirit of their "real" games. In organizing games, he will be in a better position to take account of such factors as the size of groups optimal for interaction at various age levels, the optimal time extension for one game and the capacity and willingness of children to interact with others of the opposite sex, or with others differing in age from themselves.

## The Design of Playspace

Since schoolyards in Israel are not standardized, the 14 schools of our sample represent 14 different yards, varying in size, shape, play surface and equipment. Any of these factors may affect children's play habits. A great deal has been writtent about our "space starved age" and about the necessity for constructing suitable equipment for playgrounds. But little is known about optimal conditions for play and about the minimal conditions under which children can still find solutions to environmental constraints.

In the selection of our sample, we were careful to choose schools in which all children could move freely all around the schoolyard during recess. We are thus able to calculate the <u>space available</u> to each child in every school. Since we have also recorded the <u>type of surface</u> (paved, lawn, sand, etc.) on which each playgroup was observed, we can now determine, on the basis of our data, to what extent the availability of a particular surface contributes to the variety of play activities in which children engage. We can also determine, by the extent of crowding on particular surfaces, which of them is preferred. Thus we have found, for example, that girls require less space for most of their games than boys require for most of theirs and that girls tend to play on hard surfaces more often than boys (p.176).

Our recordings also enable us to determine the extent of playground traffic: because we were interested in our research in the extent of age interaction, we ensured that the playground in our school sample was not subdivided in any way. In some Israeli schools such a division exists, mainly in order to prevent interference of older children in the play of younger children. Amongst the "causes for termination of play" which were recorded about each playgroup, "outside interference" ("external quarrel") of this kind was specified. We have found that such interference very rarely caused play termination. Nevertheless, it may occur with less drastic consequences. Therefore its effect must be weighed against possible positive effects of an open-to-all playground, such as contacts between children of different ages: The school system provides children with hardly any opportunity to interact with others outside their own age group. If in spite of this predominant educational bias, they do nevertheless play in heterogeneous groups to some extent (p. 185), this suggests that it may be a mistake to deprive them of the opportunity to do so. In fact, the existent age interaction implies that more opportunities should be offered within the educational system for such interaction to occur. However, a balanced decision must take account of the fact that there is more quarreling in mixed play groups (p.153).

1

One of the "causes for play termination" specified on our record sheets was the school bell, i.e., the ending of the recess period. Since we have also recorded the <u>length</u> of each game played, we can now determine to what extent the length of the recess suits the "natural rhythm" of the child's play. The fact that most games are terminated by the bell (p.166), should not necessarily be interpreted as indicating that, without that restraint, the games would have lasted much longer, on the average. Our observations of children's games after school hours, where this restraint is not in effect indicate that about two thirds of the games do not last more than ten minutes.

## Equipment and Materials Available and Games Played

Equipment in the schoolyard is often very limited and so is often the use made of it. Some equipment enhances individual rather than cooperative play (e.g., swings); it requires children to stand in line for their turn; often, it is claimed, the equipment does not leave room for the child's imagination (Aaron and Winawer, 1965; Playground Corporation of America, 1967). While these claims are probably true, little thought has been given to the question of whether, for example, the role of the onlooker, while forced to await his turn, is altogether undesirable. As a matter of fact, children will stand looking at others who play, out of their own choice and not only while waiting for their turn (p. 97). Onlooking may fulfill obvious functions such as learning to observer others' behavior which may lead to a reduction of 'egocentricity' (in Piaget's sense of the term), to an understanding of the various roles involved in playing a particular game, and to an improvement of one's own playing, through observation of a skilled player.

Children bring play materials such as marbles, balls and jump ropes to school. It may be presumed that children of the lower socio-economic strate have fewer such commercial play materials in their possession. To what extent does this affect the varieties of their play behavior? Does it in fact limit their possibilities of play, do they create substitute materials or do they on the whole, simply play other games, not to be found where ready-made toys define much of the child's play behavior? In the two Jerusalem schools compared thus far, one high-level and one low-level, we have found no differences in this respect. However, we found that children in the high-level school use more 'bought' materials (which are not specifically toys), whereas low-level children make more use of objects that can be found in the school grounds or buildings (e.g., stones and sticks).

- 224 -

0

The patterns of play behavior as related to the availability of play equipment is of obvious relevance to a clearer definition of what some children may lack, but also of what others may have too much of, or may have and not use at all. This is particularly pertinent in the case of "educational" toys and play equipment.

## Maturity and Rate of Change in Interests

Various studies cited by Kuhlen (1952) indicate that there is a direct relationship between maturity and change of interests. The development of interest patterns was found to be so regular and consistent that it was possible to use expressed interests as a means of evaluating personality development. Kuhlen (1952) describes two tests, one devised by Furfey (1931) and one by Pressy and Pressy (1933) which measure, respectively, "developmental age" and "emotional age".

Since our research is based on actual observations and since it deals with a large population sample, which has been observed over an extensive period of time, it can provide a reasonably broad basis for establishing <u>norms</u> of play behavior of children at various age levels and of either ser. Such norms could be treated as reference points in defining deviations in children's behavior in this most central and spontaneous of their areasof activity.

#### REFERENCES

- Aaron, D. and B.P. Winawer, Child's Play. A Creative Approach to Playspaces of Today's Children. N.Y.: Harper & Row, 1965.
- Abt, C.C. Games of Learning. Cambridge: Educational Testing Services, 1966.
- Ausubel, D.P. Theory and Problems of Child Development. N.Y.: Grune and Stratton, 1954.
- Bar-Yosef, Rivka and Dorit Padan, The Economic Aspects of Integration of Israeli Society. Jerusalem: 1963.
- Bonney, M.E. A study of the relation of intelligence, family size and sex differences with mutual friendship in the primary grades. <u>Child Develop</u>., 13, 1942, 79-100.
- Boocock, Sarane S. and E.O. Schild, Simulation Games in Learning. Beve. Hills, Calif.: Sage, 1968.
- Buhler, C. The social behavior of children. In C. Muchison, Ed., A Handbook of Child Psychology, 2nd ed. Worcester, Mass.: Clark University Press, 1933, pp. 374-416.
- Caillois, R. Man, Play and Games. N.Y.: Glenco, 1961.
- Chateau, J. Le Jeu de L'enfant. Paris: Librairie Philosophique, 1955.
- Eisenstadt, S.N. From Generation to Generation. London: Free Press, 1956.
- Furfey, P.H. Some factors influencing the selection of boys' chums. <u>J. appl. Psychol.</u>, 11, 1927, 47-51.
- Furfey, P.H. A revised scale for measuring developmental age in boys. <u>Child Develop.</u>, 2, 1931, 102-114.
- Green, E.H. Group play and quarreling among preschool children. Child Develop., 4, 1953, 302-307.
- Hanoch, G. Income Differences in Israel. Jerusalem: The Falk Institute for Economic Research in Israel, 1961. (In Hebrew.)

- 226 -

ERIC.

- Horrocks, J.E. and M.E. Barker. A study of friendship fluctuations in preadolescents. <u>J. genet. Psychol</u>., 75, 1951, 131-144.
- Huizinga, J. Homo Ludens: A study of the Play Element in Culture. London: Rutledge & Kegan Paul, 1949.
- Inbar, M. The differential impact of a game simulating a community disaster. <u>Amer. behav. Scie.</u>, 10, 2, 1966.
- Inbar, M. Towards a sociology of autotelic behavior. Jerusalem, 1968 (mimeo.).
- James, J. The distribution of free-forming small group size. Amer. sociol. Rev., 18, 5, 1953.
- Kuhlen, R.E. The Psychology of Adolescent Behavior. N.Y.: Harper & Row, 1952.
- Lehman, H.C. and P.A. Witty. The Psychology of Play Activities. N.Y.: A.S. Barnes, 1927.
- Moreno, J.L. Who Shall Survive? N.Y.: Beacon, 1953.

e

ERIC

- Mussen, P.H., J.J. Conger, and J. Kogan. Child Development and Personality, 2nd ed. London: Harper, 1964.
- Parten, M. and S.M. Newall. Social behavior of preschool children. In: R.G. Barker, J.S. Kounin and H.F. Wright, Eds., Child Behavior and Development. N.Y.: McGraw-Hill, 1943, 505-525.
- Peller, L.E. Libidinal phases, ego development, and play. <u>Psychoanal. Study Child</u>., IX, 1954, 178-198.
- Piaget, J. The Moral Judgment of the Child. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1932.
- Piaget, J. Play, Dreams and Imitation in Childhood. London: Heineman, 1962. (First French Edition, 1951.)
- Playground Corporation of America, A Report: Frustration vs. Spontaneity. Planned Playgrounds for Handicapped Children. N.Y., 1967.
- Pressey, S.L. and L.C. Pressey, Developmental Interest-Attitude-Tests. Jour. appl. Psychol., 17, 1933, 1-16.

- 227 -

- Roberts, J.M. and B. Sutton-Smith. Child training and game involvement. <u>Ethnol.</u>, 1962, 1, 166-185.
- Schild, E.O. The shaping of strategies. <u>Amer. Behav. Scie.</u>, 10, 3, 1966.
- Sutton-Smith, B. Game preference and game behavior. <u>Psychol</u>. <u>Rep.</u>, 16, 1965, 65-66.
- Sutton-Smith, B. A cross-cultural study of children's games. Yearbook of the American Philosophical Society, 1961, 426-429.
- Sutton-Smith, B., J.M. Roberts and R.M. Kozelka. Game involvement in adults. <u>J. soc. Psychol.</u>, 1963, 60, 15-30.
- Sutton-Smith, B. and J.M. Roberts. Studies of an elementary game strategy. <u>Gent. Psychol. Monog</u>., 1967, 75, 3-42.
- Terrell, G., Kathryn Durkin and Melvin Wiesley, Social class and the nature of the incentive in discrimination learning. <u>J. abnorm. soc. Psychol</u>., 1959, 59, 270-272.
- Waelder, R. The psychoanalytic theory of play. <u>The Psychoanal</u>. <u>Quarter II</u>, 1933, 208-224.
- White, H. Chance models of systems of casual groups. <u>Sociometry</u>, 25, 2, 1962.

٢

APPENDIX A, A/1

Î

0

Э

ERIC Full fact Provided by ERIC .

.

FIRST STAGE RECORD SHEETS

- 228a -

٠

. . . .

1

# FIRST STAGE RECORD SHEET (GENERAL INFORMATION)

## APPENDIX A

The Hebrew University in Jerusalem

Department of Psychology

GENERAL DETAILS ABOUT ONE OBSERVATION

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Time of day <u>from to</u>\_\_\_\_\_

Name of town or settlement \_\_\_\_\_ District \_\_\_\_\_

Street \_\_\_\_\_

0

0

Ô.

ERIC

Place of observation:

a. Out of school (in a whole street, concentrated at one end of a street, next to an organized club, public park, open plot, etc.)

Description of play surface (sand, clay, mud, cement, asphalt, etc.)

b. In school (in the playground, the sports field, the corridors, the classroom, etc.)

Description of the play surface \_\_\_\_\_

Weather conditions

Other remarks which seem relevant to the general details

- 229 -
# FIRST STAGE RECORD SHEET OF ONE PLAY GROUP APPENDIX A/1

# The Hebrew University of Jerusalem Department of Psychology

## GAME REPORT

 Name of game
 Name of observer

 Location
 Date

 Nature of play surface: (sand, clay, mud, cement, asphalt, etc.)

\*Duration of game: a. less than 5 minutes b. more than 5 minutes (If there was a small number of children in the area and you were able to note the exact duration, please give the number of minutes )

		E	OY	S	G	IR	LS
		Number	Grade	Age	Number	Grade	Age
Part	ticipants at the						
sta	rt of the game						
Join	ned the group						
dur	ing the game						
Left	t the group						
dur	ing the game		h = 1 = 1 =				
A.	If some of the c	cnildren		ready p	articipa	ubich a	otner
	games during the	e same o	DServat:	lon, re	cora in reicipai	which g	ames they
	participated and	now ma	ny child	iren pa	rticipat		acii, by sex.
	Name of the game Name of the game Name of the game	2 2 2		numb numb numb	er of er of er of		
*B.	The socio-econor low middle h composition of t	nic leve nigh m the grou	l of the lixed (] p with p	e child [f mixe regard	ren's fa d, give to socio	amilies: details p-econom	of the ic level
*C.	The children are	e: mostl	y religi	lous mo	stly nor	n-religi	ous mixed
*D.	The children are	e: most1	y Israel	li-born	mostly	immigra	nts neither
Ε.	Ethnic group of	the maj	ority of	E the c	hildren	<b></b>	
F.	Register any cha children joining	anges in g or lea	the oth ving the	ner det e group	ails res	sulting	from
G.	Other remarks						

\* Draw a circle around the appropriate item

C

ERIC

# APPENDIX A/2

# MAIN STAGE RECORD SHEETS

.

ς.

.

1

•

ERIC.

o

- 231 -

۰ ·

		~		$\overline{}$
	153	Suc	1 10	Х
			=	ט, נגני
	$\mathbf{i}$			נוך
5 6		N ->	1	ות
	<u>ر</u> س	רכוה		र एश ट
שלב	272	114		ני ר
~		30	+	€/
	<u></u>			דר
	ניה	יורח	7	Ĝ
2700	1 V L V	22	╀	
11	צגנין	1.0		נם חק
ג אין גרי ג גרי גרי	ב מי			0 a
ה אין	5.1	×1.	1	נ 
		· <u> </u>		קעל א
		L L L	5 	200
·	 این	1 2 4		
	נפ			מחי
	יי צו נייו	CT.		או
	<u> </u>		1-	חק
	┝	ء ا		ह्य
	E			ק ה
	31		-	2
	-65	2 - 75		3
	66 - 1	44-	-	۹ 🔶
	7 48	55 50	-	
	- 69	37 3		-ŭ
	14-0	8-74	=	12
	77-	40-	-	101
	73	42	-	-121
		43		
	×-77	1- 45	r	LÉ L
	74	- کُ <sup>ل</sup> ر -	ゝ	
<u> </u>				20
בנו	בני		X	
	- F			<b>M</b> 1

ł ĺ

1

ERIC.

$\left[ \right]$		DUP	1 - 10	$\mathbf{X}$	1
			11 - 13	מס' הקבוצה	
בוץ ום אחר ופ	ערענה א נאננה א דשא	בבוש <sup>1</sup> א מול/אדמה 2	16	פני השטת	
	שניהנויכ   	מזרח א מערב 2		עדה	
מורה 6 הפסיקו 7 אחר 9	ריב חיצוני ז משחק חדש <sup>ן</sup> ג מידה אוירא	ריב פניאי. 2 ריב פניאי. 2	/4	סיום המשחק	
		ארודן 2 קצר 2 קצר		משד המשחק	
	קוניהם   <del>כ</del>	נבים וז משותה ו	90	אופן המשחו	
			20 - 10	שם המשחק	
	64-65 66-67 68-69 12-73 72-73 74-75 76-77 78-79	72-27 28-27 28-28 40 - 41 42-43 40 - 41 42-43 40 - 41 45 46 - 41		ספר המשתתנים מניתות אן רן גן דן הן רן זן ח	
בנות 2		<u>רוית</u>			

$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$				5	1	$\overline{}$	
	$\langle /$			10	ij	$\sum$	
	X				1-17	הקביצוי	80,
	6 7	1 +>	<pre></pre>				चत
אתר את	イレイ	נמבנה	זוד/אדמ בורכר	נוש	16		
			<u>رہ بہ</u> رہ بن				
			מערב שניהם	מזרח		; {	מדה
 	19	4 19	2 12		1.	רר	ы
הפסיק אחר	מזג או מורה	שחקח	ריעפני	<sup>1</sup> ر : بر : بر :		してい	9.1
*			2	- <b>-</b>	-	コロ	T
•••••• <u>•</u>			קצר	ארון	õ	マムロ	छ छ
	-	\	2 2	1 10.7		שתק	<u>د</u> ر
		۔ ر بر		ผ้ลน		צ ב	ן גע
					2	115	נאחל
					2	1 1101	
- <u>.                                    </u>		<b> </b>			-	7	
				c 55 -3		7	Ļ
	, = 0/			4-35			רני
	8-07	2 2		56 - 37		-	ע רי א רי
				8-39		Ľ	L L
	72-75			10 - 11	-	4	רת פ
<u></u>	14-75			42-43	7	-	<u>いでい</u>
	76-77			24-42	٢	_ ار	ש ר
	18-79			11-94	>	:	202
בנות		1	<i>בנית</i>		X	7	3
$\sim$ >	< 2			~			

• •

NON דשא דניץ אמר מזג אוירן 5 מורה הפסיקר 7 אַחר 19 בנומ

N×

	· · ·			K 7
$\left  \right\rangle$		DUP	1-10	$\ge$
			11 - 13	מס' קבוצה
K	<u> </u>	1	_	2
ן	בנה 11 שא 15	אדמה <sup>ן</sup> (א'	1 (31   1	השמו
4 X	127			ير. تر
• •••• •••• ••••		ערב		נדה
	<u>.</u>	631	2	
מירה אירה הפסיקו ק אחר וי	משחק תדשון מ ז ג אוירן	ריב פנימי ק	18 18 18	סיום המשחק
		קצר 2	19 19	משך המשחק
		נבית וי בבית וי	20	אופן המשחק
			21 - 25	צם המשחק
	64 - 6	<b> </b>	32-3	3
	66 - 63		5 34 - 35	
	68-69		36-37	רע טיר י
<u></u>	17-11		<u> 98 - 86</u>	ц с
	72-73		A0 - 11	נתצי
	12-75		12-13	<u>」 で て</u>
	76-77 16		4 45 - 4	ר <del>נ</del> ר <del>נ</del>
<u>-</u>	5-79	~	1611	200
בנור		רנים		
マメ	13		$\leq$	

ERIC.

K		7	)	-	
$\left \right\rangle$	/		DUP		$\prec$
				11-12	
בוץ :6 אחר ופ	4 201201 5 707	תוד /אדמה ב כור כר   3	c [1 ]		פני השטח
<i>a</i>		מערבי2 שניהם ול	מזרת וו		עדה
מורה   הפסיקו   אַתר אַ	א א אויר, א א איר, א א א א א א א א א א א א א א א א א א א	ריב פנימיו 2 דיב חיצוני ז	24514 1	המשחק	סיום
		קצר 21	ארוך  ן	המשחק	משך
	- 	יבים 2 שניהם 3	יש הקם ד ייש	המשחק	אופן
			21 ~ 25		שח המשחה
	6.1 - 65 66-		32 - 33 54.	r n	
	63 - 89 1.9		35 76-37	7	ני היו
	70-71 72		04 66-85	ה	2 2
<u></u>	-73 14-7:		- 41 42 - 1	r L	תתפי
	5 76-77		3 14-45	L	המשו
[ת	78-79	a	1617	z \/	2021
ц Ч		г. Г		X	

		1-1		ממ' הצופה
		2.0 2.8	חדש יום	נאריד
		- 11	שנה	ריב"מ
		- 15		ממל
	L K T K   1	16 - 23		ומ תמרומ
	N IND O			
	אין רוח יש רוח	25	רות	
עשם 2 עשלג 3	מחנחנ וי אין משלתים וס	26	משקעים	מזג האויר
ממסין 3 4 ןיטאח 1	קר ממודג 2	1 77	מידת התום	
סיבה אחדת 9	צוצה אחר וו צוצה חסר ב	28 8	אווער אנכוימכ זי	

האוניברסיטה העברית ירושלים המחלקה לפסיכולוגיה מחקר משחקים שם הצופה

UP DUP	אחק עדה פני הששח מס. שחק עדה פני הששח הקבוצת טרק עדה בנוש ו במבנה 1 1 במבנה 4 1 במבנה 4 1 במבנה 4 1 במבנה 4 1 במבנה 4 1 במבנה 4 1 אחר 1 2 אחר 2 1 בחיים 1 2 אחר 2 1 במבנה 1 2 אחר 2 1 בחיים 1 2 אחר 2 1 במבנה 1 2 אחר 2 1 בחיים 1 2 אחר 2 1 במבנה 1 2 אחר 2 1 בחיים 1 1 הייים 1 1 היים 1 1		עד ה בני השטח הקבוצה אור פ גורבה ג אור פ גורק אור גורק אור גורק אור גורק אור גורק ג גורק ג גור ג גורק ג גורק ג גורק ג גורק ג גורק ג גורק ג גורק ג גורק גורק ג גורק ג גורק ג גורק ג גורק גורק ג גורק ג גורק ג גורק ג גורק ג גורק ג גורק ג גורק גורק ג גורק גורק ג גורק ג גורק גורק ג גורק גורק גורק גורק גורק גורק ג גורק גורק גורק גורק גורק גורק גורק גורק	
ח א ב ג. ג. ג. ג. ג. ג. ב.	אופן משך משך סיום אופן המשחק המשחק המט ושהק המשחק המשחק המט יד ג'ב היב היב היב היב היב היב היב היב היב הי	מסתכלים ה א ב ג ד ה ג ד ה <del>ג היי גייז גיין</del> ג א ב ג ד ה <del>ג היי גיין ג</del> ה	אופן משך סיום נעשר קיביש עופן הביש שחק המשקון המשקון המשקון ארוך ייב פנים המשקו בי היצו מיר המשחק המינים ארוך יו ארוך יו אריבים בי היצו אוי מיר היצו אוי אוי אוי אוי אוי אוי אוי אוי אוי או	4-55 26-57 53-53 69-61 62-63 64-0
בונים ג <u>ור ג גר-זי וו-פי גי-א גרי-א גריי-א גרייי-א גרייי-א גרייי-א גריי-א גריייייי-א גריייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי</u>	מסבר המשתותפים מכתות שם אם אם גוגר המשתותפים מכתות שם גוגרים גוגר זיין היין או המי גוגרים גוגרים גוגרים או איין או או המי גווה גווה גווה גווה גווה גווה גווה גוו	מסתכלים אסתכלים אסתכלים אסתכלים אסתכלים גרים גרים גרים גרים גרים גרים גרים גר	בענות איז ברגות שים בעות המשתתמים בעות איז ברגע הביע הביע בעדות איז ברגע הביע ברגע ברגע ברגע ברגע ברגע ברגע ברגע ברג	تر لاز الآل (1-17) 12-13 12-14 12-1

Full Text Provided by ERIC

1

M X W

אסת בלים	מסתכלים
בנות	מורה פ הפסיקו ל אחר 19 אחר 19 אחר
<u>64-65 66-67 50-71 72-73 74-75 75-77 70-75</u>	שניהם 3 בתבנה 25 ביו בי כי כי כי כי שניהם 3 בתבנה 4 בתבנה 5 ביו בי כי
גנים	קשַיהקיםן ו ארוך ון צלצול ו מורח ו בברש ו קטם קטם קטם קער ג ריב הצוני וג מערב ג חול /ידמה ב קער קטם קטם יריים ארוב הביים או היב הצוני וג
מספר המשתתפיה מכתות שם אספר המשתקים מכתות אושר שם אספר המשתק	אופן משך סיום עדה פני השטח מס. אופן הגישהק הקבוצת אופן המשחק המשחק המשחק הקבוצת אופן משור הקבוצת אופן המשחק הקבוצת אופן המשחק הקבוצת אופן המשחק המידים המידים המידים הקבוצת אופן המשחק המידים הקבוצת אופן המשחק המידים br>מידים המידים ה
<u>کر 111 کار 2016 کار 2017 ک</u>	
רנים	
N T K T K T R 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
אסתכלים	מסתכלים
ענות 2	בוץ ייק אתר ייק אתר ייק אתר ייק אתר ייק אתר ייק איניר
61-67 66-67 -3 -63 70-71 72-73 72-75 76-77 76-79	משחק הדפוי סיייסיס בנובאו 5 דעא 5 אויר 15 דעא 5
	שאיהמוג לאדמה ג היו איון אישיות ג מערב ג בורכר ג קאר מיג ג קיעהקים ו אייון איין איצור איון ג מערב ג בורכר ג יון אייק אידמה ג קיעהקים ו אייון איין איני ג מערב ג מערב ג בורכר ג
מסמר המשתתמים מכתות שם שם א ב ג ד ה ו ז ח המשחק א ב ג א ד ה ו ז א ח	אופן משך סיום עדה נו השטח מס. המשחק המשחק המשחק עדה ז וו-וו כו-ו 20 אופן אופן המשחק היום או אופן או משוי או אופן או
א סיבה אחרת 9 קמסין 4 אינא ע 1 סיבה אחרת 9	
צופה אחר ו קר ו אין משקעים 0 אין ו צופה אחר ו קר ו אין משקעים 0 אין ו צופה אחר 2 המפשף 1 ישר	
חוטר מזג האויר אינפורמציה מידת החום משקעים רוח גי גיד החום משקעים רוח	בתות חסרות סמל ביה"ם שנה חדש יום הצופה <u>אנה חדש יום הצופה</u> <u>אנה חדש יום הצופה</u>
מהקר משחקים. דו״ה משחקים כללי	
האוניברסיטרה העברית בירושלים - המחלקה י	פסיכולוגיה שם הציפר

ŝ

1



ិ។

13

ERIC

5

\*





100		
-		
	******	1963 A 63
and the second se	#d <b>atar</b> ker	
i triano	·····	
177	الغ	 
IPT	النكعوا	٢
وا مسلما	النفصور ال	المحرب إو
وا حددا	Irisbear 7	ا المحض إو
المحتور	النفحوا ا	ا لتحرث إو
1777 9	النفكعوا الم	ا ٢٠مر إو ا ا
177 3 1 60-	(Liber) 7 Tow	ا لتممرك إو ا ا ا
المكسح اكم المحصاف	النفاعيد اج	التعفي إوالي السلبان
المكامح المحطاح المحطاح المحاط	النفاعيد/ ٢	التعفر إوا الللل الا
المكم المح المح المح المح	النفكعوا الم	المعمر إوا الللما الم

:\* 11

·J -7.

			XИ
			·1
4		6	
FV	7 52-2	12-3	ander, and all and and a second s
57	2 2 2		
2	2	12 22-	
3	¥ Na		
3	12		<b></b>
	25	<u> 12</u>	
10 <u>&gt;</u>	3.44		
	<u></u>	No.	
N.	<u>.</u>	-	
اللعن			
9=	31:21	<u> </u>	
23.	02 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		
-93	3.3		
23	= ], 1 =	<b>\</b> 	
انلاری اللاسب	مرس ! مصام داخلی 2	sociela	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Iris	، بالمحر 1-		
مكا رالافت	2 1 1 2 2	2	01- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0-
1230	67		$\square$
$\square$	DU P		$\searrow$

		1. <b>1</b> .		NX Q
	M		ž	3.
		AT-S	<u>^</u>	
·		1 ST-0		12
		15 0 Ek-		
5	۳ س	17 17-		73 22
2	\ ∩	-35 20		12
Ň	~	27 58	<del></del>	-02 65.
معفوف		35 36	an the former of the second second	- 53 29
0		3 34-	Teadlinen yn 1910, y milwr fa ger	22 66-
		32-3		-79
ľ		25		
4.1	}.	21 -		
9:			引进了	
2)	ץ. זי	20	1)-2 2013-6	
لحبك	اللايب	1 <u>9</u>	4-1-)	
	-	_	- ~ -	
1:205	اللعاب	<b>J</b> 8	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1975
الدرخ	•	17		
مكاربالاية		16	2 : - 1 :	3, 2, 2, 6 3, 4, 1, - 2, 4, - 2, - 2, - 2, - 2, - 2, - 2, - 2, - 2
مر آ	12751	11 - 13		$\checkmark$
>	$\langle$	9 	AUC	

	X	2
X		1 7 ·
12-	17-37 17-37	
71		
12 7		
N/w		<del></del>
20		
0 Fr		<del></del>
2 2		
3 Z		
		<del>,</del>
15	м	
اللين	1 	
one la la	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	
- AND		
1:255	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	11-1-1-1
المين	- 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7	aa
مكانطلا		).g
5		-
57.		
$\boxtimes$	DUP DUP	: 5

r +

-2/2144

# A P P E N D I X B

SAMPLE QUESTIONNAIRES FOR STRUCTURED AND UNSTRUCTURED ("SPONTANEOUS") GAME DESCRIPTIONS

- 235 -

ERIC Full Taxt Provided by ERIC

# Children's Games Research

## THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM

# Department of Psychology

#### التاريخ التاريخ التركيم الت

#### GAME DESCRIPTION

Name of the game Crossing out circles

Name of observer Utzah Rol

Date 30/12/65

explained the game is in Grade <u>6</u> Location <u>Deganiah (Kibbutz)</u>

The child who demonstrated and

Drawing that the children draw for the purpose of the game. Sketch of the position of the children during the game.



A. Describe in detail the play activity of each participant throughout the game.

<u>The preparatory stage</u>: (1) The order is determined by "declaration" i.e., the person who shouts "first" has the first turn, the same of the second turn, and so on.

(2) Nine rows of circles are drawn on the backboard.
 In the top row there are 9 circles, in the second row - 8, in the third - 7,
 and so on. See drawing above.

<u>The game itself</u>: Each child in his turn draws a line through one of the circles. It is forbidden to draw a line through a previously marked circle. During the game all the circles are eventually crossed out. The player who scores out the last circle in a horizontal row receives a number of points equivalent to the number of circles in the row minus 1. Thus the range of points for crossing out the last circle of a row is 0 - 8. Crossing out the single circle in the last row does not entitle the player to any points. When all the circles have been crossed out the game is over, and the child with the most points is the winner. Remarks: The game is characteristic of wet days when the children don't

236

leave the classroom during the interval.

ERIC

в.	Is 1	the game played
	1.	mainly by boys
	2.	mainly by girls
	3	by both sexes
c.	The	range of Grades playing this game is $4-6$
D.	How	many children usually play the game $3-4$
Ε.	Is '	there a division of tasks in the game?
	1.	Yes (e.g. in hide and seek those hiding and the seeker; in tag "het" and the "runners")
	Ø.	No (e.g. in fivestones each participant has the same task)
	_	If yes, list the different tasks:
	1.	
	·2.	
	3.	
	4.	
	5.	
	6.	
		•
F.	In	the game is there a division into:
		Individuals (e.g. fivestones, hopscotch, marbles, sevens, etc.)
	2.	Individual and group (e.g. tag, hide and seek, eggy, etc.)
	3.	Individual <u>s</u> and group (e.g. skipping two ends and the group of skippers, Gumi, etc.)
	4.	Individual and groups (e.g. two groups and a referee)
	5.	Groups (e.g. soccer, cops and robbers, etc.)

\* A group is defined as two or more players working towards a common goal such as joint or common victory. Players who do not work towards a common aim (e.g. the two ends in skipping each of whom is responsible for <u>her own</u> end) do not form a group, even if they have similar tasks.

237

ERIC.

G. Does a mistake or failure on the part of one of the participants give him a lesser achievement than the rest of the participants or cause him to loose (e.g. standing on a line, failure to catch a ball, forgetting a turn, slow running, being caught in tag, etc.)

1.	<u>An</u>	incorrect	calculation	<u>enables</u>	<u>the</u>	<u>other</u>	players	to	accumulate	more	points.
2.			<u> </u>					_			•
3.											
4.											
5.											
6.					•						
7.											
								_			

- H. Are there turns in the game (players having to "go" in a fixed order)?
  (1) Yes (e.g. in fivestones, marbles, etc.)
  2. No (e.g. in hide and seek or soccer)
- I. Is there ultimate victory in the game? (Victory for an individual or a group, occuring at the end of the game and recognized by all participants.)
  Q. Yes (e.g. fivestones, hopscotch; football, etc.)
  2. No (e.g. tag, dancing, skipping, etc.)
- J. What are the achievements which determine the victory for the individual and the group (e.g. accumulation of a maximum number of good points, of a minimum of bad points; or all the other players' participation terminates as a result of having to leave the game; or the victor or winner finishes all of the stages of the b at first or completes more stages within a fixed period; or all the other players lose their possessions).

Accumulation of more points than the rest of the participants

K. Does the game require any accessories (marbles, sticks, stones, skipping rope, etc.)

If so, list them: Blackboard 1. 2. Chalk 3.

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

## Children's Games Research

THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM

Department of Psychology

## DESCRIPTION OF GAME

Name of the game <u>Hospital Tag</u> Name of observer <u>Yehoshua Lev</u> Date <u>3/10/65</u>

Drawing that the children draw for the purpose of the game The child who demonstrated and explained the game is in Grade <u>4</u> Location <u>Be'eri School, Jaffa.</u>

Sketch of the position of the children during game.

A. Describe in detail the play activity of each participant throughout the game.

The preparatory stage: The players decide who will be "het" (the catcher) (1) First of all three of the players perform "From 3 one in two stages. goes out." Each player hides his hand behind his back, and they chant together "from three one goes out." On saying the last word, each of them displays his hand, palm up or palm down. The player who is in the minority (with regard to the position of his palm) goes out. The two players left are joined by a third and the same procedure is followed until only two children are left, one of whom will be "het." (2) The final decision as to who will be "het" is decided by "odds or evens." One of the children d declares "evens," the second child then represents "odds." Tehn, at the same time, they put out their hands with as many fingers extended as they please. If the number of fingers extended by both of them adds up to an even number, the child who called "evens" wins and the other child is the The loser is "het." loser, and viceversa for an odd number.

<u>The game itself</u>: The "het" counts from 1 to 10 and the other children disperse and run away from him. The "het" tries to catch one of the others by touching him on some part of his body. The person who is caught becomes "het" and runs after the others, holding with one hand the "afflicted" part of his body, on which he was caught. Each "het" who succeeds in catching someone else becomes "healthy" and continues to run with the others without any limitations. In this way the task of "het" passes from child to child. Of course, each "het" tries to touch his "victim" in some spot which will make it difficult for him to run after the others when he becomes "het." The game is full of laughter, because the picture of the "het" holding some part of his body (occasionally even his toes) and trying to catch the others despite his ridiculous posture, is extremely amusing.

- B. Is the game played
  - 1. mainly by boys
  - 2. mainly by girls
  - (3.) by both sexes

 $\mathbf{D}$ 

ERIC

Yes

- C. The range of Grades playing this game is  $\frac{4}{6}$
- D. How many children usually play the game 5 10
- E. Is there a division of tasks in the game?

(e.g. in hide and seek -- those hiding and the seeker; in tag --"het" and the "runners")

2. No (e.g. in fivestones each participant has the same task) If yes, list the different tasks:

The	catcher	<u>("het"</u> ,	<u>"it",</u>	or	<u>"tag")</u>		
Thos	e runnin	ng away.	^ ·				<b></b> , .
		· .					<del> </del>
							فبإكافه جعاد
•						•	

<b>F</b> .	In the game is there a division into:
	1. Individuals (e.g. fivestones, hopscotch, marbles, sevens, etc.)
	2. Individual and group (e.g. tag, hide and seek, eggy, etc.)
	3. Individuals and group (e.g. skipping two ends and the group of skippers, Gumi, etc.)
	4. Individual and groups (e.g. two groups and a referee)
	5. Groups (e.g. soccer, cops and robbers, etc.)
G.	Does a mistake or failure on the part of one of the participants give him a lesser achievement than the rest of the participants or cause him to loose (e.g. standing on a line, being caught in tag, etc.) 1. Being caught. 2.
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
н.	Are there turns in the game (players having to "go" in a fixed order)? 1. Yes (e.g. in fivestones, marbles, etc.) 2. No (e.g. in hide and seek or soccer)
1.	Is there ultimate victory in the game? (Victory for an individual or a group, occurring at the end of the game and recognized by all participants.)
	1. Yes (e.g. fivestones, hopscotch, football, etc.)
	2) No (e.g. tag, dancing, skipping, etc.)

3 -

\* A group is defined as two or more players working towards a common goal such as joint or common victory. Players who do not work towards a common aim (e.g. the two ends in skipping each of whom is responsible for <u>her own</u> end) do not form a group, even if they have similar tasks.

ERIC

# ~ 241

.

J. What are the achievements which determine the victory for the individual and group (e.g. accumulation of a maximum number of good points, of a minimum of bad points; or all the other players' participation terminates as a result of having to leave the game; or the victor or winner finishes all of the stages of the game first or completes more stages within a fixed period; or all the other players lose their possessions).

K. Does the game require any accessories (marbles, sticks, stones, skipping rope, etc) If so, list them: 1. \_\_\_\_\_ 2. \_\_\_\_\_ 3. \_\_\_\_\_ 4.\_\_\_\_\_



ERIC

## DESCRIPTION OF SPONTANEOUS GAME

Checked for duplicates Name of the school Rehaviah

Date of observation 6/12/65 Group no. 30 Sex of play	Grades 3 Jers male	Name of the Name of obse	game Basketball with stones erver Therese Hagad
Sketch of the position of the children during game.	Drawing that draw for the the game.	the children purpose of	Are there any accessories? <u>Yes.</u> No.
			Which? 1) <i>small stones</i> 2) 3) 4)

## Description of the game:

The children stood approximately 1 yard from a basketball stand. Each one, in turn, threw a small stone in the direction of the stand and tried to get it into the basket.

- 1. Is the activity carried out in anger, aggression, or in good spirits?
- 2. What is the objective of the activity (e.g. a child throwing a stone may be trying to hit another child; may be trying to hit a specific defined target; or without any specific defined goal)?

To shoot the stone into the basket.

## Note

T

al.

1;

•

ERIC

- 1. <u>The name of the game</u> should characterize the activity contained in the game. For example instead of "wrestling" the observer could record "wrestling with intent to throw to the ground," or "fighting over a ball," or "the bigger boys wrestling against the smaller boys."
- 2. <u>Movements</u>. All movements must be described in detail. For example instead of "made movements with their hands," the observer could write "waved their arms back and forward whilst clapping hands."

## DESCRIPTION OF SPONTANEOUS GAME

Checked for duplicates			- -
Name of school Rehaviah	•		
Date of observation 24/1/65	Grades 1	Name of the	game Building castles in the sar
Group no. 28 Sex of play	yers mixed	Name of observe	er Giora Kronzon
Sketch of the position of the children during game.	Drawing that draw for the the game.	the children purpose of	Are there any accessories? Yes. <u>No.</u> Which? 1) 2) 3) 4)

## Description of the game:

The children (who were six in number) heaped up piles of sand and dug "tunnels" under them. Each child dug from one side of a heap whilst his partner dug from the other side. When they "met up", i.e. when they touched hands, they let out shrieks of joy. Then they jumped on top of the pile of sand and flattened it.

1. Is the activity carried out in anger, aggression, or in good spirits?

2. What is the objective of the activity (e.g. a child throwing a stone may be trying to hit another child; may be trying to hit a specific defined target; or <u>without any specific defined goal</u>?

### <u>Note</u>

ERIC

- 1. The name of the game should characterize the activity contained in the game. For example instead of "wrestling" the observer could record "wrestling with intent to throw to the ground", or "fighting over a ball", or "the bigger boys wrestling against the smaller boys".
- 2. <u>Movements</u>. All movements must be described in detail. For example instead of "made movements with their hands", the observer could write "waved their arms back and forward whilst clapping hands".

#### DESCRIPTION OF SPONTANEOUS GAME

Checked for duplicate Name of the school Kiryat Shmonah (development town)

Date of observation 2/5/65 3 - 5 Grades Name of the game War of Independence Group no. 5 Sex of the players mixed Name of observer

Sketch of the position of the children during game.

Drawing that the children draw for the purpose of the game.

Are there any accessories? Yes. No. Which ones? "Durdurim" 1) cardboard boxes 2)

Judith Bein



The children called the game "The War of Independence" because Grades 3 and 4 "were fighting" Grade 5.

Each group threw "Durdurim" (which are a kind of nut or berry picked from bushes) at the "enemy."

The children protected themselves with the cardboard boxes, or hid behind a wall. The game was accompanied by "war cries."

- 1. Is the activity carried out in anger, aggression, or in good spirits?
- What is the objective of the activity (e.g. a child throwing a stone 2. may be trying to hit another child; may be trying to hit a specific defined target; or without any specific defined goal)?

To hit one of the "enemy" with the "Durdur."

### Note

- The name of the game should characterize the activity contained in 1. the game. For example instead of "wrestling" the observer could record "wrestling with intent to throw to the ground," or "fighting over a ball," or "the bigger boys wrestling against the smaller boys."
- 2. Movements. All movements must be described in detail. For example instead of "made movements with their hands," the observer could write "waved their arms back and forward whilst clapping hands."

# APPENDIX C

*,*^

ILLUSTRATED GLOSSARY OF CODE TERMS FOR THE MOTION COMPONENTS OF GUMMI (JAPANESE ELASTIC)

- 246 -

÷ .

.

• \*

**,** 



.

## AN ILLUSTRATED CODE OF MOTION COMPONENTS FOR A VARIANT OF GUMMI (JAPANESE ELASTIC)

The code was developed for purposes of recordings, see pp. 218-219a. Each ellustration and term represent one, and up to three positions reached by going through specified motion components, sequences of which constitute a complete move. An example of such a move is: 2in/bkb, RinLout/fh, linloutSlb/fout, 2out/fout (see: 2,8,22,10), performed consecutively.

Each illustration shows, each code indicates, and each description specifies,

- either (a) the final position reached from any other position in one jump (1-8),
  - or (b) the final position reached from specific positions in one jump (9-16),
  - or (c) the final positions reached from specific positions by stepping or shifting of feet (17-22),
  - or (d) the final position reached in a double jump and a turning of heels (23),
  - or (e) the final position reached in a double jump (24-25).

In the following descriptions, each of the long sections of the stretchad elastic is called a "band."

	ILLUSTRATION	CODE	DESCRIPTION		
(a)					
1		2in/fh	Standing within the elastic, facing one of the holders.		
2		2in/bkb	Standing within the elastic, with the back to one of the bands.		
3		lone/fh	Standing with one foot on each band, facing one of the holders.		
4		loute/fh	Standing with one foot on the outside of one band, and with the other on the outside of the other band, facing one of the holders.		

- 247 -

		ILLUSTRATION	CODE	DESCRIPTION
	5		2-1b/in	Standing with both feet on one of the bands, facing in- side.
	6		2out/fout	Standing with both feet out- side the elastic, facing outside.
•	7		LinRout/fh	Standing with the left foot inside the elastic and the right outside of it, facing one of the holders.
	8		RinLout/fh	Standing with the right foot within the elastic and the left outside of it, facing one of the holders.
(Ъ)	9		loute tog/fh	Standing with legs held close together and the two bands between them, facing one of the holders. Starting posi- tion: 4.
	10		2out/fout	Standing with both feet out- side of the elastic, facing outside. Starting position: 1.
	11		2on1/fout	Standing with both feet on one of the bands, face- ing outside. Usual start- ing positions: 5.
	12		2out/fin	Standing with both feet outside of the elastic, facing outside. Usual starting position: 7.
	13		2out/fin	Standing outside of the elastic facing inside. Starting position: 2.

د هينهيو ديد

ILLUSTRATION

CODE

/fin

2inS/fh

2XinS/fh

14



2onLb Rbxbk Standing on the straight band with the crossed band stretched behind, facing inside. Starting position: 23.

15

16



Standing with the bands S-shaped around the two legs, facing one of the holders. Starting position: 4 (this requires a 180° turn in the jump).

Standing with the bands S-shaped around the crossed legs, facing one of the holders. Starting position: 4.

Position Reached by Stepping or Turning on One's Heels, or Shifting Feet.

(c)

17 Stp/2out Standing outside, facing /fin inside. Starting positions: 10, 12. 18 Standing outside, facing Stp/2out inside. Starting position: /fin 2. 19 Stp/2out Standing outside, facing outside. Starting positions: /fout 1, 2. 20 2in apt/fh Standing inside the crossed bands, with legs apart, facing one of the holders. Starting positions: 23,24.

- 249 -

lonex/fh

linlout

S1b/fout

Standing with each foot on one of the crossed bands, facing one of the holders. The position is reached with the aid of the hands. Starting position: 20.

Standing with one of the bands S-shaped around the

legs, facing outside. Starting position: 8.







(d)

23



2outlb/fin +2inX/fout +heel/fin

a. Standing outside, facing inside, both legs touching one of the bands. Standing with both ь. feet inside the crossed band, facing outside, both legs touching one of the bands. Reached from 23a. Standing with both с. feet inside the crossed band, facing the inside of the band. Reached from 23b by turning on one's heels.

24

(e)



2out tlb /fout +2in X /fout

a.

bands.

25



2out t1b /fout +2on b2/fin inside the crossed band, facing inside.a. Standing outside, facing outside, both legs touching one of the bands.

b. Standing with both feet

Standing outside,

facing outside, with both

legs touching one of the

b. Standing with both feet on the straight band, facing inside.

- 250 -

	GE 6000 (REV. 9-86)	DEPAR	TMENT OF H	EALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE	
(TOP)	ERIC ACCESSION	10.	ERIC	REPORT RESUME	
	CLEARINGHOUS				
001		15 June 68		ERIC REPRODUCTION RELEASET VI	
100	TITLE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
101	School-ch	ildren's Games (S	Social an	d Cognitive Correlates of Games an	nd
102	Game Sequ	ences), Final Rep	ort		
103	PERSONAL AUTH	OR(S) Fifermar	n Rivka		
200					
300	The Hebre	w University of J	erusalem	, Psychology Department	SOURCE CODE
310	REPORT/SERIES	NO.			
320	OTHER SOURCE				SOURCE CODE
330	OTHER REPORT	NÓ.			
240	OTHER SOURCE			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SOURCE CODE
350	OTHER REPORT	NO,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
400	PUBL. DATE 20	June – 68 –	ONTRACT/G	RANT NUMBER OF 6-21-010	
500			250p		
501					
600	games: Encyc	15 10pedia of struct	ured. un	structured, periodic, sporadic, su	per- sequen-
601	ces, rules,	exclusive boys'/g	irls', p	redominant boys'/girls', of strate	gy/skill/chan
602	ce, invention	n of, planting of	, diffus	ion of, functions of; play: groups	, participa-
603	tion, length	of; termination	of; spac	e, surface, materials; group size,	quarrel,
605	competition,	Outcomes, materi	al gain;	lone players, onlookers, converse	rs; age, gra-
606	grant town,	village, kibbutz,	Israel,	Jewish, Arab, socio-economic leve	, town, 1mm1- 1.
607	IDEN ITFIERS	Israel Ministry o	f Educat	ion	• <b>•</b>
800	ABSTRACT DI	iring the two sta	ges of t	he investigation (1964-66), observ	ations of
801	cniidren in	freely formed plant	aygroups	were conducted in 27 Israeli scho	ols. Jewish
802	period amoun	ited to over 120.	COra or j 000 unit:	play participants throughout the c	omplete
803	reference to	each play group	were it:	8 size, its composition by grade a	MILII nd sev
804	ethnic or re	eligious affiliat	ion of t	he participants, length of play i	ncidence
805	of quarrel,	play area and su	rface an	d the name of the game. An Encycl	opedia
806	of Games has	been compiled,	in which	some 5,000 descriptions of games	and their
807	variants hav	ve been systemati	cally car	tegorized and encoded. Examples	of the
808	types of ana	lysis conducted	are the :	following: "Supergames", which nev	er
809	disappear fi	om the play scen	e, have i	been distinguished from "periodic"	and
010	"sporadic" g	games, and charac	teristic	s which determine the life span of	a game
811	have been de	fined. An analy	sis of ti	he extent of interaction in play b	etween
012	boys and gin	ls has revealed	that about	ut one quarter of them play in mix	ed groups,
010	though there	is a considerab	le varia	bility in this respect, depending	on age
015	and culture.	In all cases,	however,	more girls play with boys than vi	ce versa,
010	and indeed,	girls have fewer	games wi	hich they call entirely their own.	Typical
810	boys' games	have been disting	guished :	from typical girls' games, on such	character-
911	istics as ex	tent of interdepo	endence i	petween players, outcomes of winni	ng or
919	losing (both	more characteri	stic of 1	boys' games), and precise prescrip	tion of
819	action seque	nces (typical of	girls'	games). The data analyzed has the	oretical
820	implications	related to child	d develor	oment and to the nature of play an	d gampe:
821	it is also o	of immediate pract	tical re	Levance to such probleme as the de	sion of
822	playgrounds	and play session	and to	the planning of educational came	s and tove
				Franking of Eudealtonal Kalle	<u>s and LUys  </u>
	,	• .			

mentioned an Atlantic and a state

The State of the S

· •

and the second second second

na an Ar an an Arainn an Arainn Ar an Arainn an Arainn

water provide the second second

ا آیک استراف بروانیمه ای آند. از این است این و انداز به اندازه ما است. بیروار و استقدار مانوی

ية الرية محمد بالا العي الأمريك

المعلم بيوند مانية. مانية مانية مانية